

UNITED WISDOM

Dao, Yin, and Yang: Thinking about the Interdependence of Opposites

Traditions and Worldviews

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

W33 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Dao, Yin, and Yang: Thinking about the Interdependence of Opposites

Epigraph

> *“The highest excellence is like water.”*

Dao De Jing, chapter 8. Editorial English translation of our Russian rendering of the opening phrase in James Legge’s version, not a verified quotation of published English wording. The image raises a question about acting, not morality’s literal composition.

About this article

Hearing music requires sounds and pauses. But prolong a pause too far and the melody may be lost; remove it entirely and the sound cannot breathe. This simple observation proves nothing about Chinese philosophy. It only opens a question: why do apparently opposite qualities sometimes become intelligible only in relation to each other?

Dao, yin, and yang have long histories and varied interpretations. They are often reduced to a picture of two energies that should be distributed equally in our lives. That framework conceals what is most interesting: meaning’s dependence on relationships, changes of situation, and the distinction between harmony and immobility. We will examine particular passages of the *Dao De Jing*, the historical meaning of polarity, and the limits of contemporary analogies. Then we will try applying the question of interdependence to work, conversation, and decisions. Application remains our reflection and traditional cosmology remains traditional cosmology; the language of qi will not be presented as measured physical energy.

I. Dao cannot stand beside two forces as a third object

Dao is usually translated “way.” Chinese thought uses it differently: it can designate a way of following, an order, or the direction of human life. In the *Dao De Jing* it acquires a particular significance connected with the world’s source and movement. “Dao, yin, and yang” therefore does not list three things of one kind.

The first passage of the *Dao De Jing* draws attention to the limits of naming; chapter forty-two connects dao, the emergence of multiplicity, yin, yang, and qi. These excerpts are available in Columbia University’s institutional selection. The text’s brevity leaves interpretive work that a confident everyday definition cannot replace.

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Philosophical and cosmological readings of this source differ. The overview of Laozi shows different emphases in Heshang Gong's and Wang Bi's commentaries. "Daoists always believed" therefore needs a specified author, period, and context.

This teaches contemporary readers precision. Calling dao a "universal flow of energy" can feel like understanding. But what questions does that name resolve? What does energy mean here, how is a flow established, and why is the concept clearer? Sometimes a familiar word simply packages misunderstanding in familiar terms.

We can leave the question open without losing interest. Reading need not immediately yield a personal system of the world. First distinguish what the text says about language's limits and the relation of many things to a source. That makes further reflection more substantive than a quickly found synonym.

Another important thread is naturalness, ziran: not merely the visible countryside, but becoming "so of itself." In chapter twenty-five, the chain of following ends with dao and its own way of being. James Legge's old translation expresses this through being what it is; translation does not settle every dispute over the Chinese word.

Our analysis uses this to ask about an external model's limits. If every thing must be explained through what it obeys, the chain continues further. The text leads toward a source that cannot simply be subordinated to another master. Dao should therefore not be pictured as a supreme administrator continually issuing commands to individual beings.

Naturalness does not make every human desire right. Desire also arises under conditions and may be habitual, acquired, and destructive. Its arising does not, without further argument, establish ethical justification. Otherwise "natural" would authorize anything convenient.

The substantive question is subtler: how do we distinguish action that lets an existing process develop from action imposing an alien form? No simple instrument provides a final answer. Observation, knowledge of conditions, and willingness to check consequences are needed. Naturalness opens inquiry into coercion rather than replacing it with justification of every spontaneous act.

II. Yin and yang designate a relationship

Yin and yang involve polarities: shaded and illuminated, receptive and active, and other related qualities. Their meanings developed. The historical overview of yinyang examines interconnection, change, and harmony rather than two substances forever isolated.

Polarity's dependence on relationship matters especially. "Higher" and "lower" need a point of comparison. A small table may be high relative to a child's chair and low relative to a counter.

There is no contradiction: the relation changed. Our example is not an ancient quotation, but helps explain why opposite qualities cannot always be attached permanently to an object.

We must distinguish two events here: changing the comparison and changing the object itself. The table remained the same although its characterization changed. If its legs were shortened, the object changed. These events cannot automatically share the word “transition.” In the first, we discovered a name’s dependence on a measure; in the second, we must establish what happened and why. Relative qualities alone therefore do not prove that everything continuously turns into its opposite.

This clarification also helps when reading polarity’s language. When an author compares two sides, ask whether they change the viewpoint, describe alternating states, or explain one arising from the other. These are different tasks. Our example does not resolve a cosmological question, but prevents a premature conclusion: noticing a definition’s dependence does not explain every change. Each subsequent transition needs its own grounds.

With people, the habit is stronger still. Someone “active” at home may be “cautious” in unfamiliar work; someone who listens in one meeting leads another. Declare listening their unchanging nature, and circumstances, competence, and choice disappear. A relative characterization becomes a restriction.

The same applies to gender associations historically present in polarity’s language. Their presence deserves accurate description without making every contemporary division of roles a natural law. A cultural link between femininity and yin does not establish that a particular woman must be passive; a link between masculinity and yang does not exclude listening for men.

A general discipline applies: historical correspondence, philosophical meaning, and today’s ethical decision differ. We can study a system of correspondences without turning it into orders for people. That boundary lets us see a tradition without either justifying everything through it or rejecting it entirely.

III. Opposites create distinctions but do not always require union

Chapter two of the *Dao De Jing* considers qualities through mutual determination: long and short, difficult and easy, front and back. This relational work does not mean that every opposite must be present in equal proportions in life.

A hypothetical person decides that harmony requires equal amounts of trust and suspicion. But trust and suspicion are not two recipe ingredients. A reasonable relationship depends on information, promises, consequences, and opportunities to check. Blind equalization can make a good conversation distrustful and a dangerous one too accommodating.

We should distinguish conceptual relation from necessity in life. Illness helps us understand health, but healthy people do not need illness for completeness. Violence helps us speak more precisely of safety, but creates no obligation to preserve violence in a relationship. An opposite participates in understanding a word; particular harm still requires a separate decision.

Here the popular formula “everything contains light and darkness” becomes risky. It can describe a person’s complexity or erase an obvious boundary: supposedly every evil is necessary to the whole. The latter conclusion requires its own argument, which polarity itself does not supply.

Contemporary application begins with a narrower question. Which two qualities are considered? On what grounds are they opposite? What does each pole allow or prevent? Where does their relation really affect a decision? Without clarification, a circular symbol can explain everything precisely because it distinguishes almost nothing.

IV. Harmony involves movement

In Chinese cosmological thought, polarities participate in change. The academic discussion of Chinese metaphysics describes cycles and relative classification: qualities are determined by activity and context. This is a historical philosophical model, not an experimentally established law requiring every event to be replaced by its opposite.

For our reflection, another point matters more: a good relation between parts does not always look like an immobile compromise. Music alternates sounds and pauses; conversation speaking and listening; work different tempos at different stages. Yet the necessary ratio cannot be derived from “harmony” alone.

Suppose a small team in a hypothetical example prepares an event. Early on, freely discussing options is useful; before opening, precise execution is needed. Retaining one mode throughout either eliminates possibilities too early or preserves too much uncertainty too late. Changing mode may be a condition of coordination.

This observation does not justify abrupt management without explanation. People need to know why the mode changed, who decides, and what remains discussable. “Now is yang time” does not replace a concrete agreement. A symbol can remind us of a distinction without doing organizational work.

Movement does not guarantee that a difficult situation will resolve itself. Seasonal cycles do not prove future reconciliation, repayment, or changed behavior. A natural image can support reflection; decisions still depend on available information and actions.

Historically, polarity served much broader purposes than adjusting mood. The IEP overview describes cosmological explanations connecting yin and yang with qi, seasons, natural

conditions, and human order. This system of correspondences made the world connected: phenomena received places in an overall map of relationships.

Our reading should preserve that explanatory ambition. Reduce yin and yang to convenient metaphors of rest and work and the traditional question disappears: how are different areas of the world related? Yet the historical persuasiveness of an overall map does not prove every particular correspondence.

We can distinguish three connections. Sometimes one process observably causes effects in another; sometimes we compare a similar form of change; sometimes we construct a symbolic correspondence within an accepted cosmology. All can participate in culture, but need different grounds. Two similar cycles do not by themselves establish a shared mechanism.

Moving from natural to social order requires particular care. Calling a role yang does not establish its holder's right to govern. Calling another yin does not establish a duty to obey. A historical model may organize observations and sustain hierarchy simultaneously; noticing both functions is more accurate than calling it pure science or a meaningless picture.

Ask what such a connection lets an author explain and what it lets them accept without separate argument. We then see both the intellectual strength and limits of unification. Cosmology can be studied as serious thought while distinguishing internal coherence from contemporary testing of claims.

The motif of return offers another distinction. Chapter sixteen compares things' activity and unfolding with returning to root and stillness, available in Legge's translation. Return belongs to a picture of becoming, not simply undoing an unsuccessful human action.

We should not confuse recurrence and identity. A cyclical image highlights a recognizable order of change. It does not mean every individual thing will return to precisely its former state. Otherwise a philosophy of change quietly becomes a promise that nothing fundamentally changes.

Stillness here is not mere absence of life. It gains meaning in relation to unfolding and return. Declaring activity good and stillness bad chooses a measure that obstructs understanding the text. Reversing the judgments preserves the restriction. The object of inquiry is the connection of states, not victory for a favorite state.

Ethical transfer also needs caution. Returning to roots may mean release from excess, but "root" does not establish every old order's superiority. Someone may call a habit that sustains another's dependence their origin. Looking backward then requires no less discernment than moving forward.

Cyclical thought should therefore not justify immobility. It shows processes across time, where a phase does not exhaust the whole. A particular choice still requires establishing what

changes, what persists, and why preserving it is worthwhile. This work retains the image's depth without turning it into a universal prophecy.

V. Softness, action, and the limits of forcing a situation

Chapter forty-three contrasts the soft and hard; wuwei concerns a particular kind of action. Interpretations often emphasize reduced coercion and intrusive interference. Wuwei requires context and cannot be translated completely as "doing nothing."

Our hypothetical example is a sticking door. More pressure may damage it; sometimes we need to change its position, remove an obstruction, or check the hinges. Softness's value is not the moral merit of a weak movement, but its fit with the actual task.

In conversation, ask whether resistance arises from misunderstanding, disagreement, fatigue, fear of consequences, or unwillingness to participate. Available actions differ. Pressure may obtain verbal agreement while preserving every reason for refusal. But softness can also manipulate if it conceals an unchanged demand behind apparent freedom.

Good and evil cannot automatically be assigned to softness and firmness. Sometimes a firmly stated boundary reduces further coercion; sometimes accommodation sustains an unpleasant order. The issue, power relations, consequences, and participants' right to refuse matter.

Interdependence's language helps reveal action meeting a situation that already has a form. It does not require abandoning intention. We can know clearly what we want while changing how we act in response to the world. Change need not mean weakness: it shows the goal has not replaced observation.

Another primary image shows why reduced interference does not mean absence of form. Chapter eleven connects the functioning of a wheel, vessel, and room with unfilled space. Chapter eight's water reveals usefulness without struggling for high position; chapter thirty-seven addresses wuwei to governance too. These passages were read in Legge's translation.

Our analysis begins with a vessel's structure. Its walls are necessary: without them contents cannot be held. But fill everything inside the walls with clay and the vessel loses its function. Usefulness depends not on the maximum quantity of material but on a particular relation between form and space left open.

This is more precise than praise of emptiness. Absence alone does not produce the needed possibility. A broken vessel also has unfilled space without performing the same function. What matters is how presence and absence participate in a whole. Such a reading need not declare every emptiness good.

Wuwei's political audience also prevents treating it as private tranquility alone. Governance entails consequences for others: when does interference create tension, what should remain able to move independently, and where does power restrain its wish to manage every step? Self-restraint may be an active task.

The ancient image nevertheless supplies no ready-made political solution today. Nonintervention can preserve injustice when the powerful benefit and the vulnerable have nowhere to turn. Abstaining from pressure must be assessed through circumstances and available possibilities. We may accept the question of coercion's cost without accepting that all authority should simply disappear.

VI. Qi: a familiar translation is not scientific measurement

Qi is translated as breath, vital force, material force, or energy according to text and context. "Energy" sounds particularly convenient today but can silently transfer the concept into physics. Ancient teaching then appears to measure what a laboratory instrument measures.

That identity requires evidence one translation cannot provide. Philosophical cosmology may connect the world's structure, embodiment, emotions, and ethical life. Scientific energy has its own definitions and tests. Research comparisons are possible, but a shared English word does not perform the transition.

In a hypothetical conversation, one person says "I have little energy today" meaning fatigue; another means fuel quantity; a third religious vital force. All use an intelligible phrase requiring different checks. Clarifying meaning preserves conversation without declaring only one language right in advance.

Chinese thought benefits from leaving qi in its historical vocabulary. This need not make teaching meaningless: a philosophical model helps explain how a culture connected different areas of life. That understanding does not automatically yield a medical explanation of a particular person's condition.

A contemporary metaphor is permissible when named. Relationships may "drain" us, meaning observed fatigue after conversations. Then describe the actions and consequences involved. Metaphor opens inquiry that way. Without description, it risks becoming an uncheckable, unrevisable final answer.

VII. Where two poles stop helping

Two sides are a powerful tool of attention, but not a universal form of every problem. More factors may operate than one axis accommodates: time, money, health, power, habits, obligations, and participants. Turn everything into activity versus passivity and important information disappears.

A hypothetical manager explains missed deadlines through imbalance between drive and rest. But the team never received necessary materials and deadlines were miscalculated. Polarity describes the manager's mood while ignoring the organizational cause. A useful metaphor became a way to avoid checking work's structure.

The reverse may occur. Resources are available and tasks clear, but discussions repeatedly stop through fear of disagreement. Firmness and receptivity may then be a relevant question. Its value depends on revealing a substantial distinction, not the term's antiquity.

Another limit concerns conflicting parties. We cannot call one person yin and another yang and declare a necessary pair. Perhaps their relationship provides mutual support; perhaps one participant harms the other. Symbolic symmetry does not establish symmetrical responsibility.

A good question therefore addresses a relationship's structure rather than assigning labels. What happens between participants? What does each do? What consequences recur? Who can change things? What conditions should remain? Polarity sometimes proves useful after these questions; sometimes it can simply be left aside.

VIII. Mean, opposition, and foundation: three questions instead of one formula

The language of opposites helps while we distinguish the connection it describes. Greek philosophy sharpens this task, revealing similar attention to relation while preventing every order from being named "balance" and the problem declared solved.

The academic discussion of Heraclitus, §3.2 illustrates relative qualities through fragment B61: the same seawater relates differently to fish and human life. This resembles asking why a characterization cannot be detached from a relation. But Heraclitus does not use the Chinese system of yin, yang, and qi. A similar way of distinguishing does not mean identical cosmological explanations.

In Nicomachean Ethics, II.6, Aristotle distinguishes the mean appropriate to a person from the arithmetic mean and excludes an acceptable mean for certain wrongful actions. This challenges "everything in equal amounts." But virtue as a chosen measure of action and

cosmological polarity ask different questions. Opposite phases of a process need not be two moral defects between which to find the right character.

Spinoza connects finite existence with one substance. A neighboring question arises: why can a part not be understood as self-sufficient? It does not follow that dao is another name for Spinoza's substance. Such equivalence requires analysis of each system, not merely "whole" and "dependence."

Distinguishing these three questions avoids a special trap. Someone seeks an ethical measure and receives a cosmological picture, wants an account of arising and receives advice on moderation, investigates relation and receives the world's ultimate substance. Every answer may sound deep. Depth does not replace responsiveness to the question.

Return to the musical pause. It may belong to a composition, a way of hearing someone, or personal rest. Formal similarity does not establish one cause and one norm. Each case requires identifying the relation that matters. Ancient concepts then broaden attention while allowing a particular case not to fit a ready-made framework. The useful aspects of comparison meet in this revisability; their different foundations remain visible.

IX. Conclusion: opposition does not exhaust a relationship

Dao, yin, and yang challenge the habit of thinking through separate, fixed qualities. Way, polarity, and change draw attention to relationships: how one side becomes understandable through another, how situations change an action's meaning, and how fixing an order may disrupt it.

No universal formula follows. Harmony does not oblige equal division; softness does not abolish boundaries; cycles do not guarantee favorable outcomes. Historical qi does not become physical energy through one English word. These clarifications preserve the tradition's substance without depriving it of beauty.

A contemporary reader can take one substantive question: what relationship did I stop seeing when I assigned permanent definitions to people and things? The answer sometimes changes action and sometimes shows the chosen framework's limits. Thought becomes more precise in either case.

The music from the opening still needs sounds and pauses. A good melody cannot be derived from arithmetic equality between them: it arises in a particular sequence. Perhaps this is a useful image for reading polarity—watch the unfolding relation while retaining the possibility of hearing when a different movement is needed.

What this means in practice

This exercise is our contemporary application. It does not determine your “yin–yang ratio” or claim traditional diagnostic authority. Choose a small task where two behaviors really matter: preparation and action, speaking and listening, stability and revision.

First record why each side is needed. Preparation reduces unnecessary errors; action supplies information reflection cannot. Listening helps understand someone; speaking names your conditions. If one side was described only as a fault, perhaps its relation has not yet been examined.

Then identify a particular transition point. What indicates it is time to stop gathering information and begin a small experiment? What indicates continuing without checking is risky? The signal should concern the situation: necessary data acquired, an agreed deadline reached, a substantial error repeated. “Balance is needed” alone is insufficient.

In a hypothetical example, someone postpones a call to anticipate every answer. They can choose minimal preparation: the call’s purpose, two questions, and their boundary. The call then supplies new information. Someone else acts quickly and forgets to check conditions. A short pause before committing would help them.

Afterward, assess consequences separately from the explanation’s beauty. Was information obtained, pressure reduced, an agreement clarified? Did a new risk emerge? Not every outcome must be declared harmonious. An unhelpful action invites revision of the hypothesis, including the choice of two poles itself.

The exercise may also end in deciding to change nothing yet. If conditions are unclear, leave the question open and gather information. Interdependence imposes no obligation constantly to rearrange life. Sometimes stability is what should be preserved now.

Key quotation

> *“Clay is shaped into vessels; their usefulness, however, depends on the emptiness within.”*

Dao De Jing, chapter 11, vessel passage. Editorial English translation of our Russian rendering of James Legge’s version, not a verified published English quotation. Walls and unfilled space serve different functions in one whole. This image completes the question of interdependence: usefulness does not result from simply increasing a favored quality.

Connections across traditions

These are our analytical comparisons. The table shows a shared question and limits of similarity; matching formulations alone do not establish historical influence.

Positions	Similarity	Boundary
Yin/yang ↔ Heraclitus, B61	Quality becomes intelligible in relation.	The Greek fragment does not contain the Chinese cosmological system.
Dao De Jing, chapter 2 ↔ Aristotle, II.6	Arithmetic formulas do not replace understanding a measure.	Related concepts and an ethical mean are not one theory.
Laozi: source ↔ Spinoza: substance	Separate existence is examined in a wider connection.	Dao is not declared Spinoza's necessary substance.
Return, chapter 16 ↔ Chinese metaphysics	An individual phase does not exhaust change.	A cyclical framework does not predict a particular human outcome.
Wuwei in Laozi ↔ Vessel, chapter 11	Reduced imposition or filling may preserve possibilities for action.	Emptiness does not justify every nonintervention; function depends on form.

Sources

• *Dao De Jing*. The Tao Teh King, translated by James Legge, Project Gutenberg. Chapters 8, 11, 25, 37: water, unfilled space, naturalness, and wuwei.

• Selections from the Laozi (Dao De Jing). Asia for Educators, Columbia University. Excerpts from chapters 1, 2, 42, 43; translation from *Sources of Chinese Tradition*, 2nd ed., vol. 1.

• Laozi. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Different commentaries, §§6–7: dao, qi, naturalness, and wuwei.

• Yinyang (Yin-yang). Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy. History and principal forms of understanding polarity.

• Metaphysics in Chinese Philosophy. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. §§3.4 and 5: cycles, relative categories, and traditional cosmology.

• Heraclitus. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, §3.2. Academic interpretation of fragments B61 and B88..

• Aristotle. Nicomachean Ethics, II.1 and 6, translated by W. D. Ross. Primary text: habit and the mean relative to the person..

• Baruch Spinoza. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Academic account of one substance and finite modes..

Tags

dao, yin, yang, yinyang, Laozi, Dao De Jing, qi, wuwei, ziran, naturalness, polarity, interdependence, opposites, harmony, change, return, emptiness, vessel, water, softness, action, coercion, cosmology, language, relationship, Chinese philosophy, Heraclitus, Aristotle, Spinoza, ethical measure, symbolic correspondence, limits of analogy

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a coauthor of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.