

UNITED WISDOM

Sensuality beyond evaluations of attractiveness

The Person and the Inner World

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

I-16-N03 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Sensuality beyond evaluations of attractiveness

Epigraph

> *“Old pond.”*

Matsuo Bashō, opening image of the selected haiku in 芭蕉俳句全集, editor 大塚甲山, 1903. Our own translation of a Japanese fragment, not a quotation from a modern translation. The poetic image serves as neither a psychological model nor proof of a reader's condition. [S2]

What this article is about

Someone puts on a soft shirt and briefly notices the pleasant touch of fabric. They then approach a mirror and begin checking how attractive they look. The shirt remains the same, but the question changes. Shoulder line, another person's impression, and a favourable angle now matter. If the check is unsatisfactory, the original pleasantness seems to lose its right to exist too: you cannot enjoy something in which you look insufficiently good. A condition arises between sensation and appearance evaluation which need not belong to the sensation itself.

This article considers sensuality as available experience of sound, taste, touch, movement, and other perceived qualities without a compulsory examination of attractiveness. This is a working description of our subject rather than a universal theory of sensitivity. Intimacy can participate but does not exhaust sensual experience. Pleasantness can be noticed, a form chosen, and another's consent retained. Appearance can interest you without becoming the sole measure of relating to the body. A historical argument by William James and a poetic text by Bashō provide narrow comparisons. Our own scenes distinguish sensation, an imagined gaze, and a particular decision without promising medical effects or compulsory enjoyment of every moment.

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

I. Sensation does not automatically answer a question about appearance

Fabric's touch can be described through what is available to someone: soft, cool, pressing, pleasant in movement, or obstructive. Attractiveness belongs to another evaluation, potentially including form, an observer's preference, and context. Neither description need cancel the other. You can like your appearance in clothing and notice discomfort. You can consider the look unsuccessful and acknowledge a pleasant texture. Distinguishing these identifies the question presently being resolved instead of an overall rating of your body's correctness.

In the opening scene, a mirror may be needed for a particular task: checking neatness or suitability for an event. A problem appears when it receives the right to permit sensation itself. Someone no longer merely selects a shirt for a meeting but stops trusting available pleasantness when the image seems insufficiently convincing. This transition can be noticed without prohibiting mirrors. The issue is less the number of looks at yourself than a rule making your own experience depend on a successful appearance evaluation.

Imagine another outcome. A shirt is pleasant but unsuitable for a formal event. Someone chooses another and keeps the first for a different occasion. This decision need not declare pleasantness an error. In the reverse scene, clothing looks successful but presses and obstructs movement. Its form can change although a photograph turned out well. Each choice has a specific subject. Sensation need not defeat a situation's requirements, and those requirements give no complete answer about what someone is able to experience.

Two separate descriptions help precision: what I feel in this item and how I evaluate its appearance. If the latter includes an assumption about another's impression, mark it as an assumption. Another person may see differently. Even a confirmed opinion reports nothing directly about your sensation of fabric. The available distinction retains external discussion and your own experience without demanding replacement of one evaluation with another or proof that appearance no longer matters at all.

II. Attention can be considered through its subject

At the beginning of his chapter on attention, James connects experienced life with selective interest. [S1] This is a historical psychological argument rather than a contemporary examination of treatment effectiveness. We use only the question of attention's subject: which part of a scene is someone considering now? The text yields neither an obligation to control every sensation nor a promise to remove tension. Its historical physiological and clinical discussions are not appointed current recommendations.

In a hypothetical scene, someone listens to music at home. They notice a rhythm and want some movement. They then imagine how that movement would look on a recording. Another task may emerge: choosing a convincing gesture. This attention also has a subject but no longer coincides with the initial listening. The change can be named without declaring it pathological. Someone may choose a dance form for performance or leave some movement for personal experience if that is what they presently want.

Examination does not demand complete absence of thoughts about appearance. They may return, and someone may respond to them for a while. Ask instead what became unavailable in a particular scene: sound, a sense of support, room to choose a smaller range, or room to stop. The answer may be modest. Especially vivid experience is not required. Clarifying attention's subject matters even when it only reveals that you are no longer listening to music but evaluating an imagined recording.

Tasks can be changed freely. First select clothing for its look and then examine movement in it; first rehearse a form and then listen without recording. One mode of attention need not be declared true and another corrupted. External evaluation is useful where a corresponding goal exists. It begins to interfere if it becomes every sensual activity's sole subject without explanation. Adult choice restores an available aim rather than promising permanently to eliminate interest in your own attractiveness.

III. Sensuality has more than one content

Our working concept of sensuality is not reduced to sexual attractiveness. Someone can experience taste, sound, light, warmth, or movement without another participant or an intention to arouse anyone's desire. Intimate interest is possible too, but cannot automatically be appointed the meaning of every touch or pleasant texture. Name a particular quality for precision. Discussion then gains a subject which need not prove attractiveness, belonging to an image, or readiness for intimacy.

In a hypothetical scene, someone chooses a mug because they enjoy its weight and warmth in their hands. Another prefers lightweight dishes which are easy to hold. Both descriptions can be sensual in the article's working sense. People need not be divided into sufficiently sensitive and incapable of enjoyment. Availability differs, and today's preference may change. You can name a quality of your experience without supposing everyone must notice the same details or assign them equal importance.

Available perception has limits too. Not everyone hears, sees, or feels touch identically. The article requires neither completing a checklist of every sense nor treating an absent sensation as a deficiency. Choose what is available and suits your circumstances. An unsuitable activity

can be left. Sensuality should not become another norm of a complete body demanding conformity to an already depicted image of open, vivid, uninterrupted perception of the world.

In this sense, simple experience is not poor by definition. You enjoy one sound, a particular light, a familiar texture, or a small movement. The description can remain as it is. Neither a spiritual result nor a story of returning to your real self need be added. Available pleasantness has its own scale. It may coexist with thoughts about appearance without proving them or requiring everyone in your life immediately to share it or declare it culturally significant.

IV. A poetic image need not turn you into an object of evaluation

The selected haiku by Bashō brings together a pond, a frog's movement, and the sound of water. [S2] This is a brief poetic image rather than instructions for psychotherapy. We use only room for attention to an event which evaluates no observer's attractiveness. The poem is said to express neither an entire Japanese tradition nor a universal form of sensual experience. Editorial comparison retains the difference between literary form and our own everyday practice.

Imagine someone walking after rain. Footsteps, reflected light, or cool air may occupy their attention. Sometimes they want a photograph. Photography has its own subject and can be a pleasant part of a walk. But preserving an impression requires neither including yourself in the frame nor checking the persuasiveness of your image. One available element can be noticed and left without public confirmation. This makes neither the walk superior nor another's photographs less worthy.

A reverse example protects distinction from an extreme. Someone enjoys photography and actually wants to construct a composition. Appearance evaluation then constitutes the chosen task rather than necessarily depriving them of experience. They can observe light as a photographer, discuss form, and enjoy the result. The question appears if this task is assigned automatically when they wanted simply to walk. Our practice clarifies choice rather than banning the art of images for allegedly pure perception without thought.

The poetic fragment does not require seeking a special state in every ordinary object either. An unpleasant sound, tiring light, or unenjoyable walk can be named. An impression need not match a literary image. You need not force quietness, unity, or gratitude to count experience as accomplished. Available observation and room to choose subsequent action are enough. Literature can expand a question but gains no right to assign a correct sensation to someone in today's particular scene.

V. Movement can be experienced and studied in different tasks

Someone may move for performance, learning, an ordinary journey, or enjoyment of movement itself. These tasks have different criteria. Form matters in learning, sometimes requiring outside observation. Interest in a small scene of your own may concern rhythm or a sense of space. Neither need automatically cancel the other. The article offers no sporting or treatment instructions. It considers a chosen task's meaning and a right not to make every movement a public demonstration of attractiveness.

In a hypothetical dance lesson, an instructor discusses a gesture's accuracy. A participant can use a specific remark while separately noticing whether the chosen rhythm is appealing. Evaluation of technical form need not become an overall verdict on the body. Clarify what is being corrected: direction, sequence, or coordination with a partner. If a comment only mocks appearance, conversation has another subject. Distinction retains room to learn without demanding acceptance of every humiliation as a necessary price for education.

At home, someone can try a smaller movement without recording if it is ordinary and available to them. Neither immediate performance for others nor a photograph proving change is required. Room to stop remains. If attention returns to imagined appearance, notice it and decide which task to continue. This is an editorial exercise rather than an established bodily treatment or courage test. A result may consist only in more precisely naming what someone wanted to do.

An outside view sometimes helps your own purpose nevertheless. Someone does not notice clothing catching on an object until another reports the specific obstruction. That observation can change the choice of clothing or movement. It need not be rejected as an evaluation of attractiveness. The message's content and connection with a task matter. Inner sensation and every external response cannot be assigned to opposing camps in advance. They can complement one another when each answer addresses its subject without declaring the entire person successful or unsuccessful.

VI. Intimacy retains consent and separate experiences

In intimacy, someone may notice touch and simultaneously think about how they look to a partner. These questions can matter, but neither automatically reports the other. An assumption about your own attractiveness proves no partner's desire. Your pleasantness does not mean pleasantness for them either. Consent concerns specific participation and can change. It cannot come from an inner sensation or a photograph which seems to confirm a desired image of a relationship.

In a hypothetical scene, one person proposes touch while another prefers simply sitting together. The response can be heard and an available shared form chosen without making refusal proof of a bad body. Nor need participation continue to demonstrate sufficient sensuality. A proposal retains interest while a boundary limits action. Discussion can be short and definite: is this suitable now, would something else be preferable, can we stop? Another's response remains independent information unreplaced by your supposition about its hidden meaning.

Attention to sensation should not be promised to make intimacy calm. Someone may continue to feel tension or not want participation. Stopping, another scale, and available conversation are then permissible. Absent enjoyment needs no explanation through insufficient openness or inability to accept yourself. The article assesses no causes of intimate difficulties. It retains room to describe experience without conforming to a script of correct attractiveness or granting another access to what you do not choose.

If a relationship involves coercion, this practice need not be tested to prove trust in the body. Available safety conditions and support can be sought for a specific situation. A small distinction does not cancel another's actual power or make danger an incorrect subject of attention. A right to stop has a practical destination. It concerns participation chosen now rather than a promise always to be ready for every sensual experience after once acknowledging a right to pleasantness.

VII. Care for the body need not serve only an observer's gaze

Clothes, a comfortable place, and ordinary care can have several grounds. Someone likes the look, values the sensation, and has a particular task. The article requires no single ground. Notice which one is lost when all care is described solely through attractiveness. Comfort is an available property of a choice. An appealing texture need not be permitted only through image evaluation. Sensual pleasantness nevertheless replaces no information about a product's suitability or conditions of use.

In a hypothetical scene, someone selects a towel. One looks good on a shelf; another feels better in ordinary use. Budget and availability participate too. You can decide which subject is central now without making a small purchase an overall programme of improving your relationship with yourself. An expensive object is not required to acknowledge a sensation. An existing item can be used, an available form changed, or the choice left. Distinction creates no equal opportunities merely by urging care for the body.

Sometimes someone performs a necessary action which gives no pleasantness. This cancels no right to sensual experience in other scenes. Every act of care need not be enjoyment, and every pleasant action is not care merely because it is pleasant. These words have different

tasks. A particular decision can name why an action is needed, how it feels, and which information determines the choice. The article suggests neither judging medical safety by pleasure nor appointing pleasant texture proof of a product's usefulness.

There is also room not to turn care into a public report. Someone may not describe it, publish photographs, or prove sufficiently careful treatment of themselves. A shared task requires discussion of its relevant part; the rest may remain private. Sensuality beyond attractiveness evaluation demands neither a secret life nor abandoning others' attention. It retains experience which need not pass an audience's acceptance every time before counting as an available part of your own life.

VIII. A compliment and a sensation can be accepted separately

Another person may say you look good. This reports their evaluation and can be heard with gratitude, joy, or no particular feeling. It need not confirm every part of your experience. A compliment removes no discomfort from clothing. If movement is enjoyable, absent praise does not make pleasantness an error. Distinction avoids requiring external words to give complete permission for relating to your body or accusing a speaker merely for an interest in appearance.

In a hypothetical scene, someone receives praise for shoes in which walking is difficult. They can accept the evaluation and change shoes. Neither a dispute about taste nor proof of insincere praise is needed. Another may actually consider the look beautiful. Your own decision concerns sensation and an available task. This shows that independence does not always appear as disagreement. Friendly conversation can remain while an action changes, without making a compliment an instruction to continue discomfort for confirmation of attractiveness.

Another scene concerns an absent response. Someone chose a pleasant shirt, but nobody remarked on it. This does not reveal that everyone finds them unattractive: perhaps people are busy or do not discuss clothes. Yet the central distinction requires no proof of a hidden positive opinion either. Fabric's touch remains a separate available experience. You can retain it without a story that others secretly admire you but cannot say so. An unknown remains unknown.

The reverse boundary matters too. Someone wants a particular opinion and may request it in a suitable conversation. Interest in attractiveness makes them neither automatically dependent nor superficial. One response should simply become no universal outcome. Another may not share a taste, and you can continue considering the choice. Your own sensation, a specific external evaluation, and the overall image of a relationship remain separate. They can be discussed alongside one another without making one message resolve every question at once.

IX. A right to feel does not become a duty to enjoy

A new examination may arise: since sensuality does not depend on attractiveness, I must immediately notice every sensation's richness. The body is again evaluated through a correct result. Absent pleasantness produces an accusation of insufficient attentiveness. You can choose an ordinary activity and obtain boredom, neutrality, or a wish to stop. This available result need not be hidden. The article establishes neither a norm of experiential intensity nor maturity through the number of pleasant details noticed.

In a hypothetical scene, someone walks in a familiar place but thinks about a particular work task. They can continue reflecting or briefly attend to an available element of the walk. Neither choice need witness disconnection from life. Thinking sometimes matters; sometimes a task change is wanted. Distinction concerns today's decision rather than a complete prohibition on analysing the world. Sensual experience does not become true only after removing every thought, plan, and relationship from attention.

If an attempt to attend to sensation is unsuitable, choose an external sound, an ordinary object, or finish altogether. The activity need not be intensified to obtain the correct feeling at last. The practice investigates no causes of difficulties and replaces no help someone needs. It offers only a small form of considering a task while retaining room to stop. An absent result proves no personal deficiency and requires no riskier next experience to test trust in the body or courage.

A meaningful result may be a noticed difference. You wanted music but selected an angle; wanted a comfortable item but checked an opinion; wanted conversation with a partner but tried to guess their desire beforehand. This record guarantees no joy but clarifies the subject of a subsequent decision. External evaluation sometimes remains central because it is the chosen task. Sometimes you return to sensation. Both outcomes retain your own consideration without a command continually to demonstrate a new correct sensuality.

X. Someone can experience the body while retaining interest in its appearance

The person in the opening scene can choose a shirt at home for pleasant texture and consider other conditions for a meeting. A definitive decision is unnecessary. A mirror remains an available tool rather than the sole owner of an answer. Sensation gains a name and limit. Appearance can still interest them. The change is that pleasantness need no longer first prove the image's attractiveness to have a place in today's choice.

Retain several questions. What is felt? What is appealing or obstructive? Which look is needed for a particular situation? Who participates and consents to what? Which conditions limit

action? Answers may diverge. They need not be reduced to an overall bodily evaluation or await approval confirming everything at once. An available decision chooses a form in specific circumstances. It promises no permanent change in relating to appearance and requires no sensual experience to prove successful inner work.

Pleasantness may sometimes be brief and unreported. Sometimes you want to share it, take a photograph, or hear an opinion. Privacy and expression need not compete. A chosen form should neither assume another's consent nor turn your sensation into a compulsory public performance. Some details can remain yours, the shared part can be discussed, and action changed. This precision lets relationships participate in experience without requiring another's gaze to be the only means of knowing what happens to you.

Sensuality beyond attractiveness evaluation means experiencing perceived qualities through their own content. The body can move, feel fabric, hear sound, or participate in intimacy without a compulsory overall rating. That right cancels neither circumstances, consent, nor interest in appearance. It retains a separate question which can be named honestly: what am I able to feel, and which form do I choose now? A simple, changing, incomplete answer remains sufficient to begin a particular decision without an examination of ideal attractiveness.

What this means in practice

Choose a small ordinary activity fitting available circumstances: familiar music, comfortable fabric, an object, or a walk. Neither an unavailable sense, intimate action, nor substantial risk is required. Name a particular quality you want to notice. This is an editorial exercise in distinction whose clinical effectiveness is not established; it identifies no causes of bodily or intimate difficulties.

Record a question about appearance separately if it arises. How good do I look? How will this be seen? Does this question actually need resolving now? Evaluation can serve its corresponding task, or an assumption remain unknown. Ban no thoughts and demand no complete switch. Notice two subjects instead of proving a permanent ability to dispense with mirrors, photographs, or others' opinions.

Examine the chosen action's conditions: time, comfort, availability, and another's consent if someone else participates. Try a small form which can be ended. Unpleasantness, tension, or absent interest permit stopping and another choice. Appoint no intensity of enjoyment a measure of success, and continue through no duty to show sufficient sensuality. Sensation gives no right to dispose of another's participation.

Afterwards, note what actually happened: texture was noticed, sound heard, another form wanted, or an external task recognised as more important now. Retain unknowns. A result may clarify a choice's subject rather than give joy. Your own experience and attractiveness

evaluation remain different answers available for consideration together, without making either obtain the other's permission before someone can name their available experience.

Key quotation

> *“selective interest.”*

William James, The Principles of Psychology, volume I, chapter XI, opening, p. 402; selected Henry Holt, 1918 edition. A short fragment of a historical argument about experience rather than a promise of clinical effects from switching attention. [S1]

Connections between traditions

Comparison	Similarity	Boundary
James and the editorial question about a task	Attention's subject can be clarified.	Historical psychology does not validate a present practice.
Bashō and an ordinary perception scene	Attention to a specific event.	A poetic image proves no reader's condition and represents no entire tradition.

Comparison declares neither poetry nor psychology a shared therapy. Everyday scenes and the practice remain independent editorial analysis.

Primary sources

• S1. William James. The Principles of Psychology. Volume I, Henry Holt, 1918, PG57628; chapter XI opening pp. 402–404 and metadata read. Selected text compared with York University, chapter, 1890. Not the whole chapter or work.

• S2. 芭蕉俳句全集. Bashō, editor 大塚甲山, 1903, Wikisource. The whole selected haiku was read in a primary search result; page metadata and rights labels read. Not the whole anthology; RU/EN fragments are our own translations.

Tags

Sensuality, attractiveness, body, sensation, attention, appearance, fabric, music, movement, walk, taste, sound, light, privacy, consent, intimacy, comfort, mirror, photograph, compliment, choice, availability, your own experience, poetry, Bashō, William James, boundaries, United Wisdom.

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a coauthor of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to examine these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.