

UNITED WISDOM

The Phone During a Pause: What Expectation Am I Serving?

The Person and the Inner World

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

I-25-N02 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

The Phone During a Pause: What Expectation Am I Serving?

Epigraph

“A certain delay.” — Epictetus, Encheiridion, XXXIV; a short fragment from George Long’s translation.

What this article is about

A few minutes remain before a meeting. Someone sits down, takes out a phone and opens their messages. There is no new reply. They close the app, look at the time and open the same conversation again. Habit or boredom seems an easy explanation. Sometimes, however, the purpose is more specific: a decision from a colleague, confirmation from someone close, a delivery update, a sign of reconciliation. The phone becomes the place where an answer to a particular question should appear. Counting checks alone leaves that question out of view. An identical movement can serve different tasks and carry different expectations, so it helps to begin with one episode that can still be described without delivering a general verdict on oneself.

Consider a fictional Anna. She is waiting for her partner to message about the evening and checks the conversation during brief gaps in her working day. Initially, she needs information to organise her return home. Later, she notices that she hopes to read a warm greeting as well as a meeting time. The absence of a message begins to mean more than an uncertain schedule. This is an editorial example, not a client story or an observation of an author. Its useful distinction is between available information, a desired reply and the personal meaning of a delay. No single app setting resolves all three questions at once, although the device may help with some practical conditions.

This article invites a closer look at the expectation sustaining a particular check. It sets no permitted number of visits to a screen, proposes no withdrawal from necessary communication and identifies no addiction from one gesture. We will consider actual responsibilities, agreements with people, the hand’s familiar route and the possibility of choosing an action that fits the task. Scientific information is limited to the sources actually read; historical texts help frame questions without becoming instructions for managing a phone. The practice is a voluntary brief note. Its outcome may be an ordinary decision: check the relevant channel, clarify an agreement, seek entertainment or leave a question open for now, without promising oneself immediate relief.

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

I. A Check May Have an Addressee

A useful opening question is concrete: what would need to appear on the screen for this check to complete its task? For someone waiting for a courier to provide an entrance number, the answer is straightforward. For someone opening a general message list, it may be less definite. Sometimes the wish is to know whether anyone has written; sometimes to see a message from one person; sometimes to find a reason not to return to a difficult task. These descriptions are not diagnoses, nor do they make one intention nobler than another. They identify the work being assigned to the device and the signs by which its completion could be recognised in this episode.

Even when waiting for one person, we may hope for different answers: information about plans and confirmation of attention. Anna needs her partner to tell her a time, while also wanting confirmation that their meeting matters to him. The first can be expressed in a precise message. The second depends on a wider experience of the relationship and does not always fit reliably inside one brief reply. If she checks the conversation hoping to resolve both questions together, any answer may seem insufficient: a time is given, but no special warmth appears. This is a possible structure of the fictional episode, not a pattern to impose on other people. Another person’s brevity may have many causes that a conversation has yet to establish.

Clarifying an expectation sometimes reveals no particular addressee. Someone wants something pleasant, amusing or new and opens a familiar app. They may call it entertainment without inventing an urgent work requirement. A question worth exploring arises when the action ceases to fit the original intention: a few minutes of rest become a search for an answer to a question nobody received. The transition can be described without blaming oneself for pleasure. Next time, it may be enough to recognise the familiar change of task: I came to watch something funny, and now I am trying to use strangers’ reactions to assess my significance or the quality of this day.

II. Availability Needs an Agreement

Some situations require checking a phone. A person on duty awaits an important signal; a parent coordinates meeting a child; someone receives information about medical assistance; a passenger checks a route change. Advice simply to avoid looking at a screen may conflict with their actual responsibilities. The discussion of expectation therefore begins with circumstances, rather than an ideal image of a calm person. Which messages are needed now? Who is relying on a reply? Is there an agreed channel for urgent contact? These questions determine what can be changed at all. An attention exercise must not be carried out at the expense of safety, agreed work or access to necessary help.

An agreement about availability contains more than a promise to remain in touch. It may include a channel, a time, the meaning of urgency and what to do if there is no reply. If a colleague posts a task in a group conversation and then treats silence as acceptance, the uncertainty is not created solely by an employee's habit. It matters whether confirmation is required and by when. Where discussion is possible, this is an ordinary organisational question. A person may lack authority to change team rules independently; describing the conditions then helps more than advice to assert themselves at any cost. The scope for action depends on their position, responsibility and possible consequences, which the article cannot know in advance.

For Anna, such a conversation might concern the evening: by when the plans need to be settled and what to do if a message is delayed. It does not require an account of every minute of her partner's day. She can propose a limited agreement connected to a shared action and hear the conditions he offers in return. Another person may be busy and cannot guarantee an instant reply to every emotional expectation. Her need for a clear schedule is also legitimate. The conversation becomes more precise when both discuss a particular shared matter instead of proving who is generally too demanding or insufficiently attentive. Not all uncertainty will disappear, but part of it may acquire a clearer form.

III. Habit Does Not Reveal Every Intention

Oulasvirta, Rattenbury, Ma and Raita's 2012 paper examines habitual brief phone checking. The author abstract read in the university index describes repeated inspection of quickly accessible changing content. We have not read the full paper or data. This limited account helps identify a familiar sequence, without establishing the cause of Anna's particular check or diagnosing a reader. The research also concerns its own period and studied devices; a current app and user need their own description.

In a personal episode, a route may be visible: a free minute appeared, the hand found the phone and a finger opened a particular screen. An explanation of why may arrive after the movement. This does not necessarily mean someone is lying to themselves. They may have several compatible reasons, some of which they have not yet formulated. For example, they may genuinely await a work reply while also wanting distraction. A note can preserve both reasons without selecting a single hidden cause. It helps to distinguish the observed sequence of actions from a later hypothesis about intention: the first was noticed; the second remains a possibility to consider alongside other available circumstances.

Calling a check habitual is insufficient to decide whether it should change. A familiar action may be appropriate and useful, such as checking a necessary timetable before a journey. The question comes from particular consequences: someone repeatedly opens a channel where no reply could yet have arrived, becomes late for their own task or loses track of what they are

waiting for. One consequence can be considered without a conclusion about their whole character. Even if a movement has become automatic, a subsequent decision remains connected to circumstances. Sometimes retaining a useful habit, clarifying its purpose and checking the relevant screen once makes more sense than beginning a struggle against every use of the phone.

IV. A New Signal and a Desired Confirmation

A message may contain a fact, a request, an expression of feeling or a combination of them. Someone waiting for one element may find another insufficient. Anna receives the meeting time but continues refreshing the conversation because she hopes for another line. The organisational question is resolved, while the expectation of confirmation remains. It can be noticed without demanding that it disappear immediately. Wanting attention is a human wish that deserves a precise description. Constant checking, however, does not itself tell a partner what needs discussing. The device does not translate an internal hope into a clear request or establish whether the other person agrees to answer it in the chosen way.

It helps to ask what answer would count as sufficient and why. Sometimes there are no conditions of sufficiency: any reply can be examined for tone, delay, length and punctuation. This observation does not yet explain the experience or prove a disorder. It shows that the informational task has a different structure from what was expected. It can be moved out of the conversation into a personal description: I find it difficult to identify the signs of attention I am relying on. If a conversation is wanted, there is now a more honest subject for it. A list of demands is unnecessary; someone can describe a particular episode and ask how the other person understands it, leaving room for an unexpected answer.

At the same time, not every worry should be explained by an internal expectation. Messages may genuinely contradict one another, promises may regularly be broken or important information may be withheld. In that case, consider the facts of the relationship and conditions of safety instead of practising tolerance of uncertainty at any cost. This article cannot determine a reader’s situation. Reflection only needs to leave room for both possibilities: I hope for confirmation; there are circumstances in the relationship that need a separate assessment. Where threats, coercion or a risk of harm are present, appropriate help and safe decisions are needed, rather than an experiment in postponing phone use to prove personal endurance.

V. An Absent Message Still Needs Interpreting

A screen without a new reply communicates a limited fact: no visible message is currently present in the channel inspected. It does not say what another person thinks, why they are silent or what decision they have already made. Even a read receipt does not provide access to all circumstances. This does not make every explanation equally plausible. Previous agreements and the history of contact matter. Still, it helps to distinguish the fact discovered from the meaning assigned to it. Anna might note: there is no reply about the time yet; I assumed the meeting did not matter. Her experience is preserved, while the part requiring further information is identified.

Sometimes an imagined answer changes with each check. Initially, someone is certain the other person is busy; next they suspect irritation; later they expect a refusal. Throughout, the app displays the same screen. The change of interpretation follows a new train of thought, rather than necessarily a new event in the relationship. This gap can be noticed without banning thoughts or forcing a reassuring version. Leaving a question open means acknowledging insufficient information. It promises no good outcome and does not prove a worry meaningless. Decisions needed before a reply arrives can be made with uncertainty and the available safe options in view.

Instead of another check, a different action may be needed: clarify a deadline, call through an agreed urgent channel, finish preparing independently of the answer or reschedule a plan. The choice depends on the task and acceptable consequences. If there is a concrete reason to fear for someone’s safety, generic advice to wait does not apply. If the issue is only an unconfirmed evening time, a simpler decision may suffice. An exercise must not create a new prohibition on seeking necessary information. Checking a phone is one way of acting in the presence of something unknown. It does not replace every other option, and it need not be wrong for someone to choose a different suitable approach.

VI. A Small Interval for a Decision

In XXXIV of the Encheiridion, Epictetus proposes delaying before an impression of pleasure and considering consequences. This is a Stoic ethical argument, not smartphone research. We do not make its valuation of abstention and victory over attraction a general standard for readers. A limited question is useful here: can I identify what I expect before the next action? If the situation is safe and requires no immediate communication, a small interval may make room for that question. The historical text sets no duration for it, and the absence of such an interval measures neither a person’s moral worth nor their degree of freedom.

This possibility differs from delay for its own sake. Someone may avoid opening a phone for a long time while waiting for a single message throughout, checking for it mentally even more

often. An outward refusal of the screen does not prove a changed relationship to expectation. The editorial trial therefore gives more importance to naming a task than accumulating minutes of abstention. For example: I need a meeting time, and if no reply arrives by the agreed deadline, I will choose the next step. Or: I want entertainment before beginning work and can honestly open a short video. In both cases, an action is related to an intention without turning the interval into a contest of self-control.

An interval is not always available. Intense work, illness, caring for another person or danger may bring different priorities. Additional self-analysis cannot be required before every necessary reply. If the question about expectation increases tension or begins to repeat intrusively, the trial can stop. Its value is limited to whether it helps someone find their way in a particular episode. It should not become one more signal they must monitor endlessly. The option to decline the exercise remains alongside the options to check a phone, ask for help and attend to necessary matters without writing a detailed explanation of every movement.

VII. What an Email Study Does Not Decide for Us

The publisher abstract read for Kushlev and Dunn’s study describes two weeks involving 124 adults under different email-checking conditions; lower daily stress is reported when checks were limited. We have not read the full paper, methods or data, and direct page access was restricted. The study concerns email under its studied conditions and sets no schedule for every phone. Nor does it promise improved well-being to a particular person. Our brief expectation note does not reproduce its intervention and has no effectiveness established by this study. Necessary channels for work, care and help remain a separate condition of any decision.

For Anna, a communication schedule concerns who expects a reply and when. She may notice that she checks a personal conversation rather than work email, without treating them as interchangeable. Someone else may have several urgent channels that cannot be fitted into a single schedule. Before making changes, it helps to understand the differences: one channel needs confirmation now, another permits a later response and a third serves leisure. This examination requires neither disabling notifications nor immediately reorganising a device. Sometimes it is enough to stop explaining every personal check as a work necessity; sometimes conditions need discussing beyond the scope of an individual habit.

A personal trial supplies only a small amount of material for a choice. If someone checks less after clarifying a task, that does not yet explain the cause of the change or demonstrate a general effect. If the number stays the same, they may still have understood a particular responsibility or expectation better. Such a note cannot be declared a scientific well-being scale. It can inform a personal question or a conversation with a suitable person. A persistent difficulty noticeably interfering with sleep, work or necessary activities may be discussed with

a professional; the article diagnoses nothing and does not substitute increasingly strict communication limits for that discussion.

VIII. Eagerness Needs Knowledge of the Task

Proverbs 19:2 places eagerness beside insufficient knowledge, and haste beside losing the way. It is a short instruction from wisdom literature in a religious context, not an account of digital behaviour. We do not carry the surrounding historical punishments into a contemporary practice. A question for comparison is: do I know where my wish for an answer is directed? Speed does not itself make an action mistaken; an urgent message may need immediate attention. But diligent repeated checking does not show that the chosen channel can provide the knowledge sought. Sometimes a person increases the frequency of an action without clarifying which question they are trying to resolve.

For Anna, that question might concern the meaning of the relationship rather than the evening's meeting time. She may care about it, but scheduling information answers only part of it. Two further tasks can be distinguished: organise tonight and, when appropriate, discuss how each person expresses attention. The first has an immediate deadline; the second requires an agreed conversation and a willingness to listen. This distinction guarantees no successful outcome, but allows an action to be chosen for its subject. If someone answers only about a schedule, they are not necessarily evading a question they have never been asked. Learning their position requires contact that permits an actual answer, rather than only the desired confirmation.

This comparison does not claim that Stoics, biblical tradition and phone researchers are saying the same thing. Their foundations, languages and aims differ. A historical ethical question helps examine an action's direction; a scientific paper describes a limited object; an editorial example presents a possible everyday situation. They can stand alongside one another while retaining differences and room for disagreement. A reader need not adopt religious or Stoic beliefs to name an informational task. Conversely, personal faith is not reducible to a technique for productive screen use. Contact between approaches is valuable where a question becomes clearer, rather than where distinctions disappear into a common slogan.

IX. One Episode Instead of Monitoring Yourself

One ordinary safe interval without an obligation to answer immediately is enough for a trial. If no such opportunity exists now, the exercise can wait. No counter, whole-day record or inspection of someone else’s device is needed. Someone notices a particular movement towards the screen and, if possible, names the intended task before the next action. They may check the channel immediately where necessity calls for it. The note aims to reveal a relationship between expectation and action. It requires neither deliberately creating anxiety nor keeping oneself without communication, nor demonstrating greater tolerance than yesterday despite actual circumstances.

A brief note can have four parts: where the check arose; what answer I expected; what I actually found; what action fits now. Plain words without names or conversation details are enough. For example: before a meeting; expected a time confirmation; no new message; will check the agreed channel later or choose an option independent of a reply. If the expectation was emotional, it can be named without quoting someone else’s messages. This is personal material that need not be uploaded to an app, shown to an employer or sent to an article’s author. Storage conditions and the right not to disclose matter more than completeness, especially where other people appear in the episode.

After acting, one question can be revisited: did the information obtained match what I was seeking? If not, consider why the next screen should answer better. Not every check is pointless; a new signal may genuinely have arrived. But moving from a conversation to a feed and then to email sometimes changes the subject of expectation unnoticed. A note describes the route of one episode. It cannot reveal a stable mechanism of all behaviour. The trial may end without an impressive conclusion: the task remains unnamed; part of the expectation remains; the necessary message was received. Sufficiency is judged against the original question, rather than an ideal of complete transparency to oneself.

X. A Clear Enough Next Action

A phone need not disappear from pauses for them to become more understandable. It can remain a means of contact, entertainment, orientation and help. A more precise intention primarily changes the basis for choosing: which action fits my task under these conditions? Sometimes it will be an immediate check; sometimes a request for a reply deadline; sometimes rest without an additional check. Nobody has to prove they always choose the last option. It matters more to distinguish them and notice when one method attempts another’s work. This is the limited freedom of a particular decision, which neither cancels external conditions nor requires complete control of every impulse.

For Anna, a useful result might be a simple sentence: right now I am waiting for a particular sign of attention, rather than new information. Questions about the relationship remain afterwards and cannot be resolved by recognition alone. She may choose a suitable conversation, reconsider an expectation or leave it open for now. Her partner also has a position of his own. The article does not promise that a clear request will be met or a delay will prove harmless. But a concrete formulation assigns less to a single screen: it displays available messages and delivers no final judgement on a person’s worth, a relationship’s quality or a day’s meaning. Those questions require a wider consideration.

Checking a phone during a pause becomes material for self-inquiry when a person can see the question behind the movements as well as their number. Sometimes the question can be resolved by the relevant information. Sometimes it needs an agreement, communication, a different action or help. Sometimes the wish is only for a little entertainment, and acknowledging it is enough. None of these outcomes entails universally abandoning technology. Necessary communication can be preserved while noticing what is expected from the next opening. The beginning is small: one gesture, one expectation and one next step chosen in light of actual circumstances and the right not to continue the exercise.

What this means in practice

Choose one safe episode with no obligation to answer immediately. First identify actual conditions: necessary channels for assistance, work, care and urgent communication remain available. If changing your availability could affect someone else, an individual trial does not replace an agreement. Do not disable necessary notifications to complete the exercise. If you wish, name what you expect from the check: particular information, contact with a person, pleasure or something still unclear. Then choose a permissible action. Checking immediately is allowed. What matters is comparing the result with the original expectation, rather than achieving an obligatory delay or a preset number of screen-free minutes.

Briefly note the situation, expected answer, discovered fact and next step. If an assumption about someone’s silence appeared, identify it separately: it does not become established knowledge about them. The note can be mental, on paper or not retained at all. Other people’s messages, names, work information and personal data are unnecessary. The material is yours; the exercise requires no transfer to a service, manager, partner or author. If the expected answer concerns a shared matter, consider which specific agreement could be discussed and whether conditions permit a safe conversation that takes both people’s possibilities into account.

End after one episode. It may show that the check fulfilled its task, that the task changed or that the expectation remains unnamed. These results explain no entire life and confirm no scientific effectiveness of the exercise. If observation increases tension, stop it. Where safety

is threatened, follow the necessary local arrangements for help; a persistent interfering difficulty may be discussed with a professional. Do not restrict sleep, food, prescribed care or important communication. Ordinary work, rest, a necessary message or a conversation may be the next step. The practice ends when a particular question has an available action, rather than when every feeling of uncertainty disappears.

Key quotation

“Zeal without knowledge.” — Proverbs 19:2, a short fragment from the World English Bible. Its context concerns discernment and haste; the fragment sets no phone schedule.

Connections between traditions

• Epictetus, XXXIV: ethical consideration of an impression before acting. The article uses a question about intention without requiring abstention from every pleasure.

• Proverbs 19:2: religious wisdom literature attends to the relationship between eagerness and knowledge. It is no digital protocol or justification of punishments in the surrounding historical text.

• Contemporary phone- and email-checking studies concern specific objects and conditions. They establish neither the identity of historical teachings nor the effectiveness of the proposed personal note.

• Editorial comparison: ask whether a selected means can supply the answer sought. Each approach retains its own foundations and limits.

Sources

1. Epictetus. Encheiridion, XXXIV, George Long translation. The entire short section was read; the whole collection was not. A historical ethical text, not phone research.

2. Proverbs 19:2, World English Bible. The selected verse, adjacent 19:1–3 and publisher’s public-domain notice were read. The Russian fragment is an editorial rendering, not a separate WEB edition.

3. Oulasvirta A., Rattenbury T., Ma L., Raita E. Habits make smartphone use more pervasive. Personal and Ubiquitous Computing, 2012, 16(1):105–114, DOI 10.1007/s00779-011-0412-2. The indexed author abstract was read; the full paper and data were not. Explicit portal TDM and AI-training restrictions remain; source text is neither imported nor vectorised.

4. Kushlev K., Dunn E. W. Checking email less frequently reduces stress. Computers in Human Behavior, 2015, 43:220–228, DOI 10.1016/j.chb.2014.11.005. The publisher abstract and partial

snippets in publisher search results were read; direct opening returned 403 and full-text access is restricted. The full paper, methods and data were not read; access was not bypassed.

Tags

phone, pause, expectation, messages, availability, agreements, attention, intention, phone checking, digital life, uncertainty, relationships, communication, urgent contact, work, care, habit, information, assumption, interpretation, self-inquiry, personal note, privacy, Epictetus, Stoicism, Proverbs, wisdom literature, research limits, freedom of choice, United Wisdom

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.