

UNITED WISDOM

When Behaviour Goes Beyond What We Can Manage Alone

Freedom and Action

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

A-11-N05 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

When Behaviour Goes Beyond What We Can Manage Alone

Epigraph

> *Self-care looks different for everyone*

National Institute of Mental Health, Caring for Your Mental Health, the section on self-care; a short excerpt. The statement concerns finding suitable forms of support, not identifying an illness.

What this article is about

Sometimes a person knows what might help but cannot do it. They understand that they need to sleep, answer a message, eat or ask for help, yet another day passes in a state that is difficult even to explain to themselves. It is tempting to conclude that they lack discipline. An opposite, equally rapid conclusion is that difficulty coping already establishes a diagnosis. Neither follows from the difficulty itself.

This article examines the boundary between everyday self-regulation and circumstances in which an individual practice may be insufficient. We will consider the severity of distress, changes in ordinary life, available support and seeking a professional assessment. We will not diagnose readers from a checklist. The more modest and useful task is to identify a supportive next step when the demand to pull ourselves together stops helping.

Freedom here includes being allowed to stop proving our competence through exhaustion. Asking for help does not remove a person's participation in decisions. It may expand possibilities that are presently difficult to use alone.

I. A difficulty does not explain its own cause

Imagine two people postponing the same phone call. The first fears an unpleasant conversation but otherwise retains their usual sleep, relationships and work. Choosing a suitable time and preparing an opening sentence makes the call possible. The second also postpones the conversation, but their sleep has changed, they are losing interest in familiar activities and even simple household tasks are becoming difficult. Similar outward behaviour can conceal different circumstances. One postponed call cannot tell us what help either person needs.

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Self-regulation is a general term for many actions: noticing our state, maintaining attention, pausing, choosing a manageable task and changing our surroundings. These actions can be useful in everyday life. Their availability is not constant, however. Workload, illness, lack of sleep, relationships, lived events and other circumstances influence the capacity to act. Sometimes better organisation can reduce an obstacle. Sometimes health needs to be assessed. Sometimes practical help and professional advice are needed together.

The question of cause should therefore be separated from moral judgement. An action that is currently impossible indicates a difficulty, not a person's worth. Assuming laziness cannot replace careful consideration of what is happening. Assuming a disorder does not establish one, however persuasive the assumption feels. Between blame and self-diagnosis there is room for observation, conversation and assessment by a qualified professional.

Within that space, two things can be acknowledged together: a person retains a role in decisions, and their present capacities may be limited. Recognising a limitation does not mean that nothing can be done. It changes the scale of the immediate action. Instead of demanding a rapid return to previous productivity, support with food, arranging an appointment or postponing a commitment may be needed.

II. Look at changes in life, not an isolated symptom

Not every strong feeling lies outside ordinary experience. Anxiety before an important meeting, grief after a loss and irritation under excessive pressure can be understandable responses to circumstances. An understandable response does not remove the need for support, however. People do not have to prove that their suffering is unusual before asking for a conversation or consulting a professional. Conversely, recognising a description in an article does not establish its medical cause.

NIMH's information distinguishes ordinary anxiety from anxiety disorders partly through the persistence of the experience and its effects on everyday life. WHO's information describes mental disorders in terms of clinically significant disturbances, distress or impaired functioning. These descriptions direct attention; they do not turn readers into their own diagnosticians. A qualified assessment considers more than a match with several words on a page.

For a conversation, a concrete change is more useful than a vague admission that something is wrong with you. A person might previously have prepared dinner and managed ordinary tasks but now regularly struggles to do so; sleep might have changed noticeably; study, work or relationships might increasingly be affected. It helps to describe what is happening, when it became noticeable and what circumstances make the difficulty greater or smaller. These are details for discussion, not points in a home test.

A person can remain able to work while experiencing severe inner distress. Someone else may temporarily work less effectively because of an external crisis without having an established disorder. Productivity is therefore not the sole measure. Observation should include subjective distress, safety and the ability to care for oneself. People who continue meeting their obligations also have reasons to seek help when they are struggling.

III. When self-care becomes another demand

Advice about sleep, movement or routine may be reasonable. How it is offered matters. Telling someone that living correctly will make everything pass turns support into an examination. Any continuing difficulty then seems to prove that they have not tried hard enough. A second burden appears: alongside the original state, they feel compelled to hide their failure to follow the advice successfully.

NIMH presents self-care as a way to support mental health, treatment and recovery when a mental illness is present. That relationship matters: individual actions can coexist with professional help. They do not have to replace it. Suitable actions depend on the person and the circumstances. What was accessible to one person may currently be beyond another's capacity. Even a useful activity must fit real possibilities rather than an imagined ideal day.

If someone cannot prepare a meal, suggesting a detailed month-long nutrition plan may merely increase their burden. Immediate support may be much simpler: someone brings a prepared meal or helps buy necessary groceries. If arranging an appointment is difficult, a trusted person might help find a contact and make the call together, with the person's agreement. This assistance does not prove permanent helplessness. It responds to a limitation that exists now.

A form of self-care can be considered in terms of its actual effects: does it become more accessible, help with living and avoid intensifying a sense of failure? This is not a promise of immediate improvement. Sometimes a small measure is needed simply to make the day slightly less difficult while waiting for a consultation. Rejecting an unsuitable practice can also be self-care. A breathing exercise or meditation need not be continued if it worsens distress; further options can be discussed with a competent professional.

IV. Professional help before a final explanation

People often postpone consulting a professional until they are certain that the problem is serious enough, know its name and have exhausted every possibility of coping alone. Yet a consultation can help clarify precisely this uncertainty. A person does not have to arrive with an explanation of the cause. Describing what is happening and asking how it might be assessed and what support is available can be enough to begin.

NIMH's self-care page recommends professional help for severe or distressing symptoms lasting two weeks or more. The guidance gives examples involving sleep, appetite, concentration, interest and the ability to complete ordinary activities. This is not a diagnostic criterion for readers to apply themselves. Nor does it mean that seeking help before two weeks is prohibited or that an unsafe situation should be endured until that interval has passed.

An initial contact may be a primary care provider or an accessible, qualified mental health professional. The route depends on the country, the system of care and personal circumstances. It is useful to find out whom to contact, how appointments are arranged, what they cost and whether accessible alternatives exist. Being unable to obtain a preferred kind of help immediately is a practical difficulty in its own right; it does not demonstrate that seeking help is pointless.

At the first conversation, a person can explain that describing their experience is difficult, that they fear being judged or that they do not know what to expect. These circumstances affect access and deserve attention. If a previous meeting was unsuitable, that experience can be discussed with another qualified professional. Finding help sometimes takes effort, but the effort should not become another reason for self-blame. A trusted person can assist with access if that involvement is wanted.

V. Observation without putting yourself on trial

When everything is experienced at once, recollection can become imprecise. Yesterday may seem just as difficult as today or almost normal by comparison. A few brief notes can help prepare for a conversation: what has changed, when it became noticeable, how it affects life and what support has already been tried. Notes preserve information. They should not become a system for monitoring every thought and feeling.

Rather than judging yourself as weak, you might record that falling asleep was difficult for several days and that an ordinary household task could not be completed twice. Rather than labelling yourself as a particular type of person, describe a situation in which the difficulty increased. If note-taking becomes compulsive, requires constant checking or increases anxiety, it can be simplified or stopped. Its usefulness depends on suitability, not on the amount of detail recorded.

In the historical Notes on Nursing, Florence Nightingale emphasises accurate observation and communicating information about a person's condition. The limited methodological point is useful here: notice what happens and communicate it to someone who can help. Nineteenth-century medical explanations, social assumptions and prescribed roles for women do not become current recommendations. The historical work supports attention to facts; present-day assessment requires current knowledge and professional competence.

Observation should also leave room for uncertainty. The cause of a change may be unclear. The person may notice one aspect while someone close to them notices another. Both perspectives can be taken to a consultation without deciding beforehand who is right. Respectful notes distinguish what has been observed, what remains a possibility and what needs clarification. That precision preserves participation in the conversation without replacing it with a verdict about yourself.

VI. Help from someone close: ease access and preserve a voice

Someone close may notice changes before the person can put them into words. Attention can easily become control, however: checking whether they slept enough, ate properly or have returned to normal yet. A helper's anxiety can make a conversation harsher than intended. It is useful to return to the concrete question: what help is available now, and what involvement does the person agree to?

Instead of explaining what is really happening to them, a helper can state an observation and offer an action. They might mention noticing how difficult ordinary tasks have become and offer to help find a professional. Organisational support may involve arranging an appointment, transport, accompaniment, childcare or reduced household demands. Not every offer has to be accepted. A person can prefer one form and decline another without rejecting support altogether.

If accompaniment is wanted, its role should be agreed beforehand. Should the helper join the conversation, wait nearby or simply help with the journey? What information may be shared? In ordinary circumstances, an agreement preserves boundaries and makes participation predictable. Difficulty does not erase the person's voice. An immediate threat to safety may require urgent help; that is a different task from planning a routine consultation.

Helpers also have limits. They do not have to become a round-the-clock monitoring system or replace a professional. Supporting a person and finding additional resources can happen together. Rest, shared involvement and an honest discussion of available capacity protect relationships from promises that cannot be fulfilled. Care becomes more sustainable when it rests on several real actions rather than a vow to manage everything alone.

VII. Do not mistake immediate danger for a development task

In some circumstances, the immediate task is safety rather than improving individual self-regulation. An immediate threat to life or a risk of harming oneself or someone else requires urgent professional help appropriate to the location. There is no need to finish an exercise, establish a diagnosis or complete an observation period first. Contacting local emergency services or accessible crisis support responds to the danger at hand.

NIMH lists American crisis and emergency numbers. These contacts are for the United States and cannot automatically be transferred to every country. A person living or travelling elsewhere needs appropriate, verified local contacts. When circumstances allow advance preparation, learning the available route before a crisis can be useful. During an immediate threat, support needs to fit the place and the situation rather than follow a supposedly universal instruction in an overseas article.

A calm conversation cannot be promised to remove the risk by itself. Nor should a serious statement become a test of character: whether the person would really act, is sufficiently brave or deserves to be believed. Remote advice cannot reliably assess the safety of a particular situation. The boundary recognises this limitation and points towards competent help instead of attempting to solve everything through persuasion.

This does not mean that every instance of anxiety is an emergency. It separates different tasks. In calmer circumstances, routine, support and a planned consultation can be discussed. During an immediate threat, obtaining urgent help matters more. The distinction prevents a dangerous substitution in which a crisis is treated as useful discomfort, a test of endurance or an opportunity to demonstrate spiritual discipline.

VIII. Suffering does not become a deserved trial

People may turn to a religious tradition, prayer, philosophy or a community. Such support can carry profound personal significance. A spiritual explanation should not automatically settle a medical question, however. Continuing difficulty is not evidence of insufficient faith. Professional help can coexist with reflection on meaning, ethical responsibility and participation in spiritual life.

In the account of the Good Samaritan, Luke 10:25–37, assistance takes the form of concrete actions towards an injured person. The story concerns the question of who is one's neighbour and the religious commandment to love. It is not a modern treatment model. As an ethical reference, it nevertheless directs attention to a person's need: support involves more than explanation and judgement; it may include presence, arranging care and participation in practical circumstances.

Nightingale's historical nursing tradition asks a different question: what information is needed to understand changes in a person's condition and communicate them to those providing care? Current NIMH and WHO information, in turn, addresses mental health, the severity of difficulties and access to support within present knowledge. These approaches do not merge into a single theory of treatment. They can be connected through care while retaining the distinction between religious ethics, historical practice and contemporary health information.

This distinction releases a person from a false choice. They can retain a meaningful tradition and consult a professional. They can ask relatives for practical help and discuss health professionally. They can use a small individual practice without expecting it to solve everything. A precise understanding of each form of support reduces the risk of one displacing the others that are also needed.

IX. Talk about a limitation without making it your identity

Saying that coping alone is difficult at present differs from declaring that a person is incapable of anything. The first description is bounded by a situation and a time. The second turns a current difficulty into a final identity. With little energy, the difference can seem small, but it affects what becomes possible next. A situation can be discussed; a final verdict often leaves only shame and the urge to prove something.

In self-talk, it can help to name the particular action that is currently difficult and separately identify what remains possible. Finding a suitable contact alone may be hard, but asking someone for help searching may be feasible. Explaining the whole experience may be difficult, but taking brief notes to an appointment may be possible. The entire work plan may be unmanageable while informing others about a needed postponement remains possible. These actions do not measure human worth or promise to resolve the cause.

Accepting a limitation should also be distinguished from abandoning responsibility for consequences. If a person cannot fulfil a commitment, someone else may still need information or a revised agreement. Support can help find a manageable way to communicate. Responsibility here means participation in an accessible action, not a demand to do the impossible. Sometimes completing a small part of a conversation with assistance already reduces uncertainty for everyone.

The right to ask for help does not depend on explaining the problem well. Speech may be hesitant, causes unclear and information incomplete. The initial contact need not be perfect. A person can say directly that describing everything in order is difficult. Preparation serves access to help; if it becomes an impossible condition of access, it should be simplified. The aim is to begin a suitable conversation, not prepare flawless proof that help is deserved.

X. Independence can include using support

Independence is often imagined as managing without anyone else's involvement. In ordinary life, though, we regularly use knowledge, infrastructure and assistance we could not create alone. A consultation does not itself define a person as dependent. What matters is whether participation is preserved, support becomes understandable and real tasks can be addressed.

When discussing professional help, a person can ask how assessment will take place, what questions will be asked, which options are being offered and what still needs to be understood. Asking does not require specialist medical knowledge. An unclear recommendation can be explained. Circumstances that make it difficult to follow should be discussed rather than concealed. Conversation helps fit an option to the person's life instead of expecting that life to adapt immediately to advice.

Sometimes seeking help brings relief quickly; sometimes understanding develops gradually. A result or timetable cannot be promised in advance. A more specific immediate aim is possible: obtain an assessment, understand the available options and organise a safe next step. This does not diminish hope for improvement. It makes the first stage feasible and separates it from the demand to recover an entire previous life at once.

The boundary of individual self-regulation is therefore not a wall dividing strong people from weak ones. It is a point at which the distribution of the task needs reconsideration. Which part can the person manage, where might someone close help, where is professional competence needed and when is urgent assistance required? The answer depends on circumstances and may change. Recognising this point preserves dignity and participation while ending the exhaustion caused by trying to solve everything through willpower alone.

What this means in practice

Choose a calm time and a manageable way to prepare for a conversation. If there is an immediate threat to safety, preparing for a routine appointment is not the first step: local urgent help is needed. Otherwise, the following practice organises access to support; it does not establish a diagnosis.

1. **Name a change.** Record one or two concrete facts about life: what has become harder, which familiar activity has been affected or what is causing substantial distress. There is no need to list every thought and sensation. If writing is difficult, someone can help prepare a brief note, or you can prepare one sentence for initial contact.

2. **Retain uncertainty.** Separate observations from explanations. Changed sleep is an observation; its possible cause can remain a question. You do not have to select a disorder's name or reach a final description of yourself.

3. **Choose an accessible contact.** This may be a primary care provider or a qualified mental health professional. Find out about local access, costs and available formats. If searching is too difficult now, ask someone close to help with that specific part.

4. **Identify support before the appointment.** Choose one manageable action involving food, rest, help with a household task, reduced overload or contact with someone you trust. This is interim support. It need not replace assessment and should not become a test of discipline.

5. **Prepare a question.** You might ask for help understanding the changes and available options. You can also ask what to do if things worsen and where appropriate local urgent support can be found. The question should fit your circumstances.

6. **Check that preparation is not preventing contact.** If trying to write perfect notes delays the call, shorten them. The first contact can be incomplete. Information and agreements can be clarified afterwards.

Suppose a person finds both work and household tasks difficult. Each evening they plan a radical change of routine, then cannot follow it the next morning. The practice changes the immediate task: rather than promising a new ideal day, record two noticeable changes, choose an accessible professional and ask for assistance arranging contact. While the appointment is organised, someone close can take on a specific household task. This is not a conclusion about the cause; it is a move from blame towards organised support.

If you are helping someone else, ask which involvement they want: finding a contact, arranging an appointment together, accompaniment or help at home. State your own available capacity beforehand. In routine planning, do not share personal information or make decisions on their behalf without agreement. An immediate threat to life requires urgent, competent assistance; a household agreement about routine cannot replace it.

The practice can end when one realistic next contact or form of support has been chosen. There is no need to demonstrate its success in a detailed daily report. If difficulties persist or increase, that is a reason to continue discussion with a qualified professional, not evidence that the exercise was performed badly.

Key quotation

> *Seek professional help*

National Institute of Mental Health, Caring for Your Mental Health, the opening of When should I seek professional help?; a short excerpt. The original passage addresses severe or distressing symptoms lasting two weeks or more. This time reference does not prohibit earlier contact and does not require waiting during an immediate danger.

Connections between traditions

Current mental health information. NIMH distinguishes support through self-care from obtaining professional help; WHO information emphasises clinically significant distress and effects on ordinary life. These sources help define the limits of an individual practice and routes to support, without diagnosing readers.

The historical nursing tradition. Nightingale connects careful observation with communicating useful information about a person's condition. This motif supports preparation for a conversation. Her period's medical explanations and social prescriptions are not adopted as current recommendations.

Christian ethics of mercy. The Samaritan story, Luke 10:25–37, expresses care through concrete actions towards a person in need. Its content is ethical and theological, not clinical evidence. It supports discussion of involvement by people close to us while preserving the need for contemporary professional care.

Their shared point is attention to actual need. Their differences remain substantial: current health assessment, historical observation and religious ethics answer different questions.

Sources

1. National Institute of Mental Health — Caring for Your Mental Health. Last reviewed April 2026; main body and rights notice read. The source of both brief quotation roles and current guidance about seeking help. American crisis numbers are not transferred to other countries.

2. National Institute of Mental Health — Anxiety Disorders. Main information text, reviewed December 2024. Used to distinguish ordinary anxiety from persistent interference with life; no reader diagnosis is made.

3. Florence Nightingale — Notes on Nursing. Historical Project Gutenberg edition; preface and selected Chapter XIII passages on observation read. Nineteenth-century medical explanations and social assumptions are not transferred to current advice.

4. World Health Organization — Mental disorders. Information dated 11 September 2026; main body read. Facts are discussed in original wording without reproducing WHO's text.

5. Luke 10:25–37, King James version. The question about one's neighbour and the complete Samaritan account read. Religious ethics of care are considered in their context without claims about treatment.

Tags

self-regulation, mental health, professional help, self-care, anxiety, distress, everyday life, independence, support, help-seeking, limits of practice, observation, safety, access to care, close relationships, consent, dignity, uncertainty, consultation, sleep, overload, organising help, responsibility, mercy, Nightingale, NIMH, WHO, Luke, participation in decisions, manageable next step

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, connections between traditions, intercultural dialogue and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.