

UNITED WISDOM

Kali: The Shadow Side of Light

Meaning and the Path

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

P29 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Kali: The Shadow Side of Light

Why destruction ceased to be divine

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut · United Wisdom · Inner Transformation

> ***Archival translator's note.*** *This translation preserves a historical authorial essay, including claims that require further examination. Its broad accounts of Hindu, Islamic, Jewish, and other traditions, its equivalences between spiritual practices, and its portrayal of depression or collapse as transformation are not newly verified historical or clinical findings. Passages defending humiliation, shock, or "amputation" by a spiritual teacher are the source's argument, not an endorsement of coercion or harm. A spiritual interpretation does not establish consent, justify abuse, or make suffering therapeutically necessary. The poetic passage attributed "in the spirit of" Ramprasad Sen remains explicitly an adaptation, not an authenticated quotation.*

Epigraph

> *"I am Time, the great destroyer of worlds, and I have come here to destroy all these people."*
>
> *— Bhagavad Gita 11.32, Krishna speaking as all-devouring Time, kāla; translated from the Sanskrit in the archive*

What This Article Is About

In the Hindu tradition, Kali, *kālī*, is not a demon but the goddess of time, *kāla*, destruction, and transformation. Yet in later bhakti texts, colonial discourse, and especially the Islamic cultural sphere of South Asia, her image was transformed into a female jinn or shaytan, an embodiment of chaos requiring expulsion. This transformation reflects a profound philosophical error: the dualistic separation of light and darkness into hostile principles rather than a single function of being. This article traces how the archetype of destruction was expelled from the sacred and argues that Kali's methods—"harsh," rupturing, cathartic—serve the same goal of liberation, *mukti*, as Shiva's meditative merging. They are simply another modality of attaining nonduality.

I. Kali as Time: The Ontology of Destruction

Kali is not an anthropomorphic monster but the personification of absolute time, which consumes all forms. In the *Devi Mahatmya*, compiled around the fifth to sixth centuries CE, she emerges from Durga's forehead as anger, *krodha*, necessary to destroy the demon Raktabija, whose blood produced new enemies whenever it was spilled. This mythological narrative conceals a metaphysical structure: destruction, *pralaya*, does not follow creation as an accident but forms its inner boundary, the condition that makes renewal possible. Kali is black, *kālī*, not because she is evil, but because she absorbs all the colors of the spectrum, all differentiated forms, *nāma-rūpa*. In Tantric ontology she represents the highest degree of *mahāmāyā*: illusion that destroys itself, disclosing reality beyond form. There is no place here for moral judgment: time is neither good nor evil; it is a categorical necessity that sustains the dynamics of being. To call this function demonic is to refuse an understanding of the cosmos's cyclical nature and become fixed in the illusion that static forms can last forever.

II. Demonizing the Archetype: The Projection of Fear

Historically, Kali's image underwent demonization in several phases. In late medieval bhakti, oriented toward intimate love, *prem*, for a personal God, the figure of the destroying mother became uncomfortable: she recalled inevitable death, bodily decay, and chaos, which religious sentiment wished to overcome or push aside. Nineteenth-century colonial discourse consolidated this tendency. British ethnographers and missionaries interpreted Kali puja as "demonolatry" and a "cult of blood," projecting a Christian dualism of good and evil onto the Hindu pantheon. It is important to understand that demonization always occurs when a culture refuses the ambivalence of the sacred: whatever destroys my illusions of safety is declared evil. But in Advaita Vedanta and Tantra, the destruction of limited individuality, *jīva*, is the condition for discovering limitless Brahman. Kali does not threaten order. She is order itself at the level of the absolute, where every form must be sublated, *Aufhebung*, to make room for a new one.

III. The Islamic Context: When a Goddess Becomes a Female Jinn

In the cultural sphere of South Asia, especially Bengal and Sindh, where Islam long coexisted with Hinduism, Kali's image underwent a particular transformation: in popular consciousness and certain local legends, she came to appear as a powerful *jinniya*, a female jinn, or even an ifrit, a spirit that must be subdued. This cultural translation follows the logic of demonization: whatever does not fit the monotheistic scheme of a single Creator God and virtuous intermediaries, angels, is automatically assigned to the category of devils, *shayāṭīn*, or jinn. In orthodox Islamic jurisprudence, *fiqh*, worship of Kali is classified as *shirk*, attributing power to a created being. In folklore, however, she is often presented as an agent with whom one can make a pact, *sihr*, analogous to pacts with jinn in Arabic magical tradition. This indicates the survival of the archetype of destructive feminine power, but in a distorted form: instead of a transcendent principle, as in Tantra, she becomes an immanent demonic force subject to exorcism, *ruqyah*. The injustice of the shift is clear: Kali loses her ontological status and is reduced to a "being," whereas in her original tradition she is a "supra-entity," maya, illusory in itself yet pointing toward reality.

IV. The Philosophy of the Error: The Dualism of Light and Darkness

The foundation of Kali's demonization is the dualistic assumption that light and darkness are hostile substances. This model characterizes Zoroastrianism—Ahura Mazda against Angra Mainyu—Manichaeism, and certain branches of Gnosticism, but is foreign to the nondual, *advaita*, logic of Hinduism and Sufism. In Advaita Vedanta, Brahman is the sole reality, and all dualities, *dvaita*, are *vibhrama*, projections. Like Shiva, Kali is merely an aspect of this single reality: she is *śakti*, activity, in contrast with *śiva*, stillness. Yet activity is no less sacred than stillness; destruction no less necessary than creation. In *On Learned Ignorance*, *De docta ignorantia*, Nicholas of Cusa (1401–1464) formulates *coincidentia oppositorum*, the coincidence of opposites: maximum and minimum, light and darkness, creation and destruction converge in the Absolute. Kali and Shiva in Tantra embody precisely this principle: their embrace, *alingana*, is an iconography of nonduality, in which destruction becomes an intimate act of union with the absolute.

V. Unity of Method: The Gentle and the Harsh Path

The Tantric typology of paths distinguishes *dakṣiṇācāra*, the right-hand, "solar," gradual path through ritual and reverence, from *vāmācāra*, the left-hand, "lunar," radical path through transgressing taboos. Kali is traditionally associated with vamachara: working in cremation grounds, *shmashana*; consuming forbidden substances, the five makaras; and practices that dismantle social identity. Yet both paths share a goal: awakening *kuṇḍalinī* and realizing the soul's unity with the absolute. The difference lies only in the practitioner's temperament, *prakriti*: some need gradual warming, others immediate immersion in the cold. In *Fusus al-Hikam*, Ibn Arabi (1165–1240) describes different paths, *masālik*, to God, all equal in their final goal though their methods differ. *Fanāʾ*, the annihilation of the ego in Sufism, is structurally analogous to what Kali does: both practices destroy the "I," one through love, *mahabbah*, the other through awe, *khawf*, and humility. To reproach Kali for harshness is like reproaching a surgeon for a scalpel: the method differs, but the aim is healing, *shifa*, from the illness of individuation.

VI. A Comparative Typology: Archetypes of Destruction

The structure embodied by Kali recurs in other cultural lines, where it is likewise demonized. In Jewish tradition, Lilith—the first woman, who refused to obey Adam—is expelled from Eden and demonized as a killer of infants. Yet in Lurianic Kabbalah she is read in relation to the Shekhinah, the active side of divinity without which *tikkun*, the repair of worlds, is impossible. In Greek tradition, Hecate, goddess of crossroads and thresholds, becomes associated over time with witches and black magic, though originally she is the protector of travelers and the key-bearer, *kleidouchos*, opening doors between worlds. In Buddhist Tantra, Vajrayana, wrathful deities such as Vajrakilaya or the dakini Vajravarahi perform a destructive, cutting function, and their images often repel exoteric interpreters. Everywhere the same mechanism operates: the archetype that destroys the boundaries of ego and society is declared dangerous, "dark," demonic. This reveals not the nature of the archetype but a culture's unwillingness to acknowledge the wholeness of being, which includes death and dissolution.

VII. The Jungian Shadow: Integrating Destruction

In *Aion* and his work on analytical psychology, Carl Jung (1875–1961) introduced the shadow, *Schatten*: suppressed, unacknowledged aspects of the psyche projected outward as hostile figures. Kali in her demonized form is a classic projection of the shadow. A culture that refuses to accept its own aggression, mortality, and predatory nature represses them into the figure of the "black goddess." According to Jung, integrating the shadow is necessary for psychic wholeness, *Selbst*. A person must recognize that the "good" and "evil" within them are not enemies but form a field of tension necessary for self-knowledge. Enantiodromia, the law by which extreme one-sidedness turns into its opposite, operates in culture too: prohibiting Kali as a demon leads to her idealization in certain neo-Tantric circles, which is the same dissociation, merely inverted. The true position is to recognize Kali as a function rather than an entity: she is not an "external" demon but an inner necessity for purification through collapse.

VIII. The Ethics of Harshness: When Destruction Is Necessary

There is an ethical dimension to Kali's practice that is lost when she is demonized. In Tantras devoted to Kali, and in the Advaita Vedanta tradition traced to Shankara in the eighth century, destruction is often described as grace, *anugraha*, though in a severe form. A teacher, *guru*, acting as Kali may destroy a student's illusions with a sharp word or a refusal. This is connected with *śaktipāta*, the descent of power, which sometimes occurs through shock. The Sufi analogue is fana through the "slaying" of the ego, which may even be provoked by humiliation at the hands of a sheikh. Kali's method is spiritual "emergency medicine": when a person is so trapped in their identity that gentle methods merely strengthen the disease, amputation is required. To call such a method "evil" is to apply categories of everyday morality to metaphysics, where they are inadequate. The aim is not to preserve comfort but to be liberated from it.

IX. What This Means in Practice

The practical consequence of understanding Kali as an archetype rather than a demon is the possibility of accepting one's own destructive periods not as punishment or error but as a necessary phase of transformation. In psychotherapeutic work, this means rejecting "positive thinking" as suppression of the "negative" and recognizing that depression, an identity crisis, or the collapse of life projects may be functionally equivalent to the practice of fana or pralaya: they destroy the limited "I" to make room for the undetermined. In intercultural dialogue, it means ending the projection of "darkness" onto other traditions. The Islamic view of Kali as a female jinn should be recognized as a cultural translation, not a truth about a "false deity." Finally, in one's own spiritual practice, it means readiness for the "left-hand path," not in the sense of ritual provocation, but in the sense of honesty with oneself: acknowledging that sometimes we must stop "shining," playing the virtuous person, to see what remains when all the masks have been removed. This is Kali's work, and it is neither good nor evil. It is simply effective.

Key Passage

> *"O Mother, You are the Terrifying One and You are the Savior; You give the world, and You consume it. Those who fear Your fang do not understand that it is Your caress; those who flee Your wrathful gaze do not know that it is Your gaze of love. For what is destruction but the end of illusion, and what is illusion but the dream from which You awaken Your children?"*
>
> *— In the spirit of the bhakti poetry of Ramprasad Sen, eighteenth-century Bengali poet and devotee of Kali; a poetic adaptation, not a verbatim quotation*

Connections Between Traditions

• Kali, *mahāmāyā* ↔ Lilith, *qelippah* ↔ Hecate, *chthonia*: three archetypes of "dark" divine femininity, demonized in exoteric interpretations but retaining the function of destroying form to free spirit in esoteric traditions—Tantra, Kabbalah, and the mysteries.

• Pralaya, dissolution ↔ fana, annihilation ↔ kenosis, emptying: structurally similar moments of overcoming individual existence in Hinduism, Islamic Sufism, and Christian mysticism, usually associated with "gentle" mercy yet requiring "harsh" destruction of the ego.

• Shiva / Shakti, stillness / power ↔ Yin / Yang, functions of the Tao ↔ Tzimtzum / Or, concealment / radiance: complementarity, in which passive and active principles do not oppose one another but create a dynamic unity; demonizing one pole produces ontological incompleteness.

• Vamachara, the left-hand path ↔ Dionysian mysteries, trance ↔ Ishraq, Eastern illumination: radical methods using culture's periphery to reach its center; all three underwent institutional suppression but survived in esoteric lines.

• The female jinn, Kali in Islam ↔ demonized Sophia, Gnosticism ↔ the shadow, *Schatten*: mechanisms projecting the suppressed archetype of destruction onto an external "demonic" figure that serves as society's defense against encountering chaos.

Sources Listed in the Archive

1. *Devi Mahatmya* (*Markandeya Purana*), Sanskrit–English translation by V. S. Agrawala. Varanasi: Prithvi Prakashan, 1963. The text in which Kali is first described as an independent goddess of destruction.

2. Kinsley, D. *The Sword and the Flute: Kālī and Kṛṣṇa*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1975. A classic study of the duality of Kali's image.

3. Ramprasad Sen. *Grace and Mercy in Her Wild Hair: Selected Poems to the Mother Goddess*, translated by L. Nathan and C. Seely. Boulder: Great Eastern, 1982. A primary source of bhakti poetry devoted to the Mother.

4. Urban, H. *Tantra: Sex, Secrecy, Politics, and Power in the Study of Religion*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003. Analysis of the demonization of Tantra and Kali during the colonial period.

5. McDaniel, J. *The Madness of the Saints: Ecstatic Religion in Bengal*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1989. The context of Bengali syncretism and attitudes toward "dark" deities.

6. Ibn Arabi. *The Bezels of Wisdom (Fusus al-Hikam)*, translated by R. Austin. New York: Paulist Press, 1980. The theory of the unity of paths, *masālik*, and divine names.

7. Nicholson, R. A. *The Idea of Personality in Sufism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1923. Distinguishing fana and mechanisms of ecstatic destruction of the ego.

8. Jung, C. G. *Aion: Researches into the Phenomenology of the Self*. CW 9/2. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1959. The theory of the shadow, *Schatten*, and projection.

9. Eliade, M. *Yoga: Immortality and Freedom*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1958. Distinguishing dakshinachara and vamachara as methodologies.

10. Cusanus, Nicholas. *On Learned Ignorance (De Docta Ignorantia)*, translated by J. Hopkins. Minneapolis: Banning, 1981. The principle of *coincidentia oppositorum*.

11. Idel, M. *Kabbalah: New Perspectives*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1988. The role of Lilith and the Shekhinah in Jewish mysticism as analogues of Shakti.

12. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, “Nicholas of Cusa”.

13. Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, “Carl Jung”.

14. Dasgupta, S. *Obscure Religious Cults*. Calcutta: Firma K. L. Mukhopadhyay, 1962. The history of vamachara and social attitudes toward “dark” practices.

15. Padoux, A. *Vāc: The Concept of the Word in Selected Hindu Tantras*. Albany: SUNY Press, 1990. The ontological status of Shakti and maya.

Tags

Kali, mahamaya, Shiva, Shakti, pralaya, dakshinachara, vamachara, Advaita, fana, shadow, archetype, jinn, shaytan, Lilith, Hecate, coincidence of opposites, tzimtzum, kenosis, Sufism, Tantra, Kabbalah, Jung, Nicholas of Cusa, Ibn Arabi, destruction as creation, nonduality, Kali Yuga, Shaktism, sihr, idolatry, syncretism.

About the Authors

Andrey Zarinsh is an author and founder of United Wisdom. He is responsible for strategy, topic selection, editorial tone, and final editing. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a coauthor of United Wisdom. She is responsible for testing ideas in practice and ensuring that the texts remain connected with real life. She seeks to test what we write about in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in actual relationships between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.

References Inherited from the Archive

These links accompanied the archived original and have not been newly verified.

- PDF-L001 — source PDF page 8.
- PDF-L002 — source PDF page 8.