

UNITED WISDOM

Envy: what another person's life says about my desires

The Person and the Inner World

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

I15 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Envy: what another person's life says about my desires

Epigraph

“Potter against potter”.

A saying quoted by Aristotle in Rhetoric II.10, in W. Rhys Roberts's translation.

What this article is about

A friend tells you about a new job. You listen, nod, and notice that happiness for them sits beside an unpleasant tightness inside. Later you want to find a flaw in the success: they probably just got lucky; surely things will prove less good than they seem. Then shame arrives over that thought. Two painful comparisons result: first your life loses against someone else's; then you lose against an image of a person who can always be sincerely happy for others.

Envy can be considered an occasion to clarify desire without becoming a justification for harm. What did you see in someone else: freedom, recognition, money, rest, closeness, or the right to choose? What conditions lie behind the visible result? This article gives those questions room. It does not promise that an unpleasant feeling will necessarily become useful or require copying another's life. Sometimes the next step concerns your goal; sometimes acknowledging a loss, discussing unfair conditions, or handling the information you consume more carefully.

I. An unpleasant feeling is not yet an action

The first impulse may be unattractive: diminish the achievement, stop listening, or imagine someone else's failure. Noticing it is unpleasant, particularly if the relationship matters. Yet space for choice remains between a thought and what you do. You need not declare yourself irredeemably a bad friend because of one inner episode. Nor must every subsequent action be called an inevitable consequence of the feeling. You can refrain from repeating a rumour, obstructing someone's work, or using private information while honestly acknowledging that you are currently struggling.

Imagine someone whose colleague was promoted. They catch themselves wanting to insist that the new manager will fail. If they spread that prediction as fact, a separate action with consequences emerges. If they notice the impulse and decide to clarify their own prospects first, the task changes. This guarantees no calm and proves no moral perfection. It separates

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

an experience from damage that could be created. Behaviour can then be considered through specific words and decisions rather than a demand never to experience an unpleasant comparison.

Sometimes it helps temporarily to stop discussing someone's success if conversation becomes a stream of belittling remarks. You can pause without punishing the other person: return to the task, redirect attention, or respond more specifically later. Nor must you confess every thought to them in detail. Their achievement should not become a stage on which they must console you. Care for relationships includes choosing which inner experiences to discuss separately with a safe listener, without assigning a fortunate friend the work of defending their happiness before you.

II. Find the object hidden behind “everything”

In an envious comparison, another life easily becomes a whole: everything worked out for them, nothing for me. Yet you usually see a particular result rather than all the circumstances. Try naming the object more precisely. A new job may mean higher income, escape from an unpleasant manager, recognition of your professional level, or free evenings. These desires need different actions. If you pursue a job title while actually wanting rest, even successful advancement may not answer the original question. Clarification does not remove the feeling but helps prevent planning around the wrong goal.

Suppose an acquaintance's travel photograph affects you. Initially, you seem to want that exact city and hotel. Staying with the question may reveal something else: you want several days without responsibility for other people's tasks. The object then becomes an opportunity for a break. It has costs, conditions, and limits that can be discussed. Perhaps a major trip is inaccessible now, but some duties can be shared. Perhaps even a little free time is unavailable and a more serious conversation is needed. You need not make desire a tidy project in advance to acknowledge its existence.

Sometimes the object is not reducible to a resource. You want your work noticed, invitations, or someone close choosing to spend time with you. Preserve the human side of this desire: recognition and love are not produced to order. You can discuss needs and change communication conditions without guaranteeing another's response. “What do I want?” reveals a direction but gives no right to demand a particular feeling from another person. Desire deserves attention alongside the limits of what can be obtained and what can be discussed respectfully.

III. Comparison chooses a nearby measure

In Rhetoric II.10–11, Aristotle distinguishes pain over another's possession of a good from striving to acquire something valuable oneself. This is a historical moral scheme rather than contemporary diagnosis of envy. We find its question useful: do I want a possibility for myself or a reduction for someone else? We do not adopt a judgement of a person as “bad” from one feeling or transfer all the text's status norms. The distinction helps examine action without replacing someone with a label or promising that all envy easily becomes active striving.

Comparison often hurts nearby: a former classmate, sibling, or colleague with similar experience. Their path seems close enough for “that could have been me.” This is a possible scene rather than a rule about everyone. Sometimes a distant celebrity also becomes a painful measure. Clarify the connection you assume: the same age, a shared start, a similar profession, or a promise once made to yourself. The more specific this connection, the clearer the expectations you bring to comparison and what needs reconsidering in light of actual circumstances.

Two people, for example, graduated from the same school, but afterwards one received family support while the other cared for an ill relative. The same graduation date does not make subsequent living conditions identical. Different conditions do not cancel a desire to develop. They make the question more honest: what path is accessible now, what limits matter, and what help could change the situation? When someone else's outcome becomes your compulsory norm, important information about your life disappears. Restoring it helps without requiring you to diminish the other person's work.

IV. Another's result does not reveal the entire path

We often see a completion announcement: hired, awarded, bought a home, found a partner. Behind it may lie years of work, support, chance, advantages, and difficulties unknown to us. You need not invent secret unhappiness to make another's life less attractive. Acknowledging limited information is enough. “I only know this” is more honest and useful than “they are surely unhappy in reality.” The unknown need not be filled with either idealization or exposure. You can leave part of another's path outside your knowledge.

Imagine an acquaintance announcing a successful project launch. You see revenue or an attractive presentation but know nothing about expenses, agreements, or workload. Comparing that result with your own unsuccessful evening uses different scales. A professional decision requires more specific information: what succeeded, under what conditions, and which part of the experience is useful. You can ask if the relationship and context permit. Yet someone need not disclose financial or personal details so that you stop

comparing yourself. Respect for information boundaries remains even with a strong wish to understand their path.

If information comes from social media, consider the format: a post shows a chosen fragment. This is an observation about material available to you rather than a claim that all posts are false. Some people honestly describe difficulties too; others prefer privacy. Your decision can concern how much you view rather than judging the authors. You might notice that a particular feed prevents you from doing your own work and reduce time spent there. This step does not automatically treat envy. It changes one condition under which you try to handle attention.

V. Desire needs consideration within your own living conditions

After clarifying the object, another command easily appears: since I want this, I must immediately achieve it. Yet desire and obligation differ. You can want more money without accepting work that destroys a necessary way of living. You can want recognition without constant publicity, or relationships without accepting any available closeness. Consider cost, resources, and ways of obtaining the desired thing. Clearer conditions make it less likely that you demand someone else's outcome without seeing what you would have to relinquish for it.

A hypothetical person envies a colleague who often speaks at conferences. Planning their own trips, they suddenly discover that what attracted them most was the right to speak seriously about their work. Perhaps another step is needed: writing a good article, proposing a local presentation, or discussing their team role. Perhaps conferences matter but require preparation and money. Examining desire should not be a means of convincing yourself you need nothing. It helps find a form suited to interest and actual possibilities while preserving the right to want more than is available today.

Some desires currently lack the necessary conditions. Acknowledging this hurts, particularly beside someone who succeeded. Distinguish present inaccessibility from a final prohibition. Sometimes the question can be revisited, its form changed, or a lost possibility accepted. This article does not know which option belongs to your life. It must not promise that sufficient persistence fulfils every desire. Self-respect includes taking limits seriously when they cannot be removed by a change of mood or a correctly formulated goal alone.

VI. The word “envy” cannot cancel fairness

Sometimes you see an actual violation of rules rather than just another's advantage: unclear work allocation, appropriation of results, or different pay conditions for the same role. Envy may be present alongside this without cancelling the factual question. When every complaint receives “you are just envious,” its content remains unexamined. Formulate a verifiable question: what agreement existed, what changed, who decides, and what information is available? In such a conversation, emotion is neither sufficient proof of a violation nor grounds to prohibit discussing it.

Suppose a colleague received recognition for joint work while your part was unnamed. You can envy their attention and still have a specific concern about how the result was presented. Discussing contribution is more precise than “they always get everything.” State what you did, where it should appear, and what correction you request. Do not invent malicious intent without information. Sometimes an organizational procedure or qualified advice is needed. The article cannot settle a fairness dispute; it preserves the possibility of examining it without automatic shame over an accompanying unpleasant feeling.

In other cases, no violation is confirmed, but opportunities still differ. Someone has inheritance, family support, health, or free time. Not every advantage needs calling personal merit; nor must its holder be blamed for the world's entire order. You can discuss conditions at the appropriate level while separately deciding how to treat a specific person. This separation prevents relationships from becoming the only place to fight inequality. A social question sometimes requires collective action much broader than an attempt to look less at someone else's good fortune.

VII. Learning from an example can be selective

In Analects IV.17, encountering someone worthy prompts aspiration to a similar quality, while encountering the opposite prompts self-examination. In James Legge's version, this is moral instruction about development rather than competition in material outcomes. We compare it with the question: what exactly am I willing to learn to do? The modern application belongs to the editors. We need neither transfer the entire historical arrangement of roles nor treat outward prosperity as proof of worth. A useful example can be drawn from a complex life without declaring that whole life a standard.

If you envy someone who explains their work clearly, you can examine that particular skill: how they structure explanations, prepare, and request feedback. Where possible, ask for advice about a specific part. You need not first love every aspect of their character. Nor must they become your mentor. A small accessible skill is a more definite learning object than someone

else's complete success. You can also discover that you do not want to repeat their method: it may not fit your conditions, interests, or ethical boundaries.

Learning from an example does not require hiding your contribution either. Someone may try so hard to avoid envy that they stop noticing achievements and constantly emphasize the other's superiority. This is comparison again, with voluntary self-demotion. You can acknowledge another's ability and your own progress separately. For instance: “They present excellently; I developed the material well; I want to learn to communicate it more clearly.” This description retains several facts and a direction of growth. It promises no equal outcomes but allows action without first naming a winner in overall human worth.

VIII. Envy of someone close calls for care for the relationship

Comparison with people close to you can hurt particularly because both their well-being and your place beside them matter. A friend achieves what you long dreamed of; a sister receives support you lacked; a partner controls time more freely. An unpleasant feeling does not cancel love, but relationships need actions. Ask what you are about to do: diminish, withdraw, request specific help, or discuss an unequal division of duties. The latter tasks need different conversations. Envy should not remain the sole explanation for every tension between you.

For example, you envy your partner's free evenings while carrying most household work. A conversation about distributing tasks may help more than an exercise in happiness about another's freedom. Name the workload and propose a definite change. The other person may see it differently; then more information and agreement are needed. Do not claim that a fair arrangement must instantly remove every feeling. But do not demand greater generosity of yourself before allowing discussion of fatigue and access to rest. Practical relationship conditions deserve attention in their own right.

Sometimes someone close owes you nothing in the specific situation: a friend got a desired position while you have not yet. You can offer a simple, kind response without pretend enthusiasm: acknowledge the achievement and ask a question if you have capacity. Discuss your difficulties somewhere appropriate or later, when this does not make their news an obligation to defend themselves. Sincerity does not always mean immediate complete confession. It can mean speaking no false words, causing no hidden harm, and choosing a manageable way to be present beside another's happiness.

IX. Loss can hide within comparison

Someone else's result may recall a possibility you lost: a career after illness, a relationship after separation, or time after extended care for a relative. “How can I achieve the same?” may then be premature. Space is needed for regret over a specific loss. You can want a similar life while understanding that the former path is inaccessible. This experience is not necessarily resolved through a development plan. The article must not turn every pain into motivation. Sometimes a careful conversation begins with what you truly lacked and cannot entirely regain.

Imagine someone watching former colleagues' presentations after a long professional break. Status is not the only pain; they lost a community continuing to speak the language of work they once shared. Perhaps the next step is a small return to practice; perhaps first a conversation about changed energy and possibilities. There is no requirement to catch up with everyone immediately. Development can begin from today rather than an imagined line along which life should have proceeded without interruption. This does not make the losses insignificant.

If comparison repeatedly opens a difficult history and substantially disrupts life, support beyond reading may be needed. Turning to an appropriate professional or accessible service does not mean you fail to understand envy correctly. Thoughts of self-harm call for urgent local help. In a less acute situation, choose a safe person with whom loss can be named without demanding an immediate positive side. Support need not end in another grand plan. Sometimes its useful result is the ability to bear the history and consider a next step within manageable scope.

X. Attention can return to your own work

When others' results occupy much time, your activity may shrink into monitoring them. You check posts, praise, or rankings. Returning to your work can involve a small definite period: writing a paragraph, examining a task, clarifying a learning requirement, or resting before necessary work. This is an editorial way to organize attention rather than a proven universal remedy for envy. Its purpose is doing something in your life without first awaiting comparison's complete disappearance or demanding an immediate result on a comparable scale.

Choose work that actually matters to you. If you begin a random task solely to prove superiority over a friend, comparison still sets the direction. Ask: would I do at least part of this if nobody knew and the other person never saw it? The answer need not be an unconditional yes: recognition can be an important part of a profession too. The question reveals motivation's components. You can want results, attention, and mastery. Clearer components reduce the need to disguise every interest in exclusively lofty words.

After a small action, do not immediately compare it with another's outcome. Check your own task: a paragraph appeared, a question was asked, information obtained, or rest taken. This is no complete life assessment or proof that you “defeated envy.” It offers an observable result at an accessible scale. If the action was too large, reduce it or reconsider conditions. If you want to do nothing, restoring energy or discussing your condition may matter more now. The article has no grounds to call every pause laziness or demand productivity as payment for the right to an unpleasant feeling.

XI. You can congratulate another without betraying yourself

Congratulations do not require concluding that your own life is entirely settled. You can say “I am glad it worked out” if that part is true while also noticing pain. If happiness is currently inaccessible, acknowledge the event respectfully: “This is an important result; congratulations.” You need not promise an absent emotion. Yet the response's form remains your action. Brevity can be honest and kind; a concealed barb is a separate choice. This preserves relationships without compulsory theatre of enthusiasm or turning another's achievement into deserved punishment for you.

Sometimes, after conversation, name both parts to yourself: this is good for them; it reminds me of my own dissatisfaction. Neither statement cancels the other. You can then address your task separately: request career feedback from an appropriate person or discuss workload at home. You need not use the fortunate friend as the source of all support. This matters especially if they already seem obliged to diminish every good announcement to protect you from comparison. The relationship may need clearer agreement about conversations and boundaries.

Nor must you always remain beside another's celebration to the same extent. With little energy, choose brief participation, decline part of a gathering, or return later. Consider actual commitments and communicate clearly where possible. Declining should not become a concealed punishment for success. Yet a reasonable participation boundary needs no justification of your entire history. You may have a difficulty on the very day someone else has joy. Respectful coexistence does not require either of you to stop having a life of your own.

XII. Another's life can open a question without delivering a verdict

Return to the friend's job announcement. After the first comparison, a specific desire may emerge: more independence matters to you. The question now concerns your conditions: in which part of work is it needed, with whom can changes be discussed, and which path requires preparation? Perhaps no answer has been found yet. But another's achievement is no longer the only measure of your adequacy. It became an occasion for a question that can be investigated. This modest shift does not permit the text to promise disappearance of the feeling or rapid equal success.

Sometimes something else emerges: you do not want their position but want to stop feeling abandoned. A career plan may then be only part of the task or not belong to it at all. Seek a conversation about closeness, support, recognition, and former expectations. Help with understanding may be needed. Do not require every feeling to reveal one precise hidden need. Someone is more complex than a single solution. It is enough to bring the question closer to actual life and allow the answer to change if new information shows another aspect.

Your life does not have to justify itself before every photograph, position, or award belonging to someone else. Yet desire does not disappear through a reasonable conclusion that comparison is incomplete. You can respect desires, consider limits, choose manageable actions, and acknowledge losses. You can retain goodwill towards someone whose good fortune currently hurts. This is no uniform state of eternal happiness for everyone. It is work with particular encounters, information, and decisions in which another's good gradually stops requiring cancellation of your dignity, or becomes a precise discussion of conditions that really need changing.

What this means in practice

Take one moderately affecting announcement. Do not begin with severe loss, current danger, or a condition in which independent reflection increases risk. Choose human support if needed; the exercise is voluntary and can be stopped.

Record only the known fact: “an acquaintance got a job” or “my sister went on holiday.” Separately name assumptions: “everything is easy for them” or “I will never achieve that.” Leave unknown circumstances unknown. Do not seek hidden misery for relief.

Ask which part you would like: income, freedom, rest, recognition, closeness, or skill. You can name several possibilities without a final choice. Clarify one accessible question about your conditions. If you see a specific violation of an agreement, formulate it through facts, separately from general comparison.

Choose one action in your life: learn conditions, discuss workload, do a small piece of work, request support, or allow space for regret. Copying another path is unnecessary. Check whether the action respects resources, duties, and ethical boundaries. If it aims to reduce another's well-being, stop and seek a different way to handle your difficulty.

End with a short statement about the result: the question is clearer, an action chosen, or help needed to clarify. Do not assess yourself by whether envy disappeared. The practice results in a more definite relationship with desire and behaviour. The other person need not receive your entire note; their right to happiness and privacy remains.

Key quotation

> *“because we have not got them ourselves”.*
>
> *An excerpt from Aristotle on striving to acquire valuable goods, Rhetoric II.11, in W. Rhys Roberts's translation. The brief fragment is read alongside the difference in actions rather than as a universal explanation of feeling.*

Connections between traditions

Source or question	Direction	Boundary
Aristotle, II.10–11	Acquire something valuable or deprive someone else	A historical scheme does not define personality or promise emotional transformation
Analects IV.17	Learn from a worthy example and examine yourself	Worth is not outward success; the entire arrangement of roles is not transferred
Editorial examination of desire	What attracted me, and at what cost?	Desire creates no obligation to achieve or right to another's response
Conversation about conditions	Is there factual unfairness or shared workload?	Emotion neither proves a violation nor forbids discussing it

Sources

1. Aristotle, Rhetoric II.10–11, translated by W. Rhys Roberts. An ancient philosopher and the historical translator named by the edition. Parts concerning envy and striving for valuable goods were read; moral and status judgements are not used to diagnose the reader.

2. Confucian Analects IV.17, James Legge translation, Project Gutenberg3330. A collection associated with Confucius and his tradition; Legge is a historical translator of Chinese classics.

The specific passage and edition information were read; contemporary practice is editorial comparison.

3. NIMH: My Mental Health — Do I Need Help?, revised2025. Institutional information from a US federal research institute; only the support boundary for substantial difficulty or urgent risk.

Tags

envy, desires, comparison, dignity, fairness, relationships, learning, action, feeling, outcome, path, conditions, example, loss, attention, own work, congratulations, Aristotle, Rhetoric, Confucius, Legge, choice, resources, boundaries, care

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki