

UNITED WISDOM

The Fool Archetype: Freedom, Play, and Recklessness

The Person and the Inner World

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

I36 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

The Fool Archetype: Freedom, Play, and Recklessness

Freedom or folly? The light and dark sides of an ancient figure, from Hermes to Jack Sparrow

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

> *“In the beginner's mind there are many possibilities, but in the expert's there are few.”*
>
> *— Shunryu Suzuki, Zen Mind, Beginner's Mind (1970), as cited in the archive.*

What This Article Is About

Some people announce themselves through a small act of defiance: bright socks beneath a formal suit, mismatched shoelaces, a willingness to laugh aloud when everyone else is quiet. They seem untroubled by other people's opinions, easily begin conversations with strangers, and may suggest on a Friday evening, “Shall we hitchhike to another city?” Half an hour later, you are beside the road.

Behind this recognizable image stands one of culture's oldest figures: the Fool, the Jester, the Trickster. Carl Gustav Jung treated it as an archetype, a figure recurring in different peoples' myths because, in his theory, it belongs to the structure of the psyche. Like a coin, the image has two sides. The brighter side offers freedom, a fresh beginning, and the capacity to start again. The darker side points toward immaturity, irresponsibility, and a step into emptiness after which no wings appear.

This essay asks how to distinguish them. It travels from Hermes and Loki to Captain Jack Sparrow and Old Spice advertising, from Shakespeare to the marshmallow test, looking for the boundary between freedom and recklessness. It stands alone while connecting with earlier United Wisdom essays on Nietzsche and Stoic discipline of the will. “Madness” in the archive's title is a literary contrast, not a diagnosis.

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

I. Who Is the Fool? An Archetype, Not a Personality Type

In 1954 Jung wrote “On the Psychology of the Trickster-Figure,” an essay included in Paul Radin's *The Trickster* (1956). The archive describes it as his only essay devoted wholly to the figure. It cites his stark formulation of the trickster as a collective shadow figure, a summation of inferior character traits in individuals (Collected Works 9i, §484). The shadow, in this framework, includes what does not fit one's ideal self-image; the trickster becomes its broadly human face.

Yet Jung also found another side: a figure at once subhuman and superhuman, animal and divine, and a forerunner of the savior. It contains the possibility of transformation, a chaos from which another order may emerge.

The article credits William Hynes—spelled “Hines” in its bibliography—and William Doty with six features: ambiguity, deception, shapeshifting, reversal of situations, mediation between gods and humans, and a mingling of sacred and obscene. Lewis Hyde is invoked through the phrase “sacred boundary-breaker.” The archive dates these references to 1997 and 1998 respectively; exact wording and bibliographic details remain inherited.

Remember the word *boundary*. The Fool's power and danger both lie in how boundaries are treated.

II. The Bright Side: A Fresh Beginning

The Fool's main gift is not knowing that something “cannot be done.” Unburdened by everyone else's history of failure, the Fool simply tries. Tarot gives this an image: the Fool is numbered zero, presented here as unfixed potential. In the Rider–Waite deck of 1909, a young traveler with a light bundle approaches a cliff while looking upward. The archive attributes to Arthur Waite the description “the spirit in search of experience.”

Shunryu Suzuki's *shoshin*, beginner's mind, gives the essay a related perspective: many possibilities in the beginner's mind, few in the expert's. An expert sees ten reasons why an idea will fail. A beginner tries and sometimes succeeds despite the objections. Both naive mistakes and radical beginnings can emerge from that openness. Shoshin is a Zen concept; calling it a precise psychological category would overstate the comparison.

A second gift is the ability to begin again. The Fool can leave the past at the roadside like an old backpack: leave a harmful relationship, stop work that no longer matters, or close a failed project without years of self-punishment.

A third is living here and now. The essay connects this with Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi's flow: deep involvement in which action and awareness merge and the experience of time and

anxiety may change (*Flow*, 1990). It then turns to Donald Winnicott's *Playing and Reality* (1971), citing the claim that play allows children and adults to be creative and that creativity opens self-discovery. In the authors' reading, the Fool's play need not be escape from reality. It can be a space where something new appears.

III. The Dark Companion: A Step into the Void

On the same Tarot image, a small dog barks beside the traveler. The essay reads it as a warning about the cliff the traveler fails to see. Here begins the darker side.

Marie-Louise von Franz explored a related image through *puer aeternus*, the eternal youth: charm and creativity joined with fear of commitment and a “provisional life” in which everything remains a rehearsal (*The Problem of the Puer Aeternus*, 1970). Dan Kiley's *The Peter Pan Syndrome* (1983) gave a popular name to a man who avoids growing up, describing irresponsibility, anxiety, and magical thinking. These are authorial and psychological constructs, not diagnoses established by spotting an archetype.

When the Fool occupies the whole self-image, the article argues, a person may fail to take responsibility for words and actions. Its everyday example is the abandoned project: instant enthusiasm while the idea is new, disappearance when routine begins, and other people left with the disorder.

The source connects novelty seeking with a 1996 *Nature Genetics* paper by Ebstein and colleagues on DRD4. It extends this toward a tendency to seek adventure without completing work. The paper is an inherited reference; this adaptation does not establish a genetic cause of anyone's irresponsibility, and a reported association cannot support such an individual inference.

Another example is financial shortsightedness: spending the last available money on immediate pleasure while trusting that the universe will somehow arrange the consequences. The article invokes Walter Mischel's Stanford marshmallow experiments of the late 1960s through 1972, reporting later differences in education and relationships between children who waited and those who did not. That simplified longitudinal account is not independently evaluated here and cannot be used to predict a person's future from one choice.

Finally, the archive attributes through the website Selfgazer a warning to Jung: identifying permanently with the trickster can turn life into an endless carnival without meaning. This wording has not been authenticated as a direct Jung quotation. The underlying distinction in the essay is between a temporary release and a permanent refusal of responsibility.

IV. Echoes Across Traditions

The authors are struck by related figures appearing in different cultures, with differing details and apparent shared themes. Their claim of wholly independent origins is an interpretive generalization, not established here for every case.

In Greece there is Hermes. The archive's retelling of the Homeric Hymn, dated there to around the sixth century BCE, has him invent the lyre from a tortoise shell on his first day of life, then steal fifty cattle from Apollo and drive them backward to confuse their tracks. Before Zeus, he deceives without a straightforward lie, ultimately exchanges the lyre for the herd, and receives the herald's staff.

In Scandinavia there is Loki, attractive in appearance and malicious in disposition in the cited description: a giant's son among the Æsir, a shapeshifter who both helps the gods and contributes to their destruction.

In West Africa there is Anansi, the spider associated with stories. Through the Atlantic slave trade, the figure crossed to the Caribbean and became an image of resistance: the weaker outwitting the stronger. In Indigenous North American traditions, Coyote and Raven can be both world-shaping figures and rogues. These traditions remain distinct rather than instances of one interchangeable tale.

A second strand turns foolishness toward wisdom. Nasreddin's stories can work like paradoxical lessons: apparent absurdity exposes an overlooked truth. The familiar example has him looking for a lost key beneath a lamp because the light is better there. In East Asian Buddhist imagery, Budai, or Hotei, is the laughing monk associated here with contentment and playful acceptance.

In Orthodoxy, the essay turns to fools for Christ. It reports a count of thirty-six canonized figures, an inherited numerical claim requiring verification, and names Basil the Blessed as someone able to speak unwelcome truth to a ruler. Here the Fool is more than a rogue: unconventional conduct becomes, in the essay's reading, a way of seeing.

V. The Right to Speak: The Fool Before the King

This leads to a social role. The court fool is presented as someone licensed to say what others could not say to power. The German *Narrenfreiheit*, the fool's freedom, captures that idea. The archive dramatizes the contrast by suggesting that words permitted to a fool could cost another speaker their life. This was not a uniform immunity across all courts.

Shakespeare's *King Lear*, around 1606, supplies the essay's central portrait. Goneril calls him the king's “all-licensed Fool.” Behind jokes, he tells Lear that he has made his daughters his

mothers: he has surrendered authority and reversed the order. The archive paraphrases another cutting line as “I am a fool; you are nothing,” finding more truth there than in Lear's former grandeur.

Dorinda Outram's *Four Fools in the Age of Reason* (2019) is cited for historical fools as a kind of psychological pressure valve, close enough to the ruler to speak, yet lacking enough power to threaten his position directly.

Mikhail Bakhtin develops carnival into a broader account in his work on Rabelais (1965): a second life organized around laughter, temporarily loosening established truths, hierarchies, privileges, and prohibitions. The archive describes the medieval Feast of Fools, a mock bishop, and parody of sacred forms. It reads this not simply as sacrilege but as an opportunity for an order to breathe and renew itself. The Fool becomes an authorized interval of freedom within a rigid structure.

VI. The Fool on Screen and in Brands

The figure has not vanished. It has changed clothes.

Captain Jack Sparrow in *Pirates of the Caribbean* (2003, directed by Gore Verbinski and played by Johnny Depp) is almost a textbook trickster in the authors' reading: apparent chaos, improvisation, little visible plan, and a remarkable ability to escape consequences. The archive attributes to the screenwriters an account of a trickster who succeeds through wit and deception, preferring words to force. It identifies Keith Richards and Bugs Bunny as inspirations for the character.

Forrest Gump (1994, directed by Robert Zemeckis and starring Tom Hanks) offers the brighter figure. His innocence carries him through history where cold calculation might fail. The film's chocolate-box image becomes a metaphor for trust amid uncertainty. The archive notes its six Academy Awards.

Marketing has also adopted the image. Margaret Mark and Carol Pearson's *The Hero and the Outlaw* (2001) identifies the Jester among twelve brand archetypes, associating it with joy, play, humor, and rule-breaking.

The essay's example is Old Spice's “The Man Your Man Could Smell Like” campaign (2010), made by Wieden+Kennedy with Isaiah Mustafa. It describes absurd humor and a continuous-take presentation, and claims that within three months the campaign dominated category discussion, raised sales by roughly 107 percent, and won the Cannes Lions Grand Prix. These are inherited marketing claims; their timeframe, measurement, and causal attribution have not been verified here.

Skittles supplies another example through “Taste the Rainbow,” dated in the archive to 1994: surreal nonsense becomes memorable precisely because it disrupts the ordinary.

VII. A Lesson for the Reader: Making Room for the Fool

If control, perfectionism, self-doubt, or fear of beginning has become restrictive, the authors propose a small “dose of the Fool.” Their therapeutic language is figurative, not a clinical prescription. The proposal is an interval of experimentation, not adoption of a whole personality.

Their “leap of faith” exercise is to take one action related to a task without planning it exhaustively: write to a prospective client, publish an imperfect post, or test a hypothesis that seems silly. Permit an imperfect attempt out of curiosity, to recover something of beginner's mind. These examples are suggestions, not a demand to ignore professional obligations, privacy, financial consequences, or others' consent.

Remember the dog at the cliff. A healthy use of the image is temporary release, like carnival in Bakhtin's account: an interval after which a person returns to ordinary commitments refreshed, rather than disappearing into a permanent celebration without purpose. Freedom needs somewhere to return to. The series' essays on Stoicism and Nietzsche explore the discipline that keeps freedom from dissolving.

Yet the authors also defend the Fool. In their concluding interpretation of the Tarot image, the traveler knows the cliff is there; the barking dog is a reminder of its reality. He trusts that wings may appear when he steps. The essay uses this as an image of creative risk: someone willing to begin may discover a possibility where excessive caution stops forever. It is not a literal invitation to jump or a promise that risk will be rewarded.

The question is to acknowledge the edge, not pretend it is absent. “Freedom or folly” then becomes a question of proportion: how much play and uncertainty to admit so that they expand life without pushing it into avoidable harm. The archive's earlier claim that the traveler does not see the edge and its later claim that he knows it are two differing symbolic readings, retained here rather than silently reconciled.

Key Passage

> *“The great danger is identification with the trickster. When the ego plays its games not as a temporary release but as a permanent position, life becomes an endless carnival without meaning.”*

The archive presents this as “after C. G. Jung” through Selfgazer. It should be treated as a secondary paraphrase unless verified in a primary text.

Connections Between Traditions

• **Hermes ↔ Loki ↔ Anansi:** the essay compares boundary-breaking and change across distinct mythic settings; independent origin is not established merely by resemblance.

• **Suzuki's beginner's mind ↔ the Fool numbered zero in Tarot:** not knowing interpreted as openness and potential.

• **Nasreddin ↔ fools for Christ ↔ Lear's Fool:** unconventional speech as a way to tell truths power resists.

• **Bakhtin's carnival ↔ temporary psychological release:** freedom within an order, distinguished from abolishing every limit.

• **The bright Fool, Forrest Gump and flow ↔ the dark Fool, puer aeternus and Peter Pan:** the authors' comparison of possibility and avoidance, not a diagnostic spectrum.

Sources Listed in the Archive

• C. G. Jung, “On the Psychology of the Trickster-Figure” (1954), in Paul Radin, *The Trickster* (1956); Collected Works 9i, §484.

• W. Hynes and W. Doty, *Mythical Trickster Figures* (listed as “Hines,” 1997, in the archive).

• Lewis Hyde, *Trickster Makes This World* (1998); the archive references Goodreads.

• Marie-Louise von Franz, *The Problem of the Puer Aeternus* (1970).

• Homeric Hymn to Hermes, dated in the archive to approximately the sixth century BCE.

• Loki; Snorri Sturluson's *Prose Edda*, thirteenth century.

• Anansi in Akan traditions.

• Coyote and Raven in Indigenous North American traditions.

• Nasreddin stories.

• Budai/Hotei.

• Fools for Christ.

• Court jesters and *Narrenfreiheit*; Dorinda Outram, *Four Fools in the Age of Reason* (2019), University of Rochester Press.

• William Shakespeare, *King Lear*, approximately 1606.

• Mikhail Bakhtin, *Rabelais and His World* (1965), carnival laughter.

• The Fool in the Rider–Waite Tarot, 1909.

• Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi, *Flow* (1990); the archive references Google Books.

• D. W. Winnicott, *Playing and Reality* (1971); the archive references an MIT-hosted PDF.

• Shunryu Suzuki, *Zen Mind, Beginner's Mind* (1970).

• Dan Kiley, *The Peter Pan Syndrome* (1983).

• Walter Mischel and the Stanford marshmallow experiments, 1968–1972.

• R. Ebstein and colleagues, DRD4 and novelty seeking, *Nature Genetics* (1996).

• *Pirates of the Caribbean* (2003), directed by Gore Verbinski; Jack Sparrow.

• *Forrest Gump* (1994), directed by Robert Zemeckis.

• Old Spice, “The Man Your Man Could Smell Like” (2010), Wieden+Kennedy.

• Margaret Mark and Carol Pearson, *The Hero and the Outlaw* (2001).

Tags

Fool archetype, trickster, Jester, Jung, shadow, puer aeternus, flow, beginner's mind, court fool, King Lear, carnival, Bakhtin, Hermes, Loki, Anansi, Nasreddin, fools for Christ, Peter Pan syndrome, marshmallow test, Jack Sparrow, Forrest Gump, Old Spice, freedom, United Wisdom.

About the Authors

Andrey Zarinsh (@andrey.zarinsh) and Maria Evilkut (@ilkutki) are the authorial partnership behind United Wisdom. Their stated aim is to bring scientific precision and the depth of traditions into writing about inner transformation, with names, dates, and sources that can be checked.

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut · United Wisdom · A laboratory of shared wisdom.

Archival editorial note. Archetypes here are interpretive images, not personality diagnoses. Peter Pan syndrome is used as a popular construct. The DRD4 and marshmallow passages do not justify genetic or developmental predictions about an individual. The marketing figures, canonization count, quotations, and historical generalizations have not been newly verified. The Selfgazer passage is not authenticated as Jung's wording. The cliff and wings remain metaphors; the practical invitation is to bounded, reversible experimentation, not physical danger or disregard for obligations.

References Inherited from the Archive

These links preserve the archive’s reference record; their contents were not newly checked for this translation.

- PDF-L001 — original PDF page 2
- PDF-L002 — original PDF page 2
- PDF-L003 — original PDF page 3
- PDF-L004 — original PDF page 3
- PDF-L005 — original PDF page 3
- PDF-L006 — original PDF page 3
- PDF-L007 — original PDF page 3
- PDF-L008 — original PDF page 3
- PDF-L009 — original PDF page 4
- PDF-L010 — original PDF page 4
- PDF-L011 — original PDF page 4
- PDF-L012 — original PDF page 4
- PDF-L013 — original PDF page 4
- PDF-L014 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L015 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L016 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L017 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L018 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L019 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L020 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L021 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L022 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L023 — original PDF page 5
- PDF-L024 — original PDF page 5

- PDF-L025 — original PDF page 6
- PDF-L026 — original PDF page 6
- PDF-L027 — original PDF page 6
- PDF-L028 — original PDF page 6
- PDF-L029 — original PDF page 6
- PDF-L030 — original PDF page 8
- PDF-L031 — original PDF page 8
- PDF-L032 — original PDF page 9
- PDF-L033 — original PDF page 9