

UNITED WISDOM

Practice and the consumption of spiritual experiences

Meaning and Direction

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

P47 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Practice and the consumption of spiritual experiences

Epigraph

> *be ye doers of the word*

— James 1:22, short excerpt, King James Version. The source concerns the Christian word and its enactment, rather than every self-development program.

What this article is about

After a good lecture, many things seem clearer. A journey, a meeting, or a shared practice may bring closeness, freedom, or hope. A week later familiar conversations may follow their old pattern, while someone is already looking for another event that will restore the feeling of beginning. The experience itself is not a mistake. A question arises when it is taken as proof of a change expected in everyday life. This article distinguishes encountering an idea, having an experience, and working with conduct. It draws on Confucian, Stoic, Christian, Buddhist, and Hindu primary texts without assembling them into one compulsory system. We then examine how to notice assimilation without a moral examination, assess offers of learning, and make room for a meaningful interval between new experiences. Joy and curiosity remain welcome. The issue is understanding what a person seeks and what place they want to give it within their actual circumstances.

I. What an experience actually offers

Consumption can easily become an accusation. Let us first give it a limited meaning: someone receives new stories, events, and experiences mainly for the sake of receiving them, while subsequent conduct stays outside their attention. This is an editorial distinction, not a diagnosis or a theory of every spiritual search. Reading can be rest, aesthetic enjoyment, or an encounter with another person's thought. Nobody must change their life after every page. A problem arises where the stated purpose and the actual relation to material diverge and that divergence goes unnoticed.

There are at least three different answers to why someone attends a gathering. I want to learn how others think expresses an intellectual intention. I want to be among people concerns connection. I want to handle difficult conversations at home differently implies further work with a situation. A gathering may support all three wishes without making their outcomes identical. A warm experience among participants does not show how a later conversation

changed. New knowledge about a tradition does not establish membership in it. Distinguishing purposes reduces unnecessary expectations and makes what was received clearer.

In an imagined example, someone returns from a lecture on attentive listening and explains at length why a loved one listens incorrectly. The lecture may have been thoughtful and the enthusiasm sincere, yet the way of speaking has not changed. Another person notices one interruption and lets the other speaker finish. That does not establish a lasting transformation, but it is a specific action that can be described. The example measures no lecture's effectiveness. It distinguishes a felt clarity from an occasion on which an idea actually encounters a familiar way of participating.

A powerful experience can have value in its own right. Encountering a beautiful text, a new question, or human kindness need not justify itself through results. We simply need grounds before assigning it another role. If the aim was joy, joy can be acknowledged. If resolving a difficulty was promised, the difficulty needs to be revisited. There is then no need to diminish the occasion or invent a changed life to protect its significance. Someone can say that it mattered while admitting they do not yet know how it relates to ordinary decisions. That honesty leaves room to continue.

II. Learning and making room for what was heard

Analects 1.1 joins learning with a continuing return to what is learned; Legge renders this through perseverance and application. In 1.4, self-examination concerns faithfulness, sincerity, and mastering instruction. We do not transfer the entire historical order of relationships into contemporary life. For this subject it is enough to notice that acquiring knowledge is not presented as merely collecting accounts. Learning concerns participation in affairs and relationships. Nor is enjoyment rejected: it is present at the opening of the text itself. Analects 1.1–1.4.

Returning to material differs from mechanically repeating someone else's language. A person may reproduce a lecture almost word for word without understanding how it relates to any situation of their own. They may remember only one question while seeing its relevance to a conversation with a colleague. This is no contest between memory and conduct. Knowing language, history, and context is often necessary to avoid applying an idea crudely or incorrectly. Nevertheless, the amount retold is not the amount assimilated. We can ask which conditions of the source remain and where our own interpretation begins.

Suppose a discussion of keeping promises leads someone to want greater precision in their answers. They need not immediately devise a new philosophy of life. They might choose one recurring request to which they usually reply that they will try, despite knowing they will not carry it out. The next step is to describe their actual capacity and a realistic time. That work

grants no right to grade acquaintances by the same criterion. Application begins with the part of participation someone can answer for, while respecting circumstances: uncertainty may be real, and a precise promise may not yet be possible.

An interval between gatherings may be needed in which nothing particularly striking occurs. Someone rereads a page, clarifies a word, tries speaking more clearly, notices a difficulty, and returns to a question. From outside, this may appear less significant than another journey or a dramatic discovery. Having no spectacular story does not prove that no work is happening. The opposite claim, that quiet is always deeper than vivid, is unnecessary too. The distinction lies in relation to the stated intention: does the present way of participating help someone understand and enact the purpose for which they chose to learn? The answer may remain uncertain.

III. Assimilation rather than display

Enchiridion 46 contrasts declaring oneself a philosopher with conduct and uses an image of digested food. Section 49 distinguishes interpreting a text from following what has been understood. These passages belong to Stoic instruction; they are not research into a contemporary spiritual-learning industry. For our subject they pose a question about displaying knowledge. Someone may explain an idea elegantly without yet giving it a place in conduct. The text and understanding it are not dismissed as unnecessary: once understanding has occurred, application remains. Enchiridion 46 and 49.

Display need not involve deliberate deception. Enthusiasm for a new thought brings a wish to share it; discussion can clarify understanding and introduce a useful interlocutor. A problem begins if permission to speak about a subject is mistaken for attained moral distinction. Objections then sound like attacks on a newly acquired identity, and an ordinary mistake becomes too dangerous to admit. We can keep the pleasure of discussion while making a smaller claim: I liked this idea and am only beginning to understand where it applies. The account no longer requires others to certify a finished image.

In an imagined situation, a course participant says they have learned to accept people while withdrawing from everyone who does not share their new interest. They may indeed need different relationships; the scene permits no total verdict. It does, however, suggest a question: does the claimed openness allow disagreement, or only similar people? Working with this question requires no immediate restoration of every relationship. It requires naming whom the person excludes, why, and whether development has become a word covering an ordinary reluctance to discuss decisions with the people affected by them.

Assimilation can be partial. Someone may understand the value of an attentive response while still being unable to maintain it under pressure. That is a meaningful state when described

honestly. It differs from claiming that buying a course or joining a group has already resolved the question. We need not choose between total success and total failure. We can name one element that became clearer, one difficulty that remains, and a circumstance requiring assistance or another way of acting. Such precision supports continuation without defending the original experience as a final achievement.

IV. Hearing, enacting, and declining to judge another life

James 1:19–27 joins listening, speech, enactment of the word, and care for people in distress. It is Christian instruction with its own religious purpose. It does not establish that every experience must become contemporary productivity. The relevant connection is between what is heard and life, rather than the number of occasions attended. That is the relation we compare, keeping the grounds of faith in context. A reader with another worldview may ask a similar question, but their answer is not automatically an enactment of this Christian instruction. James 1:19–27.

If someone hears about care, a first consequence may be finding out which help is actually needed. Readiness to act does not make every intervention useful to its recipient. One can arrive unannounced, demand an account of a difficulty, or offer something without agreement. Active enthusiasm then guarantees no attentive participation. Assimilation includes the ability to hear an answer, including a refusal. This is an editorial development of the question, rather than a literal rule extracted from the passage. It keeps action connected with a particular person, so activity does not become another means of preserving a gratifying self-image.

An observer does not see the whole of someone's work. A quiet person may be keeping a promise unknown to the group. A frequent storyteller may genuinely help people and enjoy speaking at the same time. The distinction between practice and consumption is better directed toward stated aims, agreements, and one's own participation. It provides no reliable measure of another person's depth from photographs, language, or travel frequency. When assessing a promise made by a teacher or organization, we can discuss that promise and evidence of its outcome without judging every participant's inner life.

The demand to act has a boundary too. Care may mean declining an unnecessary intervention; honesty may mean acknowledging no answer yet; respect may mean allowing someone time. Change is not always visible as new activity. Someone may stop making impossible promises or stop insisting that they understand a loved one better than that person understands themselves. That is a change in participation too. It should still be described specifically: which action stopped, which condition became clearer? This avoids both an obsession with activity and an undefined claim of profound inward growth.

V. A means of crossing and an object to acquire

In MN 22, the raft follows a discussion of handling teaching correctly or incorrectly. A raft serves a crossing; after reaching the further shore, it need not be carried on one's back. This is a Buddhist account of the Dhamma's role in liberation, not permission to abandon any obligation at the first inconvenience. We use a limited analogy: a means matters in relation to its purpose, rather than simply as something acquired. The context of snake and raft also prevents the conclusion that teaching need not be understood or used at all. MN 22, snake and raft passages.

A course, book, or event can become an object of acquisition even when little money is spent. Someone collects reading lists, participation tokens, and stories of meetings because they convey movement. The list is not intrinsically bad: it may preserve a history of learning. A question arises if it alone measures the relationship to a chosen difficulty. A collection answers what I received. Work also asks what I understood, examined, or changed. Sometimes the answer is that a material does not fit the question at hand. That is not an empty outcome either.

The purpose of the next acquisition can be examined beforehand. Which question should become clearer after reading or attending? How will I recognize that I received this, rather than enthusiasm alone? Perhaps historical context, an experienced interlocutor, or time with a primary text is needed instead of another powerful occasion. Perhaps the event is chosen for enjoyment and should simply be named that way. This question forbids neither purchases nor pleasure and requires no ascetic accounts. It relates a means to a purpose without making every new material a compulsory condition for access to one's life.

Letting an unsuitable material go does not declare it useless for everyone. It may matter within another question, context, or period. Nor can we assume a chosen means has served its purpose simply because the first attempt was pleasant. The crossing image asks us to remember the purpose, not merely the permission to leave a raft. Our comparison remains an analogy: ordinary tasks are not Buddhist liberation. It nevertheless helps distinguish respect for a means from an endless obligation to prove its value through further acquisitions or displays of loyalty.

VI. Ordinary action without the reward of intensity

Chapter III of the Bhagavad-Gita, in Edwin Arnold's poetic translation, discusses action, declining action, duty, and attachment to an outcome. It has its own religious and narrative setting, including Krishna's address to Arjuna. We do not turn it into advice to work more or transfer its battlefield situation to personal learning. For our topic, the relevant question concerns action not reduced to obtaining a pleasant reward. Comparing this with the pursuit of experiences is our interpretation, rather than a literal claim made by the original work. Bhagavad-Gita, chapter III.

New understanding sometimes leads to an action that looks dull. Returning something promised, correcting a letter, clarifying an agreement, or no longer postponing an awkward conversation may bring no exalted feeling. Its absence does not establish meaninglessness. Nor should every unpleasant situation be declared spiritual practice simply because it is hard to endure. The criterion remains specific: why was this action chosen, whose agreement is required, which promise does it fulfill, and does it cross an important boundary? Difficulty by itself does not make participation worthy.

Imagine that after a meeting about honesty, someone wants to address an error at work. They notice a search for the perfect conversation after which they will feel renewed. What is needed may simply be naming the error, clarifying its consequences, and agreeing on a correction. Relief may or may not follow. The substance of the action need not depend on obtaining a desired impression of one's own courage. This invented scene establishes no mechanism of change. It shows how expecting an intense state can quietly introduce another delay before a straightforward, already understood step.

Joy needs room here whenever it arises. Practice does not require a joyless life or a celebration of severity. Someone can enjoy understanding, meeting others, or completing a task without making enjoyment the sole authentication of value. Intensity becomes a possible companion rather than a compulsory payment for participation. An action can then continue on an ordinary day and still be revised if its grounds prove mistaken or its cost excessive. Regularity alone does not remove the need to think about what it serves.

VII. Noticing change without an examination

Self-evaluation can become too broad. Have I become better asks for a comparison of the whole of life and often produces an undefined answer. A narrower question concerns one episode: what did I do differently, and why do I call it a change? We can name the action, its conditions, and consequences without presenting an observation as proof of completed growth. This is an editorial suggestion for reflection, not a validated scale of spiritual maturity. It distinguishes an observation from its interpretation and from the hope that a new way of participating will become more consistent.

Suppose someone usually accepts an additional task but now clarifies the deadline and scope. They may notice a change even if the discussion was awkward and the other person remained unhappy. That unhappiness automatically proves neither error nor correctness. The agreement, participants' rights, and actual capacities need examination. If a new response becomes a rude refusal to listen, it can be revised too. Practice grants no immunity from feedback. It leaves room to learn when an initial understanding encounters something the person had not anticipated.

Not every period permits trying a new approach immediately. Illness, caring responsibilities, an overloaded schedule, or missing resources constrain participation. These circumstances need attention rather than an automatic label of resistance to growth. A reasonable outcome may be a smaller task, postponement, or another form of support. We establish no cause of difficulty or treatment here. Reflection on spiritual practice should not explain every limitation through insufficient will. Otherwise it becomes another demand the person did not choose, offering no clearer understanding of their situation.

Feedback can also be requested where appropriate without assigning another person the final evaluation of one's character. Was it easier to finish your thought in our conversation is more specific than a request to certify spiritual change. The answer may be unexpected and differ from one's impression. It can be heard as information about a shared occasion rather than an absolute verdict. The other person may decline to participate in such an examination. Relationships need not become a practitioner's laboratory; what matters is ordinary freedom to discuss something genuinely affecting both people.

VIII. When the next offer becomes compulsory

Offers of learning can be assessed by what they actually promise. A lecture on a tradition's history, shared reading, and a promise to resolve a personal difficulty are different services or forms of participation. An impressive account of the teacher's life supports no automatic conclusion about every specific promise. Ask about content, format, cost, withdrawal conditions, and the grounds of the claimed outcome. These are practical questions about an offer, not a verdict on all spiritual learning. An accurate answer may include limits and no guarantee. Hearing it helps relate an offer to one's question and resources.

A condition that the next level is needed for the previous one finally to work deserves particular attention. Sequential learning may genuinely have stages; not every transition is deceptive. Their purpose should nevertheless be clear before agreement rather than appear only after an unmet promise. If every question about results is treated as proof of inadequate faith, the ability to examine an offer shrinks. Someone can pause, keep their own notes, and discuss the terms with an independent interlocutor. They need not first prove malicious intent or abandon the entire subject permanently.

The cost is not only financial. Events take weekends, attention, energy, and conversations with loved ones. Sometimes this cost is freely chosen and brings enjoyment. Sometimes learning leaves less and less room for precisely the relationships and activities that motivated it. Comparing an intention with a schedule can then help. No perfect balance or explanation for every hour is required. One discrepancy may be enough to consider a break, another format, or an honest acknowledgment that connection with the group has now become the priority instead of the previously named task.

A pause need not be a test of endurance. Its duration and the question one hopes to revisit can be named in advance. Someone might stop acquiring new material for a while and work with one existing note. If the interval reveals that the old aim no longer matters, that is a permissible outcome too. If a specific knowledge gap appears, material can be sought for that gap. This arrangement guarantees no correct choice, but it helps stop treating every new experience as an obvious necessity. Movement becomes connected with a question that remains open to revision.

IX. What is found need not become a collection

The sources retain different grounds. The Confucian text addresses learning in relationships; the Stoic manual concerns assimilation and conduct; James concerns Christian enactment of the word; MN 22 concerns correct use of Dhamma and liberation; the Bhagavad-Gita addresses action within its own religious setting. We infer no single mechanism of spiritual development. The shared editorial question is smaller: what relation exists between what someone receives and the life to which they return? A similar question can arise within different traditions without making their answers or ultimate purposes identical.

Practice need not begin with another obligation. It may begin with a more accurate name for what is already happening. I attend for connection is more honest than I am addressing a difficulty when the difficulty receives no attention. I read for beauty is as legitimate a purpose as learning a way of acting. Clarification removes an unnecessary promise and frees the next choice. An experience no longer masks a result that did not occur and can remain valuable precisely as an experience. An interest need not be defended through self-deception or another compulsory purchase.

If the chosen aim does concern ordinary conduct, the first attempt can be limited. One conversation, one agreement, or one question about a reading creates a place to notice something. A small step should not be promised to produce a large change. It may reveal a misunderstanding, an unsuitable approach, or a need for another form of participation. That is usable information too. The next experience can then be chosen in relation to the question discovered, rather than solely to restore enthusiasm. Freedom to learn includes acknowledging that a previous route no longer fits.

The distinction between practice and consuming spiritual experiences is not a boundary between serious and unserious people. It concerns a particular relation to purpose, material, and the next action. The same person can learn, rest, enjoy, and sometimes avoid a difficult question. They need no final label. Clarity may be more useful: what did I receive, what did I understand, what remains open, and what do I want to make room for now? The answer need not sound elevated. If it permits more honest participation in life, what was found has a chance to become more than another item in a collection.

What this means in practice

Choose one recent spiritual or philosophical experience: a book, conversation, lecture, or journey. This reflection is voluntary and can be skipped; no personal disclosure to a group is required. Note what you wanted beforehand, what you actually received, and what you now count as an outcome. Record joy, new information, and changed conduct separately, even when all three occurred.

If your aim concerned an everyday difficulty, choose one specific occasion to which the material relates. Do not choose your whole personality or life. Ask what became clearer and which action of your own you are willing to try. You may also conclude that the material is insufficient or does not fit. That is an honest outcome rather than an obligatory failure.

Name the conditions: other people's agreement, time, information needed, and a boundary you do not wish to cross. Do not use a loved one as an experimental object; discuss only what concerns your ordinary shared participation. Afterward, record the observation separately from its explanation. I clarified the deadline is an observation. I became more reliable is a broader inference for which one occasion is insufficient.

Before the next event, ask which need discovered it is supposed to support. If the purpose is enjoyment or connection, name it that way. If a difficulty requires help beyond learning, seek an appropriate interlocutor or professional. This exercise treats no condition and grades no spiritual maturity. Its purpose is to give what was received a precise place while retaining the freedom to continue, pause, or change direction.

Key quotation

> *show them by actions*

— Epictetus, Enchiridion 46, short excerpt referring to principles, translated by Thomas W. Higginson. It contrasts declaration with conduct rather than demanding a public display of superiority.

Connections between traditions

Tradition or source	Relation compared	Distinction preserved
Confucianism, Analects1.1–1.4	Learning returns to application and relationships	Historical obligations are not equivalent to every contemporary learning activity
Stoicism, Enchiridion46,49	Understanding and assimilated conduct differ from displaying knowledge	The Stoic purpose and nature are not merely personal efficiency
Christianity, James1:19–27	Hearing the word relates to its enactment	The Christian religious setting remains, rather than a cult of productivity
Buddhist text, MN22	Teaching serves a crossing rather than becoming an object of clinging	Liberation and correct use of Dhamma are not every household aim
Hindu text, Bhagavad-GitaIII	Action is not reduced to wanting a pleasant reward	Narrative conflict, duty, and Krishna's address to Arjuna remain relevant
UW editorial question	What was received, understood, and changed on a particular occasion?	Voluntary reflection, not a common doctrine or a maturity scale

Primary sources

1. Analects, translated by James Legge,1893. Sections1.1–1.4 and the displayed opening context were read; no reading of the entire work is claimed.

2. Epictetus, Enchiridion, translated by Thomas W.Higginson. Sections46–49 and the Liberal Arts Press1948/1955 title information were read; Albert Salomon's introduction is not used as a primary text.

3. James1:19–27,KJV. The indicated passage was read, including the short1:22 excerpt. The Russian version is UW's own translation via KJV.

4. MN22, translated by Thanissaro Bhikkhu,2004. The primary snake/raft passages and the translator's related contextual caution were read; no complete-discourse reading is claimed. Buddhist liberation is not equated with an ordinary household aim; proper handling of teaching precedes leaving the raft.

5. Bhagavad-Gita, translated by Edwin Arnold, chapterIII. ChapterIII was read in the displayed poetic translation. The contemporary comparison is UW interpretation, not an independent test of religious claims.

Tags

practice, spirituality, experiences, learning, consumption, encounter, knowledge, action, assimilation, attention, promise, purpose, pause, choice, boundaries, relationships, joy, curiosity, instruction, primary sources, Confucianism, Stoicism, Christianity, Buddhism, Hinduism, Dhamma, duty, feedback, self-evaluation, comparison

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.