

UNITED WISDOM

The Beauty of an Ordinary Thing

Meaning and the Path

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

P-20-N04 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

The Beauty of an Ordinary Thing

How can we notice what we use every day?

United Wisdom · Meaning and the Path · P-20-N04

About this article

There is a cup in your kitchen that you choose more often than the others. Perhaps there is nothing remarkable about it: ordinary ceramic, a quiet color, a comfortable handle. You would never show it to guests as a special find. Then, one morning, light falls across its rim. Your hand registers its familiar weight, and for a moment the object stops being invisible. Something appears beyond its ability to hold tea. Has the cup become more beautiful, or have you encountered it differently?

Everyday beauty challenges the habit of looking for significance only in exceptional things. It can also become another product, a demand for a certain interior, or advice to enjoy conditions someone wishes to change. Here we distinguish attention, comfort, attachment, and care. Our sources are philosophical texts and cultural examples, offering no proof that beautiful objects have therapeutic effects. The domestic scenes are imagined, helping us think without representing anyone’s biography.

1. A cup that needs no display

Imagine two views of the same kitchen. One belongs to a photographer, who removes the bread bag, turns the cup, and finds the light. The other belongs to someone eating breakfast before leaving: the tea should stay warm, a spoon should be nearby, and there should be room on the table. Neither view need be false. Each establishes a different relationship with things.

A photograph can reveal a form we have overlooked. It can also quietly impose a condition: everyday life deserves attention only when it resembles a beautiful picture. We then keep subtracting things from life: a cable, a medicine packet, someone else’s mug, yesterday’s unfinished work. The composition offers an order that is difficult to inhabit without spoiling it.

The cup we began with need not pass that test. Its qualities may emerge through use: enough room for your fingers, a pleasing rim, a surface made familiar by hundreds of touches. What matters is the object and the way it enters a morning. Remove it from that relationship, and part of its appeal may become difficult to explain.

Discussing everyday aesthetics, Yuriko Saito identifies a difficulty: making the ordinary extraordinary can lose sight of its very ordinariness. One philosophical task is to appreciate the

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

familiar as familiar, without necessarily turning it into a spectacle. Saito, “Aesthetics of the Everyday,” section 3.

This suggests a possibility: describe things’ place in life more precisely, without promoting each one to museum status. Beauty sometimes appears in a striking moment of vision. Sometimes it is closer to a quiet fit between object, hand, and action. It need not surprise us every time. A familiar cup can remain simply a cup all day and still deserve attention.

2. Beauty discovered by the hands

Ordinary things have qualities that images struggle to convey. A door handle may look restrained and elegant while pressing unpleasantly against your fingers. A chair may have a light silhouette yet leave you constantly searching for a comfortable position. A towel may hang beautifully and do its job poorly. Appearance and the experience of use can pull apart.

Now imagine scissors that sit comfortably in your hand, or a drawer that closes without a struggle. Here pleasure belongs to an action: the object responds well to your movement. You notice a completed gesture, a suitable weight, the absence of unnecessary effort. This offers no universal formula for beauty. But our account becomes poorer if we exclude everything discovered through touch and use.

At the beginning of Art as Experience, John Dewey proposes restoring the connection between the aesthetic and everyday activities and experiences. His philosophical approach lets us look beyond the isolated, revered object. It concerns the relationship between art and life; it is not an experiment on the benefits of domestic design. Dewey, 1934, chapter I.

Extending that thought in our own terms, we might ask what an object makes possible, comfortable, or precise. Alongside “How does it look?” comes “What is it like to live with?” A cup has a handle, but different hands use it. One person needs a light vessel; another prefers a reassuring weight. Comfort requires an actual person, beyond the ideal body imagined in a product description.

Pleasure in use still leaves room for other kinds of beauty. A delicate glass may appeal through its fragility, even though it needs careful handling. We can choose between qualities, recognizing their costs without turning our choice into a universal rule. A photograph rarely shows the care a beautiful object requires. Imagine a handsome pitcher that takes two hands to lift and almost always drips. You might accept that inconvenience for a special dinner and choose another for breakfast. Both decisions can be thoughtful: their circumstances differ.

3. The part of a cup that is not clay

An ordinary object suggests that form includes a relationship between what we see and what has been given room. We can study a cup's wall thickness, handle, and glaze. Yet drinking depends on the space those walls enclose. Fill it with ceramic, and the familiar outline will no longer be a cup in the same sense.

Chapter 11 of the Tao Te Ching uses a vessel, a wheel, and a room to explore how usefulness depends on unfilled space. The text is traditionally attributed to Laozi; we read it here in James Legge's translation. It is a philosophical reflection, not a set of instructions for a minimalist interior. Tao Te Ching, chapter 11.

For our purposes, the example also has a literal interest. A table makes room for what will happen on it. Clear space beside a chopping board permits movement. A gap between chairs can allow someone to pass. Objects create an environment in which the absence of one more object sometimes becomes a tangible quality.

This does not make an empty home spiritually superior to a full one. A clear surface may be convenient, or feel lifeless to someone who enjoys surrounding themselves with books, photographs, and work in progress. A room serves several people; activities require different densities of things. A philosophical metaphor is easily spoiled when it becomes a judgment on someone else's shelf.

A more precise question is: what is this space being kept for? An elbow at a shared table, a child's drawing, the chance to leave work out overnight? Beauty may involve the suitability of such space, although it is difficult to buy as an object. Sometimes the change we need is a little clearing. Sometimes it is an addition. Its meaning depends on the life that must fit there.

4. Simplicity can take work

A simple object need not have arisen effortlessly. An even rim, a stable base, or a restrained color can contain many decisions we never see. An unassuming appearance tells us little about price, origin, or the amount of labor involved. Looking ordinary and being affordable are different properties.

In The Book of Tea, Okakura Kakuzō describes attention to everyday beauty and incompleteness. In his chapter on the tea-room, he also emphasizes the careful preparation behind its apparent simplicity. We are reading one author's early twentieth-century interpretation of tea culture, rather than a single voice speaking for all of Japan. Okakura, 1906, chapters I and IV.

A particular object provides a comparison: a black Raku tea bowl at the Metropolitan Museum of Art. The record describes hand molding and dates the bowl to the early seventeenth century. Its attribution to Chōjirō is tentative. Its quiet appearance belongs to a specific cultural history. The Met, object 17.118.74.

This example helps us avoid two extremes. Modest form need not mean a lack of skill, and every irregularity need not express a profound tradition. We can examine a particular object, learn how it was made, and decide what attracts us to it. Context introduces distinctions without making an inexpensive mug at home less real.

At home, it may matter more to notice how details work together than to recreate someone else's tea-room. Sometimes we can stop adding; sometimes an uncomfortable object needs replacing. Sometimes nothing needs doing: the thing already suits its place. Simplicity acquires substance when we understand what has been preserved and why something was omitted. Otherwise it can become another style we feel obliged to meet.

5. The marks of time need not be beautiful

Imagine a wooden spoon darkened by years of use and a cup with a damaged rim. Both have changed, but their changes differ. One surface may feel pleasantly familiar; the other may give us reason to stop using the object. "Imperfection" is too broad a word to make the decision for us.

Talk of beautiful aging can slip into an easy romance: every crack bears witness to a life, so every crack deserves to be cherished. Yet an object's history does not erase its condition. We might keep it as a memento, repair it, change its use, or let it go. None of these choices automatically demonstrates greater depth of feeling.

At least three questions help. What has changed physically? How does that affect use? What does the change mean to me? You might enjoy a favorite bag's worn surface and dislike its sticking clasp. There is no need to combine those feelings into a noble acceptance of age. You can love something enough to want it repaired.

The reverse deserves care too. Being new does not make something empty or soulless. New bed linen can feel good; a newly bought table can begin an important period of life. Memory need not precede value. Sometimes memories form because an object was good to live with; they do not retrospectively make every surviving possession attractive.

Everyday aesthetics therefore need not choose between worshiping newness and worshiping age. It invites us to consider a particular change without a ready verdict. Something may need repair, have become more comfortable, or have lost its purpose. Time acts differently on

different things. Respecting its traces need not mean preserving each one or turning every instance of wear into a beautiful account of our lives.

6. Precious to me, beautiful to everyone?

Objects can carry meanings we cannot fully show another person. A cup came from someone no longer nearby. A table bears a faint mark from a family's first move. An image can convey shape and color without awakening the same feeling in a viewer. They did not live through the relationship in which the object took part.

This difference leaves attachment intact while releasing a personal possession from the need for universal recognition. We can say "It matters to me" without proving it is an outstanding work. We can also enjoy proportions and surfaces whose origins are unromantic. Aesthetic judgment and biographical value overlap without becoming identical.

Imagine two people sorting a kitchen cupboard. One sees six surplus cups; the other sees six different memories. An argument about beauty quickly becomes a question of whose experience may occupy space. "Keep only what you love" settles very little: their loves already differ, and the cupboard remains shared.

An agreement may be more useful than a final aesthetic verdict. Which objects participate in life now? Which deserve space as mementos? How much room can each person give them? Some may remain in use; others may find another place. The decision need not make both people feel alike. It can respect their attachments and the actual dimensions of the space.

Here ordinary beauty reveals another possibility: an object can connect times and people. But connection should not become a command. Letting a possession go is not the same as rejecting a person; keeping it does not guarantee living memory. Meaning can be respected without giving it unconditional power over the present. Care may take the form of preservation or an agreed parting.

7. Whose work makes the room feel calm?

It is pleasant to enter a kitchen where a clean cup waits on the shelf, the table is clear, and the towel is dry. We might describe calm and beauty as properties of the space. Yet in this imagined household, someone washed the dishes, cleared the food, and changed the towel the evening before. That person's work may fall outside our impression.

In "The Beauty of Life," William Morris connects furnishing a home with usefulness, beauty, and human labor. In the excerpt read here, he objects to things whose manufacture or sale degrades people. This is an author's position, not an established finding about every beautiful object. Morris, "The Beauty of Life".

Carrying the question into our kitchen, we can notice that a pleasant environment is maintained through actions, and their distribution matters. One person calls a collection of small ornaments cozy; another dusts them every week. One loves a perpetually fresh tablecloth; another washes and irons it. Our discussion of beauty becomes fuller when it includes the work that makes it possible.

We need not abandon a beautiful table or suspect exploitation behind every pleasure. We can ask specific questions: who maintains this arrangement, have they agreed to it, and could the work be shared differently? Sometimes the answer is help. Sometimes it is easier upkeep. Sometimes a preference takes too much of someone else's time to remain a household rule without discussion.

Caring for an object can be pleasant when someone chooses it and has the energy. The same actions under pressure can feel different. A romantic description of polishing wood or slowly washing cups cannot settle the question of conditions. Beauty in an action is possible, but nobody owes that experience to another person's comfort. Attention to an object can extend to the person beside it.

8. Beauty does not justify discomfort

Imagine an old staircase with beautiful railings that someone finds difficult to climb. Or a room where sidelight reveals the texture of a wall, while living there means being cold. The aesthetic response may be sincere and still leave the central question of living conditions unanswered. Beauty does not remove the need for change.

Advice to notice beauty can hurt when offered instead of help. Someone describes cramped conditions, noise, or exhausting work and hears, "But there is so much authenticity here." The observer can leave after taking a photograph. The resident stays. Their freedom to choose involvement differs; a beautiful description should not hide that difference.

Respect for the everyday allows irritation, ugliness, and poor arrangements to belong to it too. We need not love a broken handle for its character. Sometimes the most attentive response is to have it repaired. Sometimes it is to say that an object does not suit us, however much someone likes its form. Such a judgment can respect life more fully than dutiful admiration.

Equally, perceived beauty does not become false because circumstances are difficult. We can love light on a wall and want a warm room, value an old house and ask for an accessible entrance, enjoy the color of dishes and have more urgent concerns. These positions can coexist, provided one is not used to forbid the other.

This marks an essential boundary of the article. Looking more carefully is an available freedom, not a duty to reconcile ourselves to discomfort or restricted possibilities. A

successful photograph cannot establish that an environment serves people well. That requires considering different lives, actions, and limitations. Beauty may encourage care for the world without relieving us of the need to change it.

9. Do we need to buy a different morning?

A discussion of everyday beauty can easily produce a shopping list: a cup, a suitable tray, a linen towel, a special lamp. Buying is not automatically a mistake. A well-chosen object can be pleasing and useful. The question concerns the promise we quietly attach to the purchase.

Imagine a picture of a peaceful breakfast. It contains light, free time, an absence of hurry, and a beautiful vessel. The vessel is available to buy; the other conditions may not be included. Confuse them, and the object is tasked with producing an entire way of life. Disappointment may then reflect the scale of that assignment as much as the product's quality.

It helps to make the desire specific. What needs changing: a cup's excessive weight, awkward lighting, a lack of space, the morning schedule itself? Which part can an object address? Sometimes a purchase is an exact answer. Sometimes moving something, agreeing on a time, or accepting a rushed morning is more relevant. An object cannot take responsibility for conditions beyond its reach.

We can also examine what we have before seeking replacements. This can clarify preferences without forbidding anything new. Perhaps you like a glass's clean rim, a towel's softness, or the direction of a lamp's light. Such observations offer firmer grounds for a choice than a general wish to purchase a "mindful" life.

Everyday beauty does not demand a display of modesty. An expensive object is not automatically vain, nor a cheap one morally pure. Buying and declining to buy can both be considered decisions. Can we name actual qualities, account for our means, and use a thing without asking it to certify a successful self? Sometimes a good purchase ends with the object quietly joining everyday life and no longer needing a presentation.

10. Returning without a duty to admire

Return to the cup in the kitchen. The light has changed, the tea is finished, and an ordinary day begins. It would be strange to demand that one minute permanently transform our relationship with domestic life. Attention need not maintain the same intensity. The cup may once again have a single task: to be within reach when needed.

Still, a small observation can clarify a relationship. You now know that you choose it for the handle, beyond mere habit. Or you recognize that you keep it for the giver, though another cup

is easier to drink from. Or you notice a need for repair. Each conclusion is more specific than a general invitation to appreciate simple pleasures.

In the account offered here, ordinary beauty arises through relationships between form, use, circumstances, and a person. It is neither sealed entirely inside the object nor invented arbitrarily. A handle has a particular shape; a hand encounters comfort or resistance. History adds meaning, lighting changes what is visible, and another person may see differently. There is something to discuss even when our final responses differ.

Above all, attention need not become another duty. A person absorbed in a difficult task may overlook cups without becoming less alive. We can dislike domestic rituals, prefer colorful dishes to restrained ones, or have no wish to seek beauty today. Respect for the everyday includes the freedom to pass a day without submitting an aesthetic report.

Perhaps the next encounter will involve something else: a door closing quietly, a jacket sitting comfortably on your shoulders, an old table that finally accommodates two people. Beauty may then be a noticed quality of a particular moment, with no claim to reward a correct way of life. That can be enough. A thing need not become exceptional to hold a real place in our lives.

What this means in practice

Choose one thing you already use. It need not be the most beautiful or even a favorite: a cup, key, towel, or door handle will do. This is an invitation to observe, proposed by the article, rather than a psychological technique with demonstrated results. You can stop if it does not interest you.

First describe two or three qualities without an overall judgment. Is it light or heavy, smooth or rough, cool or warm? Where does your eye linger; where is your hand comfortable? There is no need to find a symbol or life lesson. You may discover only that you prefer a certain thickness of rim.

Then examine the action. What comes easily with this object, and what takes extra effort? Does it suit the person using it now? A familiar possession may have unnoticed strengths and shortcomings to which someone has simply adapted. Discovering discomfort is as legitimate a result as finding pleasure.

Ask separately about history and care. Is there a personal reason to keep it nearby? Who makes it ready for its next use? Would you like to preserve, repair, or move it, or change nothing? In shared space, decisions about another person’s things and habits call for conversation, rather than applying your taste on their behalf.

Choose a proportionate response. It may be a small adjustment that makes life easier, thanks for someone’s unnoticed work, or simply an observation completed without a purchase,

photograph, or promise of a new lifestyle. Any beauty you notice need not prove that other difficulties are unimportant.

This experience has no compulsory beautiful ending. The thing may remain ordinary. But ordinariness need not mean there is nothing to learn. Attention can restore specificity: this form, this way of serving, this place among other things and people. It can help you decide what relationship with the object actually suits you.

Connections between approaches

Approach	What it helps us notice	Limit of the comparison
Saito, everyday aesthetics	Familiar things need not become spectacles	Philosophy does not require us to call everything ordinary beautiful
Dewey, Art as Experience	The aesthetic connects with lived experience	This does not establish therapeutic effects of objects
Tao Te Ching, chapter 11	Use depends partly on space left unfilled	The text does not prescribe compulsory minimalism
Okakura, The Book of Tea	Simplicity can require careful preparation	The author does not speak for every Japanese tradition
Raku bowl, The Met	An unassuming object has a specific history of making	Museum value does not transfer to every similar cup
Morris, The Beauty of Life	Furnishings raise questions about human labor	Beautiful appearance alone does not establish fair conditions

These approaches ask different questions. Comparing them establishes neither a single theory of beauty nor empirical findings from philosophical propositions.

Primary sources and research

1. **Yuriko Saito. Aesthetics of the Everyday.** Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, substantive revision November 29, 2025. The introduction and the relevant section 3 discussion of ordinariness and defamiliarization were read; this is not a review of the entire literature.

2. **John Dewey. Art as Experience. 1934.** Scanned edition, opening of chapter I, printed pages 3–4, PDF pages 4–5. These pages support the discussion of continuity between art and ordinary experience; the complete book was not read for this article.

3. **Laozi, traditional attribution. Tao Te Ching.** James Legge's translation, chapter 11. The passage on vessels, wheels, and rooms was read. It is used within the limits of this translation and chapter.

4. **Okakura Kakuzō. The Book of Tea. 1906.** Project Gutenberg, selected passages from chapters I and IV. These concern everyday beauty and the construction of the tea-room's apparent simplicity; they do not represent every Japanese aesthetic tradition.

5. **Black Raku Tea Bowl. Early seventeenth century.** The Metropolitan Museum of Art, 17.118.74. The collection record and description of making were checked. Attribution to Chōjirō is tentative. The image is not reproduced here.

6. **William Morris. The Beauty of Life.** Excerpt in The World's Best Essays, 1900, from Hopes and Fears for Art. The displayed excerpt concerning home, usefulness, beauty, and labor was read; it is not presented as the complete lecture.

Tags

Beauty, everyday life, everyday aesthetics, ordinary objects, form, attention, use, comfort, touch, material, space, simplicity, tea culture, Raku, memory, attachment, aging objects, repair, care, domestic labor, shared environment, consumption, Saito, Dewey, Laozi, Okakura, Morris, United Wisdom.

About the project's authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, unity across traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.