

UNITED WISDOM

Ageing and a Changing Horizon of Possibility

Meaning and Direction

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

P-09-N03 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Ageing and a Changing Horizon of Possibility

Epigraph

> *“let each man proportion his efforts to his powers”*

— Cicero, On Old Age 10; Cato’s words within a philosophical dialogue. Exact fragment from E. S. Shuckburgh’s English translation.

What this article is about

As people age, a familiar picture of the future may no longer fit. Someone expected to work in a particular way, live in a certain place, or always manage without assistance, and now the conditions are changing. A single restriction can become the sweeping conclusion that nothing significant remains possible. Conversely, someone may be expected to treat every loss as liberation and a fresh opportunity. Both accounts can obscure the actual situation. This article examines the horizon of possibility more closely: which forms of participation have changed, which interests remain, and what now depends on other people or the surrounding environment. We turn to Cicero’s dialogue On Old Age, Epictetus, Confucius, and John Dewey without taking historical ideas about health as current guidance. Changing possibilities promise no compulsory wisdom, but neither do they pass advance judgment on the rest of a life.

I. What has actually become less possible

Imagine Viktor, who has spent many years joining amateur local-history trips. In this hypothetical example, he no longer wants the previous journeys and long outings, but remains interested in the history of places. When friends discuss another route, he says, “My time has passed.” The statement joins several different things: this particular trip, a familiar role as organiser, interest in the subject, and an image of being needed. Naming all of them “ageing” produces an equally general answer. Yet not joining a trip says little about whether Viktor wants to examine documents, meet participants, or leave the activity altogether.

Clarification begins with a verb. Instead of “I am no longer the person I was,” someone might say, “I am no longer undertaking this route”; instead of “the future has ended,” “this plan requires conditions currently unavailable.” Greater precision does not have to be reassuring. Sometimes it reveals a serious loss, sometimes room to change the form. Its value is giving the decision an object. “Everything that has become impossible” cannot be examined while dozens of different circumstances remain hidden inside the expression. One workload,

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

interest, or commitment can be discussed. Age remains part of the situation without replacing the entire situation.

Clarification creates no obligation to find a replacement. Viktor may choose not to turn every closed route into a new project. The journeys might have mattered precisely because of travelling and moving with others; archival work need not provide the same experience. Another form of participation should be examined rather than assigned as compensation for a loss. If the interest remains, asking about form helps. If it has changed, acknowledging that change may be more useful. A horizon of possibility includes what a person wants to participate in, as well as what they can still do. Checking the desire protects against a demand to keep proving that ageing has left life untouched.

II. Age, conditions, and what remains unknown

A change someone notices differs from an explanation of its cause. A person may know that an accustomed activity has become harder without yet knowing why. A birth date alone cannot establish a diagnosis, suitable workload, or prognosis. When such information is needed, it belongs to the appropriate professional field and the particular condition; a philosophical article does not replace that work. Our concern here is how someone describes a change in life and which decisions they connect with it. An actual restriction can be respected without adding an unsupported explanation, and its cause can remain open while relevant information is being obtained.

Not every obstacle lies within the person. A meeting may allow too little time to answer, a setting may be difficult to use, or a journey may be unaffordable. These circumstances have their own history and can sometimes change. Calling them a natural withdrawal from active life ends discussion before the environment has been examined. But it would also be wrong to promise that the environment explains every difficulty and organisation can solve everything. Both possibilities should remain open: the person’s condition has real features, and so do the place, rules, timing, and relationships. They need distinguishing for the actual activity, without a sweeping accusation against oneself or others.

It helps to ask which information supports a confident statement and which parts remain assumptions. Viktor may clearly decide against a long walk while remaining uncertain about another form of participation. An organiser can clarify the meeting’s format, and Viktor can name a role he would want. The conversation then has an object. Sometimes the answer will be that participation is currently unavailable; an invented promise should not soften it. Sometimes a condition that can be changed becomes apparent. The difference matters for a decision. When every uncertainty is named age in advance, someone loses both possible opportunities and the chance to discover which opportunities actually exist.

III. Cicero’s dialogue and its unequal conditions

In On Old Age, Cicero places the argument in Cato’s voice, in conversation with Scipio and Laelius. This is a literary philosophical dialogue, rather than a clinical record or proof about every older person. In section 5, Cato lists four reasons old age is considered unhappy: withdrawal from activity, bodily weakness, loss of pleasures, and proximity to death. He then examines them separately. That structure is already useful: a general fear has several objects, and the answers need not coincide. The dialogue’s particular assertions remain historical, however, and need limits when used in a present-day conversation.

In section 3, Laelius objects that Cato’s tolerance of his age may be explained by wealth and high status. The reply acknowledges that circumstance: even a philosopher would struggle in deep poverty. For this article, that matters more than a beautiful image of old age depending entirely on inner character. Money, housing, support, and social position cannot be replaced with a requirement to regard life wisely. Cato returns to culture and virtue, but we need not adopt his explanations of difficulties through character as a contemporary conclusion. The speaker’s circumstances are part of the meaning of his words. Ignoring them can turn consolation into a demand addressed to people living under quite different conditions.

The dialogue can therefore be read in two movements. First, notice the distinctions: activity is not exhausted by one bodily form, and uncertainty about the future does not belong exclusively to old age. Then examine where the author’s answer depends on roles available to Cato, historical hierarchies, or ideas about the body. Such reading does not destroy the text’s value. It makes a philosophical argument usable without turning a Roman senator into the model of a compulsory life. Neither total agreement nor rejection solely because of historical distance is required. A reader can name the thought that helps examine a present decision and, with equal precision, identify what cannot be transferred to this person.

IV. A loss does not have to become a gift

When a familiar opportunity disappears, a person is often urged to see its positive side immediately. Time has been freed; something else can now be pursued; wisdom has arrived instead. Sometimes someone does experience a change that way. It is a possible response, however, rather than the event’s compulsory interpretation. Viktor may regret the shared journey itself, which an extra evening at home does not replace. Such regret does not establish that he misunderstands life. It shows what the lost form meant. Before suggesting another activity, it helps to hear what changed for him. Another person’s convenient meaning can silence the particular loss when supplied before the account itself.

In section 6, Cicero compares an older person’s role with that of a steersman: he does not run around the ship with the crew, but participates in directing it. The image reveals different forms

of activity. Yet the author also ranks the steersman’s work as more important, and that hierarchy need not be transferred to the conversation. Someone does not have to obtain a “better” role in exchange for an earlier one to retain dignity. A new participation can be valued without proving that the loss was a profitable bargain. Respect remains when no role is found or wanted. The comparison reveals alternatives without guaranteeing compensation or forbidding regret.

Describing a loss accurately makes discussion of the future more honest. If Viktor misses conversations along the road, an invitation to read documents alone answers a different question. If participation in the shared history of a place matters, a joint meeting may come closer to the earlier meaning. These possibilities should be checked with Viktor himself. A need should not be guessed from an age category. Similar changes can mean different things to different people. The issue becomes which participation someone wants to retain or conclude, rather than how quickly they can stop feeling sad. Even a clear answer guarantees no convenient solution, but it supplies a basis for conversation.

V. The activity, its form, and the scale of participation

Possibility can be examined at several levels: the subject of an interest, a particular action, a role among others, and the extent of a commitment. Interest in local history does not entail organising trips. Attending a meeting does not mean agreeing to take responsibility for the entire project. Once these levels are distinguished, changing one form need not close the whole subject. The workload might be reduced, the timetable changed, or another action chosen. These options cannot be imposed as compulsory adaptation, however. Sometimes the previous scale provided the meaning, and another form is unappealing. Declining then deserves examination through its reasons, without labelling someone lazy or inflexible solely because they do not want the offered alternative.

In section 10 of On Old Age, Cato discusses proportioning effort to capacity while acknowledging that he no longer has his earlier strength. The passage permits discussing participation without demanding a repetition of the past level. It does not establish how capacities should be assessed today or replace professional inquiry into a particular workload. For a life decision, the useful distinction is between doing something differently and doing nothing. Preparing one part of a shared meeting differs from conducting every stage. Limited participation nevertheless requires clarity for others. If someone accepts one part, other participants need to know which contribution is promised and which responsibility remains unassigned.

The scale of a decision can change while remaining honest. Instead of an indefinite promise to help as much as possible, it may be useful to agree on a specific task, available time, and a way to report changing circumstances. Such an agreement does not guarantee permanent

capacity. It makes the relationship less dependent on guesses. Changed circumstances call for another conversation rather than continuing an earlier promise secretly at any cost. This precision does not humiliate someone's age: changing conditions affect people of many ages. But for a person repeatedly asked whether they are "still capable," an identifiable contribution is particularly useful. It permits discussing the work without making every refusal an examination of the entire person.

VI. Assistance and participation of one's own

Ageing can change the balance between acting independently and receiving assistance. Extreme images are easy to adopt: either someone must manage alone, or help means everything may now be decided for them. Assistance nevertheless has an object. Someone provides transport to a meeting, explains a new procedure, or takes on part of a task. None of these actions by itself establishes the recipient's opinion or wishes. Participation can be discussed through specific agreements: what assistance is needed, who is willing to provide it, and which decisions the person continues to express for themselves. Agreements do not follow automatically from a family role or a benevolent intention.

At the beginning of the Enchiridion, Epictetus distinguishes judgments and actions of our own from what is not entirely in our power; the body appears among the latter. This is a Stoic distinction rather than a contemporary theory of independence or environmental accessibility. It is useful here in a limited sense: dependence on external conditions does not make every decision belong to someone else. The converse must not be asserted either, as though a correct judgment made bodily or environmental constraints insignificant. An actual agreement involves both an expressed intention and the possibility of carrying it out with available assistance. The philosophical distinction cancels neither side.

If Viktor wants to attend a meeting with a friend's assistance, the discussion should concern transport and a workable format rather than declaring his participation insufficiently independent. The friend's inability to help is also a real condition. A request does not create an unlimited obligation for someone else, just as agreeing to assist does not confer ownership of another person's decision. A different form of participation may be needed, and sometimes none will be found. Precision matters: missing assistance restricts a particular opportunity without proving that the intention was unworthy. This conversation permits dependence without a general loss of voice. Both the person and the helper can be heard without portraying either as free of their own conditions.

VII. The future: finitude and uncertainty

As age changes, future plans may take on a different scale. Someone's relation to a long project, a promise of "one day," or the possibility of seeing an outcome may change. This does not produce precise knowledge of remaining time. In section 19 of On Old Age, Cato challenges a younger person's confidence that a long life is assured. The philosophical argument concerns uncertainty about the future at different ages. It supplies no individual prognosis and no basis for comparing contemporary risks through historical assumptions. We therefore retain uncertainty without turning it either into a promise of longevity or an announcement of a short deadline.

Some plans need a distant result; other actions have meaning within participation itself. These forms need not be ranked as right and wrong. Someone may continue a substantial project while sharing responsibility, or choose an activity with a nearer completion. They may also decline to turn the remaining period into a list of achievements. Clarifying a time horizon helps ask: what am I actually promising, who needs the result, and how is the activity arranged if conditions change? A shared project benefits from knowing who could continue the work. A personal interest may be sufficiently grounded in what someone wants to do now, without a compulsory legacy.

Viktor might promise to prepare one meeting about a selected document without promising to complete a district's entire history. If a long project matters to the group, its organisation can be discussed separately. A limited promise neither predicts a departure nor requires distributing all previous work in advance. It simply has a specific object. Uncertainty about the future becomes a condition for agreement rather than grounds for excluding someone. Nobody is required to contemplate finitude continually or arrange a symbolic completion of every day. Attention to the horizon can be occasional and practical: an actual promise can be reconsidered when its conditions have genuinely changed.

VIII. An older person does not have to become a mentor

One familiar image assigns older people the role of carrying wisdom. It may respect experience, but it also conceals a demand: with fewer earlier opportunities, a person should now teach others. This role may be wanted, or may fit neither interest nor competence. Experience in a particular activity helps discuss that activity. It does not make every younger person's decision subject to an older person's authority. Viktor may know local documents well without wanting to tell participants how to conduct relationships or choose professions. Limiting the scope of his advice is also a way to keep participation accurate.

In Analects II.17, Confucius connects knowing with recognising what one knows and what one does not. This is instruction about a relation to knowledge, rather than an allocation of authority

by age. For our question, it permits respect for experience without demanding omniscience. An older participant may ask how an unfamiliar tool works, while a younger participant supplies information unavailable before. Such questions do not cancel earlier skill. They clarify its scope. If an older person must never make a mistake, respect becomes an obligation to perform infallibility. If a younger person must agree solely because of the speaker's age, hierarchy replaces learning.

Sharing experience becomes more specific when there is a recipient and an agreed subject. Someone can ask what others would like to know, name their limits, and leave room for disagreement. Sometimes nobody needs instruction, but conversation still matters. Looking at an old photograph together does not have to end in a lesson about life. Conversely, a clear request for an explanation may provide a wanted role. Both sides need room to choose: one is not assigned to be the wise symbol of a generation, while the other is not required to listen gratefully to every story. The age difference becomes part of the experience discussed rather than allocating every right and responsibility in advance.

IX. A biography is more than a harvest of achievements

Discussion of ageing often brings a harvest metaphor: a person should now enjoy the fruits of a properly lived life. It may fit someone who feels gratitude and satisfaction. For another biography, the same image becomes an examination exposing missing fruits once again. In section 19, Cicero connects later life with memories of earlier good actions. That source of meaning can be considered without making it a compulsory condition of a dignified old age. The past may be mixed, some choices remain disputed, and certain opportunities were never available. A person should not have to present a flawless account of life before present wishes and needs can be heard.

In chapter IV of Democracy and Education, John Dewey considers education as continuing development rather than a process sealed by one external endpoint. Here this helps avoid requiring a later period to be merely a final report. Someone may learn something new, revise a judgment, or notice the unfamiliar in a familiar subject. This does not make learning compulsory or equally accessible to everyone. Nor does it promise that a difficult loss will stimulate development. The philosophical move is narrower: if participation's meaning is not exhausted by a final result, the present need not serve only as evidence of past merits. What happens now can be discussed on its own scale.

Viktor may find a new observation about a document interesting whether or not his work receives public recognition. Someone else may prefer to finish an existing task or simply spend time with people close to them. These intentions differ, and the article does not choose the most worthy one for its reader. In particular, receiving care should not be treated as a reward for useful activity. A person may need assistance without producing knowledge,

advice, or inspiring examples. Actual relationships and resources still require agreements, but human significance is not calculated from the size of a contribution. This view releases discussion of possibility from proving that every period of life paid for itself through results.

X. A horizon can be clarified without a sweeping verdict

A horizon of possibility is not a list of everything a person could theoretically still perform. It is a relation between interests, conditions, available forms of participation, and an unknown future. It may narrow in one area while remaining open in another, or a restriction may affect many areas at once. There is no obligation to discover a hidden gain. The extent of a change can be acknowledged accurately without adding the verdict that nothing further matters. That verdict is a separate statement rather than the natural sequel to every refusal. Examining it requires noticing which interests and relationships it excludes alongside the unavailable activity.

Returning to the hypothetical example, Viktor may stop joining trips and retain meetings, choose another way of working with materials, or leave the whole project. Each decision has its own reasons, and none follows solely from age. If others need his previous work, they can discuss handing over the task while preserving its history and agreements. Their need does not oblige him to continue indefinitely. If he wants to remain, participation should not be refused merely because its form has changed. Between endlessly performing an earlier role and disappearing completely from a shared activity lie particular decisions requiring conversation with the people actually involved.

This clarification promises no easy experience of age and does not cancel life’s finitude. It preserves a person’s participation in describing their own situation. That situation can be discussed without eternal youth or compulsory wisdom. Some opportunities really close; some require assistance; some remain unclear; some are ones the person wants to leave. These distinctions support an attentive, accurate decision about the activity at hand. Ageing need be neither the sole explanation nor a forbidden word. It becomes part of an actual biography when people can name what changed, what still matters, and whose agreement is needed for a next action—if any next action is wanted at all.

What this means in practice

Name one change you currently connect with age. Begin with an observable action: what has become harder, less appealing, or dependent on different conditions? If its cause remains unknown, do not fill the gap with a general explanation. Questions about health and a suitable workload belong to the relevant professional assessment. For this reflection, honestly distinguishing known information from assumptions is sufficient.

Then ask what mattered in the previous form: travel, conversation, independence, the subject itself, recognition, or responsibility? Several things may matter. Do not search for a compulsory positive side of the loss. If it matters as a loss, record that without immediately replacing it. A new activity may address a different question and need not be equivalent.

If you want to consider continuing, separate the activity, its form, and its scale. Which interest remains? Which action is possible or not yet checked? What time, place, money, and assistance would it require? Ask an organiser or another participant about actual conditions rather than guessing from age. If assistance is needed, discuss its object, availability, and limits. Agreeing to help confers no right to decide everything for you, while a request creates no unlimited obligation for someone else.

Examine your own promise too. What exactly are you willing to undertake, who needs the result, and how will you report changing conditions? A small commitment need not symbolise departure or prove permanent strength. It can simply be an agreement about a particular activity.

Finally, allow several outcomes: the existing form remains available, another becomes possible, participation is postponed, or it ends. Do not select the “correct” outcome in advance. The absence of a convenient solution establishes no moral failure. None of the outcomes requires someone to earn respect through usefulness, continual learning, or an inspiring attitude to age. The exercise aims to clarify a situation and a needed conversation; its efficacy has not been established as a psychological method.

Key quotation

> *“nor can the future be known”*

— Cicero, On Old Age 19; Cato’s words. Exact fragment from E. S. Shuckburgh’s English translation.

This is a philosophical observation about an unknown future, rather than an individual prognosis. It neither cancels planning nor establishes how much time any particular person has.

Connections between traditions

1. Living conditions and the limits of an inner decision. In On Old Age 3, Laelius connects Cato's position with wealth and public status; the reply acknowledges the difficulty of deep poverty. In Enchiridion 1, Epictetus distinguishes judgments of our own from what is not entirely in our power. The comparison keeps two questions separate when discussing ageing: what someone decides and the conditions under which that decision can be carried out. Participation in a meeting involves both an intention and transport, money, or assistance. The foundations differ: Cicero's dialogue discusses well-being in old age through character and resources, while the Stoic text concerns the scope of control over action. Neither proves that a correct attitude removes poverty or bodily restrictions. The connection retains external conditions without removing the assisted person's voice.

2. Different forms of a shared activity. In On Old Age 6, the steersman represents participation different from the crew's bodily movements. In chapter XV of Democracy and Education, Dewey connects an activity's educational meaning with understanding its conditions and relations, including gardening. Both permit meaning to extend beyond one visible performance. For someone changing their earlier scale of participation, they open a question about the action that matters and the form that fits. Their contexts differ: Cicero ranks the steersman above other workers, while Dewey debates useful and liberal education. That hierarchy is not being adopted, nor is an equivalent replacement for every loss promised. Another role must be available and wanted by the person. Respect remains when no such role exists.

3. Proportion without a general prohibition. In On Old Age 10, Cato acknowledges changing strength and discusses proportioning effort to capacity. Enchiridion 37 warns against accepting a role beyond one's powers. The resemblance concerns an accurate commitment: wanting a role and performing it need separate examination. Here it helps discuss one part of a project rather than an indefinite promise to continue everything. The texts provide no contemporary method of assessing workload or capacity fixed by age. Cicero places the argument in an influential Cato's self-account; Epictetus offers philosophical instruction about roles. Their connection does not authorise others to reduce someone's participation in advance. The person's decision, actual conditions, and any necessary professional assessment remain distinct grounds.

4. Uncertain time and the object of a promise. In On Old Age 19, Cato contrasts confidence in a long future with its uncertainty for both younger and older people. In Enchiridion 1, Epictetus distinguishes one's action from external conditions not entirely within one's power. The resemblance helps separate a current promise from a guarantee of future conditions. A changing horizon makes it useful to name the commitment and what participants will do if circumstances change. The foundations differ: Cicero continues an argument about death and

living well; Epictetus presents a Stoic distinction of control. Historical comparisons of illness are not accepted as contemporary statistics, and uncertainty supplies no individual prognosis. The connection supports a clear, limited commitment rather than forbidding plans or requiring life solely in the present.

5. Acknowledged ignorance and continuing education. In Analects II.17, knowing is connected with recognising what is known and unknown. In chapter IV of Democracy and Education, Dewey considers continuing learning without enclosing it within one external endpoint. For this article, the ideas meet in learning without portraying an older person as a completed bearer of every answer. Asking a younger participant about a new tool does not cancel earlier experience. Confucian instruction about knowledge and pragmatist educational philosophy nevertheless remain different teachings. Nor do they establish equal learning rates or compulsory wisdom at every age. The connection retains a specific scope of competence and an open question; it does not make respect a reward for continual development or the capacity to teach others.

Primary sources

1. Cicero. On Old Age (Cato Maior de Senectute), 3, 5–6, 10–11, and 19, with 18–20 as context. Historical English translation by E. S. Shuckburgh, Project Gutenberg no. 2808. Text. Cato speaks within a philosophical dialogue. The exact print impression and Latin original were not collated. Both Russian quotations are United Wisdom translations of the specified English fragments.

2. Epictetus. Enchiridion 1 and 37, with the actually read context 1–39. Internet Classics Archive; the page attributes its English text to Elizabeth Carter. Text. The precise print edition and modernisation of particular expressions were not established. The Stoic control distinction does not replace discussion of assistance and external conditions.

3. Confucius. Analects II.17, with book II and II.11 as context. James Legge’s historical English translation, Project Gutenberg no. 3330. Text. The Chinese original and exact print edition were not collated. Instruction about knowledge does not establish competence by age.

4. John Dewey. Democracy and Education (1916), IV and the opening of XV; selected passages of XVIII and XXIII were additionally read. Project Gutenberg no. 852. Text. The article uses philosophical arguments about continuing learning and the meaning of activities, not contemporary studies of health or age-related capacities. Rights depend on particular editions and jurisdictions; the source books are not imported or vectorised by this package.

Tags

ageing, age, horizon of possibility, life stage, biography, living conditions, participation, loss, changing roles, assistance, independence, agreements, responsibility, time, future, finitude, uncertainty, dignity, experience, competence, learning, mentoring, social expectations, environment, resources, Cicero, Epictetus, Confucius, Dewey, meaningful activity

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.