

UNITED WISDOM

Constraint as a condition for a creative solution

Freedom and Action

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

A-25-N01 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Constraint as a condition for a creative solution

Epigraph

> *Clay is fashioned into vessels; but it is on their empty hollowness, that their use depends.*

Dao De Jing 11, translated by James Legge. The vessel image is not a layout rule.

What this article is about

One sheet, a limited palette, a fixed duration or available material can change how an object is made. A frame may reveal a relationship or make the original promise impossible. Distinguishing those cases requires knowing the constraint's origin, the work's purpose and the maker's freedom to revise. This article follows Galina's hypothetical paper programme for a reading evening. She identifies printing conditions, agreements with organisers and personal choices, then works with text order, a fold and unfilled space. Poe, Aristotle and Dao De Jing offer different questions about means, a whole and using a form. Comparing them proves neither that scarcity is always beneficial nor that every limit produces originality. The practice concerns an ordinary agreed creative task, distinguishing an attractive solution, factual accuracy and the object's actual suitability. Sometimes the honest outcome is to change the frame or decline conditions that cannot be fulfilled together.

I. A constraint needs an origin

Galina is making a paper programme for a small reading evening. The venue can print one sheet on both sides, organisers have chosen two colours and the finished copy must fit a pocket. It needs participants' names, the running order and the starting time. Her first sketch contains a large ornament, leaving a narrow strip for everything else. In this hypothetical scene, she must turn a finite surface into an intelligible form. The constraints differ already: sheet count reflects printing capacity, two colours an agreement, and the ornament's scale her own initial intention.

Before seeking a solution, it helps to ask who set each frame and why. "This is the only option" may mean physical capacity, an agreed price, an organiser's habit or the maker's unexamined assumption. If Galina assumes single-sided printing when both sides are available, she solves a narrower task than actually exists. If promised double-sided printing is unavailable, an

attractive sketch cannot create that capacity. Clarifying origins lets her discuss changes with someone able to decide, instead of trying to overcome every boundary through artistic effort.

Galina may choose some constraints herself: one repeated sign in place of separate illustrations, for example. That narrows the options and helps her see relationships on the page. It remains revisable if it stops serving the work. A voluntary frame should not become an external law merely because its maker once declared it a condition. She notes what the task supplies, what others have agreed and what she is testing as her own choice. The note need not turn creativity into reporting; it clarifies available freedom and which change requires another conversation.

Calling something a condition does not justify every obstacle. Hiding a participant’s name without their knowledge or printing a knowingly incorrect time concerns accuracy and treatment of people. Insufficient capacity may require reducing or declining the task. Formal exploration does not turn coercion into a virtue. This article concerns ordinary agreed work whose boundaries can be named and discussed. A constraint becomes material for a solution after its content, acceptability and relation to the programme’s purpose are understood.

II. Purpose gives a frame its meaning

Galina can make the sheet an independent graphic work or a practical map of the evening. These aims may coexist without being identical. Someone needs to locate a contribution, see the next item and know when the gathering begins. An expressive ornament with a lost starting time leaves part of the task unfinished. She asks the organiser which action the sheet should chiefly enable. Image size and text order then become questions of use as well as taste, considered in relation to the situation in which someone holds the object.

In “The Philosophy of Composition,” Poe begins by selecting an effect and examines its construction. The essay describes one poem within a particular aesthetic. Applied to a reading programme, it offers only a question about relating purpose and means. It provides no layout instructions and does not establish that every maker must calculate the entire outcome beforehand. Galina may identify the clarity she wants and discover the form through working with paper. The historical example keeps purpose in view while allowing parts of the plan to emerge later.

The use situation deserves a concrete description. People will hold the programme; room lighting may differ from the desk light; someone may return to a name after a reading. Those assumptions can be checked against available venue information. They do not mean Galina knows every visitor’s visual capabilities or can guarantee equal ease. Actual use nevertheless raises questions about text size and placement. Unknown room conditions cannot be replaced with confidence in aesthetic success: they remain an uncertainty until clarified.

Purpose may include an expressive pause: a few seconds to examine a sign connected with the evening’s theme. Decoration then has a function of its own, alongside useful information. Galina considers placing the image on the outside, with the running order revealed when the sheet opens. A finite surface can support a sequence of actions. This is one possible choice, not a rule for every printed object. If folding obstructs necessary reading, the plan needs revision despite initially seeming attractive and symbolically appropriate.

III. What is actually limited

One sheet limits area without defining every operation on it. The paper can be folded, divided, turned or printed on the reverse. Each possibility costs something: unfolding, rotating the object or noticing another side. Listing techniques is not yet a solution. Galina examines them together with visitors’ actions. She chooses a simple half-fold and keeps the starting time outside, so necessary information appears before someone searches for the running order.

Two colours do not determine what deserves emphasis. Equally bright alternating paragraphs may make the distinction unhelpful. Galina tries one colour for the main text and another for the evening’s two parts. The constraint becomes a relationship: colour connects items belonging together. It should not be the only way to identify order, however. Labels and placement still matter when someone does not distinguish colour as expected. A limited palette can organise attention when other means preserve the necessary clarity.

Some limits cannot be overcome by rearrangement. If the text exceeds the medium’s capacity at the required readability, content or medium must change. Shrinking letters until everything technically fits quietly replaces the original purpose with printing at any cost. Galina identifies which information the sheet cannot hold and asks what can be shortened without losing its purpose. An unknown answer does not authorise her to decide whose names or readings deserve less visibility. Reducing factual information is a shared content decision.

The finished material needs its own check. On screen the sheet lies open and enlarged; in a hand it has a particular size and fold. Where possible, Galina prints one ordinary copy and looks at the lines. A fold hiding part of a name reveals a problem an attractive screen image may conceal. This checks a particular medium, with no proof of artistic quality or every printing condition. It helps distinguish virtual placement from the established suitability of a paper object used differently.

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

IV. A constraint can generate a principle of selection

Giving every element identical treatment turns Galina’s sheet into a crowded list. She chooses two interior blocks matching the evening’s parts, separated by a clear strip. Proximity now connects names with the reading order. Limited area remains, but reveals that uniform filling is unnecessary. The solution emerges from the task’s relation to the surface. This does not prove the form was impossible with more paper or that scarcity benefits artists in general. The particular difference discovered here is enough to describe.

Shortening may require preserving more than expected. An abbreviated label can obscure a name; a removed line can alter the announced time. Selection must consider each detail’s function. Galina shortens her own introduction while keeping essential information complete. The frame’s cost then falls on material she may change, rather than silently diminishing someone else’s presence. Another task will have different essentials. There is no universal hierarchy of text and image here, only an agreement about accurate information and the maker’s freedom to revise.

Poe describes a refrain whose sound remains stable while its application changes. That particular poetic device can be compared with a repeated page sign while preserving differences between media. Galina uses one small symbol at the start of each part and changes its placement and labels. Repetition suggests a relationship; variation marks a transition. She need not adopt Poe’s assertions about sadness, beauty or permissible poetic length. The passage supplies an example of coordinated choices within a frame; the graphic principle remains her contemporary decision.

A principle may emerge after several awkward versions. The history need not be rewritten as though one sheet instantly gave Galina a solution. It first created a difficulty, then required discussion of purpose, folding trials and revision of the introduction. Those actions revealed a useful relationship. The constraint was one condition alongside skill, time and cooperation. Recognising several conditions values the form without romanticising scarcity, and keeps visible the contributions of people who clarified the programme and its necessary information.

V. Unfilled space also participates in form

Galina initially fills every remaining strip with another phrase. The printed version makes the two parts difficult to distinguish: everything looks like one dense field. Removing some explanations leaves a space between blocks, and the gap marks a transition. Its value comes from what happens on this sheet, rather than general praise of minimalism. A gap can also be too large and conceal a relationship. She examines distance together with lettering, part titles and unfolding, without treating emptiness as an independent promise of quality.

Dao De Jing 11 connects images of a wheel, vessel and room: use depends on unfilled space as well as material parts. This Daoist reflection was read in James Legge’s historical translation; it is not a graphic design rule. The vessel image offers a limited question: what must a made form leave available? Galina finds the answer on her own page. The comparison proves no benefit from every gap and does not reduce the Daoist text to printed information or contemporary material economy.

Space can also accommodate an action not yet on the page: marking a reading or writing an acquaintance’s name. If that belongs to the plan, it should be named. Not everyone can be assumed to want notes. Galina may leave a small edge available without making annotation compulsory. The form permits another person’s action and preserves their choice. If that edge displaces essential text, she must decide which function matters more for this programme, rather than for an abstract ideal of openness.

Leaving space does not remove the need to check what remains. A calm page can contain a wrong surname, and an expressive pause can lose a needed cue. Galina examines compositional clarity and factual accuracy separately. One check concerns relationships; another concerns the agreement with participants. Success in the first does not automatically establish the second. A limited resource may make that distinction visible: with every word assigned a place, moving or shortening it can alter the message. Attention to form includes understanding those changes, alongside removing excess.

VI. A whole is more than everything that fits

All information finally fits, but Galina still asks how someone moves from the starting time to the reading order. Capacity does not answer that question. Titles can lead a visitor to the second part first; a heading can hide inside a fold. Necessary text may be present while its relationships obstruct use. She follows an ordinary copy: what appears closed, what unfolding reveals and where the repeated sign directs attention. The programme is examined as an object in use, rather than simply a list successfully fitted to an area.

Poetics VII–VIII distinguishes a whole from adjacent events involving one hero. Aristotle concerns himself with poetic action. The programme analogy is limited: every name’s presence does not guarantee a clear order. Galina can ask what moving a block changes and whether relationships remain intact. She need not construct a tragedy, make every element causally necessary or adopt an ancient genre hierarchy. A question about a whole invites examination of relationships, while the paper object’s purpose retains its own suitability criteria.

A locally attractive choice may need removal when it obstructs the whole. A diagonal title makes the outside expressive, but is mistaken for the first speaker’s name. Galina can move it

or abandon the diagonal. The technique is not inherently bad; it may serve another object. Selection concerns elements fitted to the shared task. Pleasure in an individual discovery should be distinguished from its actual compositional role. She can retain the sketch separately without requiring this programme to accommodate every interesting choice.

A person uninvolved in preparation can examine the whole if they freely agree to a brief viewing. Galina asks them to find the time and a particular contribution. That action may expose an obstacle without establishing universal ease of use. The response depends on version, light and circumstances. Particular audience needs require appropriate further clarification; one trial is insufficient. Our ordinary scene does not replace professional accessibility assessment. It illustrates the difference between actually finding a line and the maker’s prior knowledge of its location.

VII. When the frame should change

Two additional readings appear after clarification. The new text will not fit without lettering smaller than Galina accepts. The question now concerns changed conditions, rather than a demand for greater inventiveness. Options include removing the introduction, printing another sheet, shortening the event or changing medium. Each alters an agreement and has a cost. She shows the mismatch so those affected can decide. Creative work may include clearly presenting the impossibility of combining the former conditions, rather than preserving the original format at any price.

Reconsideration does not make the trials useless. Block dimensions, the fold’s role and the clear margin are now understood. Those choices may transfer to a new medium where appropriate or remain knowledge about a particular form. Galina need not prove constraints always repay effort. Some work remains a cost of changed conditions. Acknowledging it makes the new scope discussable. Extra work requiring another person’s time needs their agreement, rather than being declared a natural price of shared creative interest.

Some boundaries remain real even when unwelcome. The venue cannot print more sheets in time; participant numbers are already confirmed. Choices must come from available options, and any unavoidable quality limitation must be stated. An account of resourcefulness cannot resolve the problem while an unsuitable result is called ready. A different scale, postponement or withdrawal of one contribution may be proposed. Accurate possibilities make consequences visible without guaranteeing an option that preserves every initial wish.

A frame may change because it no longer interests its maker. Galina may abandon a voluntary personal experiment without proving impossibility. Changing the two-colour palette agreed with organisers, however, affects a shared decision. These cases differ. Creative freedom and accurate cooperation can coexist: someone knows what can be revised independently and

what needs discussion. An attractive alternative does not erase promises, while a promise does not prohibit every conversation about change.

VIII. What the solution actually establishes

Galina’s current version uses one folded sheet, a shorter introduction and two interior programme blocks. The organiser checks information; an ordinary printout shows names clear of the fold. These are particular results of different actions. Where other necessary conditions are met, the version can be described as prepared for the agreed use. They prove neither exceptional talent, the value of every constraint nor identical experiences for all visitors. Suitability gives the solution its merit without turning it into a general creative law.

Poe raises purpose and means, Aristotle relationships between parts, and the Daoist vessel image what a form leaves available. Their historical purposes differ. The questions meet within our editorial scene without forming a unified teaching of three traditions. None investigated this programme or established its ease of use. Further judgment rests on the actual form and use conditions. Historical grounds inform reflection; suitability is examined where the particular sheet exists and is used.

One distinction remains available: a constraint relates resources, purpose and freedom to decide. Deficit alone can lead to demands for ingenuity without a clear task. Purpose alone can invite a promise lacking necessary conditions. Forgetting freedom turns a frame into a demand to endure everything offered. Examining these together reveals what is given and which action is available, without making every difficulty desirable. A solution may emerge within the frame, change it or decline an incompatible promise honestly.

The practice below concerns one object and several named conditions. It neither assesses creativity nor promises originality through manufactured scarcity. Conditions need not be worsened to justify inquiry. Use an existing frame or voluntarily choose a manageable one with a known purpose. The outcome is an account of the relationship constructed and what remains unchecked. A constraint gains a particular place in the work without justifying every inconvenience or requiring gratitude for missing resources.

What this means in practice

Choose one ordinary object or text you are making under agreed conditions. Name its main action: what someone should see, hear, find or do with it. Do not promise every possible benefit. Clarify the purpose first if contributors have not agreed on it.

Record several frames and their origins. Distinguish the material’s actual capacity, agreements with others and your voluntary choices. Identify who can change each frame. A habit or

unchecked assumption need not become an external law. Threats, dangerous conditions and demands for false information do not become useful creative challenges.

Make a manageable version in which a constraint supports a particular relationship: two blocks, a repeated sign, sequence or available space. Check that essential information remains and no new action is imposed on someone without agreement. If the resource cannot accommodate the purpose, name the mismatch and discuss scope or medium.

Examine the actual form through available use. A screen image cannot replace a paper copy when the fold matters; one trial does not represent every condition or user's capacities. Distinguish composition, accuracy and technical suitability. Name what was checked and what remains unknown.

Finish with "This frame helped me construct..." or "This frame currently prevents...". Keep a discovery, change a limit or stop an incompatible promise. The practice requires no manufactured scarcity, acceptance of every uncomfortable environment or declaration of originality. It places one decision within its actual conditions.

Key quotation

> *I prefer commencing with the consideration of an effect.*

Edgar Allan Poe, "The Philosophy of Composition," p. 163. This is an authorial choice in an account of one poem, not a compulsory sequence for every creative process.

Connections between traditions

Source	Question about form	Limit
Poe: selecting an effect	What purpose organises the means?	An account of one poem
Poe: refrain	How can a stable means admit varied applications?	A poetic device, not a general design law
Aristotle, VII: whole	How are relationships apprehended?	Theory of poetic action
Aristotle, VIII: unity	What would displacement disrupt?	The programme need not become a tragedy
Dao De Jing 11: vessel	What does the form leave available for use?	No guarantee of benefit from every gap

The comparison is editorial; historical purposes and traditions are not declared identical.

Primary sources

1. Edgar Allan Poe. “The Philosophy of Composition,” Graham’s Magazine XXVIII, no. 4, April 1846, pp. 163–167. Poe Society, Text-02. The opening and construction discussion through the combination of metre and stanza on p. 166 were read. The retrospective account is not independently verified here; the ending is not used.

2. Aristotle. Poetics VI–VIII, translated by S. H. Butcher. Internet Classics Archive. The context was read continuously; the interface names no exact print edition. Questions about a whole are applied to the programme through a limited comparison.

3. Dao De Jing, traditionally attributed to Laozi, Chapter 11 and neighbouring 9–12, translated by James Legge. Project Gutenberg 216. These chapters were read, with no whole-work or particular print-facsimile claim. The emptiness image is not an empirical law of page use.

Use consists of short literal quotations and commentary. RU translations are United Wisdom’s own; EN wording was checked against the texts. Historical editions, sites and territorial rights remain distinct; unrestricted complete primary-source import is not asserted.

Tags

constraint, creativity, form, solution, resource, material, paper, programme, colour, space, fold, selection, composition, whole, purpose, means, conditions, agreement, checking, accuracy, suitability, freedom, responsibility, revision, Poe, Aristotle, Laozi, Dao De Jing, craft, boundary

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.