

UNITED WISDOM

The Right to Play and Learn at Any Adult Age

Meaning and Direction

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

P-09-N05 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

The Right to Play and Learn at Any Adult Age

Epigraph

> *“Is it not pleasant to learn with a constant perseverance and application?”*

— Confucius, Analects I.1. Exact sentence from James Legge’s English translation.

What this article is about

Adults sometimes explain a pastime before they have had a chance to enjoy it: a game will help at work, music will improve memory, a new language will eventually produce income. Those reasons may be real, but they need not provide admission to every interest. This article considers play and learning as forms of participation in life that can be chosen without promising greater productivity or renewed youth. We distinguish enjoyment, acquiring a skill, external usefulness, and actual conditions involving time, resources, and shared commitments. Historical texts by Confucius, Aristotle, Epictetus, Seneca, and John Dewey help clarify the question while retaining their different foundations. They establish no contemporary medical effect and guarantee no identical outcome at every age. An adult's ability to be interested does not remove constraints; it means an age label alone does not explain why someone must abandon play or new knowledge.

I. Interest does not require a report on usefulness

Imagine Oleg beginning drum lessons after fifty. This is a hypothetical scene, not a report of a learning outcome. He does not intend to perform professionally and does not yet know how well he can play. He is interested in distinguishing rhythms and trying movement with other people. When an acquaintance asks why this is needed at his age, Oleg says it will help concentration at work. That may genuinely be his hope. Yet if it becomes the only acceptable reason, the activity itself disappears from the explanation: permission to play now depends on an unconfirmed external effect. He seems required to prove enjoyment useful before allowing himself to hear the sound.

A more direct reason is available: this activity interests me, and I want to give it a particular amount of time. That statement settles neither cost nor neighbours nor shared tasks. It separates interest from a promise that need not be made. If Oleg intends to practise at home late at night, conditions should be discussed through their actual effect on others rather than the age-appropriateness of drums. If lesson money comes from a shared budget, an

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

agreement is needed. The object of discussion is then clear: how participation is arranged and whose possibilities it affects.

In Analects I.1, pleasure appears alongside learning and repeated application. The instruction has a Confucian moral context different from a contemporary leisure choice. It does not license every entertainment or make joy a compulsory feeling. What matters here is that learning is not described solely through a future reward. The quality of participation can be considered within the activity itself. Oleg may notice that he enjoys working out a rhythmic pattern even if that pattern never enters a work report. Particular enjoyment and particular obligations can coexist.

II. Play, learning, and rest are different intentions

“Play” can name a competition, an imagined scene, a shared evening, digital entertainment, or an experiment with materials. Their conditions differ. One person wants to win under accepted rules, another to sustain a shared story, another to see what a combination of colours produces. Learning may occur in each activity without being the main intention. Rest may accompany play too, but enjoyment does not always mean an absence of effort. Instead of disputing the broad label, identify what someone wants to do now. One person can approach the same activity with different intentions on different days.

In chapter XV of Democracy and Education, the section on play and work distinguishes an activity's directing idea from a more distant external result. Dewey does not reduce play to meaningless movement: participants are trying to do something. For an adult board-game evening, this offers a useful question. Are people seeking to solve a problem, try rules, spend time together, or determine a winner? Those intentions may fit together or require different arrangements. The historical educational argument is not a contemporary psychological classification or a proven effect. It helps name participation without requiring an appeal to earnings.

Someone seeking rest need not turn it into a learning project. Someone wanting a technique can choose exercises and feedback without treating every difficulty as failed play. Someone wanting company can discuss rule complexity with others. No intention makes a participant more adult in itself. Confusing them creates unnecessary expectations. Oleg may attend an informal session for the sound while a teacher proposes concert preparation. Before diagnosing a lack of discipline, the original agreement deserves clarification. People can choose different forms of one interest; the ability to play does not require pretending to study when someone simply wants an evening together.

III. An age label and an activity's actual conditions

“This is no longer for you” can refer to an actual condition or merely express someone else's habit of judging age. A course may have published requirements, a competition a defined category, or an activity a particular workload or means of access. Such information needs checking with the organiser and, where health is involved, the relevant professional. This article determines neither suitable workload nor medical limits. A broad age label does not replace that inquiry. It says nothing about which form of participation is unavailable or why. Even where one form is closed, no verdict about every interest in the subject follows.

Oleg may be unable to attend one group's schedule. That differs from a prohibition on studying rhythm. He might want a shorter lesson, different volume, or another discussion format; each option still has real conditions. There is no promise that a suitable substitute must exist. Money, time, or the absence of a relevant teacher can genuinely restrict access. Interest does not automatically create resources. It permits a more accurate description of the obstacle rather than making it proof of an incorrect age. If participation is unavailable now, someone need not manufacture it through willpower or regard refusal as insufficient personal development.

In Enchiridion 4, Epictetus proposes anticipating the circumstances of a chosen action. His example concerns a public bath and a Stoic relation to judgment. The ancient order of life is not being adopted, nor is calm promised under every inconvenience. The narrow connection here is attention to conditions: before reaching a general verdict on oneself, find out what happens in the chosen setting. Adult learning may require a clear schedule, intelligible feedback, and an agreed level. This is an editorial application, not evidence of the method's efficacy. Naming conditions is more precise than treating every difficulty as proof that the time for play has passed.

IV. Being a beginner in one activity

Adults already know many things, yet a new field may not obey earlier experience. Oleg can negotiate and organise journeys, but he has to repeat his first rhythmic pattern slowly. That does not make him inexperienced throughout life again. The error concerns a particular action. Losing this boundary turns each exercise into a public test of overall maturity and introduces a demand to perform immediately in a manner worthy of one's age. The demand does not explain the skill and can hide the subject itself. A more precise account is that learning is occurring in this part; elsewhere, experience remains experience even when it cannot replace a new movement.

In Nicomachean Ethics II.1, Aristotle discusses acquiring skills through corresponding actions, using building and playing an instrument as examples. His main question concerns forming

moral character rather than a contemporary drumming programme. The limited distinction useful here is between knowing an activity's name and participating in its performance. The passage establishes neither learning speed nor equal accessibility across ages nor a guaranteed result from repetition. It permits not demanding finished competence before someone starts. The quality of practice and feedback remain separate conditions to investigate in the chosen field.

A beginner can ask how errors are corrected, what is expected between meetings, and which knowledge is assumed. If a group requires prior preparation, that should be stated. Another group is not guaranteed, but clarity prevents a mismatch of levels from becoming a verdict on age. An adult need not accept humiliation to demonstrate willingness to learn. At the same time, an accurate comment about a particular error is not necessarily humiliation. Its meaning depends on content and manner rather than solely the discomfort of missing familiar competence. Someone can request an intelligible explanation, retain dignity, and acknowledge that a new action still needs assistance.

V. Taking play seriously without a compulsory result

Interest in play does not require indifference to its quality. Oleg can count beats carefully, repeat a difficult passage, and enjoy an accurate shared entrance. This is a serious relation to a chosen action even though it does not become a profession. The opposite demand can obstruct participation too: calling something play supposedly removes permission to try hard or be disappointed by an error. Yet agreed rules and wanting to master a move can belong to enjoyment. The question is which outcome a person chose and what they promised others. Effort need not continually expand the stakes into proof of personal worth.

If a group agreed to prepare a small concert, refusing to rehearse affects others. Appealing to the ability to play does not cancel an existing promise. A participant can discuss changing roles or withdrawing rather than treating shared work as a private experiment. Where nobody promised a concert, a teacher should not make every session compulsory preparation for public evaluation either. An agreement defines the shared part, not every future intention. Taking something seriously means attending to the actual subject and participants. It does not automatically turn enjoyment into competition or competition into a whole biography.

Dewey XV.3 does not describe play as lacking direction: participants seek to continue a particular activity. An external product differs from that continuation. Applied here, an accurate rhythm can be the object of attention without promising to sell a recording. The distinction establishes no single correct way to play. One person values a score, another improvisation, another a shared sound. If they play together, that difference belongs in the meeting's arrangements. Freedom about results is not an absence of conditions. It permits naming the

actual purpose rather than silently accepting an increasingly distant reward as the sole justification for today's action.

VI. Learning need not repair an entire biography

A new activity can acquire an oversized task: recovering missed youth, proving that life is not finished, or finally becoming an interesting person. Those wishes can be acknowledged without assigning all of them to one lesson. Otherwise ordinary difficulty becomes an answer to an enormous question. Oleg fails to hold a rhythmic pattern and supposedly loses his final chance to change. The assertions are not necessarily connected. Today's error reveals something about today's action and conditions, not the verdict on a lived life. Interest may have a modest object even alongside substantial feelings about time.

In section VII of On the Shortness of Life, Seneca discusses learning to live throughout life. The philosophical argument does not prescribe continual course attendance or establish a medical benefit of learning. It supports a limited question: must an adult be considered a completely finished participant in life? Rejecting that finality does not require endless self-improvement. Someone can learn one activity and leave another alone, or avoid turning every free minute into a project. Room for new knowledge does not compel continual demonstrations of renewal. It leaves interest possible without a general age prohibition.

Where a wish to play accompanies regret, the regret and the activity can be named separately. I regret not trying music earlier; now I want to understand this rhythm. The second statement does not promise to cancel the first. Regret may remain after a lesson while the lesson still matters. There is no need to declare it healing, recovered youth, or a new identity in advance. Such expressions may describe a personal experience without replacing a particular account or guaranteeing an outcome. A bounded task can leave more room to participate without demanding that every sound prove earlier years were lived differently.

VII. Earlier experience and a new action

Adult experience may assist learning: someone can ask questions, agree on time, or notice an unclear explanation. Those possibilities are not identical for everyone and do not replace knowledge of the chosen field. Age cannot be promised to make learning necessarily better or worse. Identify what is already known and what is required now. Oleg may bring a habit of recording sequences, but a written sequence does not perform a movement. An earlier method may help or need changing. Comparing particular actions is more accurate than a general contest between younger and older people. Experience remains available without granting immunity from being a beginner.

Analects II.11 connects retaining earlier knowledge with acquiring new knowledge; the passage concludes with the possibility of being a teacher. That conclusion does not become every adult's duty to instruct others. What matters here is a connection to establish rather than assume. Oleg can ask whether a familiar way of counting helps with the rhythm. If it does, he uses it; if not, he tries another within the teacher's explanation. The historical instruction determines no contemporary technique. It prevents a forced choice between cancelling the past entirely and requiring every new action to obey previous knowledge.

Experience need not pass only from older to younger participants. A younger person may know rules an adult has never used, while an older participant may suggest a helpful arrangement for the meeting. The content matters more than a role allocated by age in advance. This is an editorial proposal for an interaction rather than statistical evidence about intergenerational learning. It does not demand identical relations in every activity. A clear teacher role may be needed, or exchange between participants, or an independent experiment. Naming the format helps. Asking for a move to be explained need not surrender adult dignity, and offering assistance does not automatically establish an older person's superiority.

VIII. Shared play requires the participants' agreement

One person's interest does not oblige everyone else to play with them. Oleg can invite relatives to an evening of rhythm, but they may prefer another activity. Declining is not necessarily contempt for his age or music. An invitation remains one when refusal is possible without having to prove sufficient respect. Actual disparagement can be identified separately. Someone can decline to play and still speak respectfully. Agreement to participate concerns the action; the manner of conversation concerns the relationship. Participation need not be obtained through an accusation of insufficient closeness.

Shared play needs intelligible arrangements: how long the meeting lasts, how seriously results count, and whether someone can stop or change roles. Where one participant wants a friendly experiment and another intense competition, the difference should be named before judging behaviour. Age does not distribute intentions automatically. An older participant may enjoy competition while a younger one prefers an open story, or the reverse. Preferences need not become immutable generational properties. Conditions can be agreed, or the group may discover that the format does not currently fit everyone. That does not devalue one person's interest or oblige others to supply the desired evening.

Enchiridion 4 distinguishes a chosen action from one's relation to anticipated circumstances. Here it offers a limited reason to consider the presence of other people in a shared meeting. It does not require tolerating every behaviour or remove permission to end participation. Conditions can be prepared and intentions stated without securing the desired response.

Another person's refusal then differs from one's own interest. Oleg can retain the wish to learn even if a family gathering does not occur. Another form may become available later or remain unavailable now; a correct invitation is not promised to make every group supportive.

IX. Usefulness may follow without being an admission ticket

Play and learning may have useful consequences. Someone meets people, distinguishes a material more carefully, or uses a new skill elsewhere. Such outcomes can be noticed without promising them to every participant. Oleg may find that he hears another player's entrance more accurately; that does not automatically establish improved memory, productivity, or health. Those assertions need relevant research and conditions absent from this article. Precision leaves room to appreciate what actually happened without turning an observation into a universal recommendation. There is no need to prove more than a particular experience permits.

If usefulness becomes the only basis, its absence retrospectively removes meaning. A game evening produced no new acquaintance and supposedly wasted time, although the original intention may have been the meeting and shared moves themselves. An external consequence and a chosen action then have different criteria. Someone can reconsider an activity that does not fit their interest without declaring failure because an unpromised result did not occur. The reverse substitution is possible too: where a skill was genuinely being learned for a task, enjoyment does not establish readiness. Remember the original question rather than silently changing it after a convenient or inconvenient answer.

In Nicomachean Ethics II.4, Aristotle distinguishes a product's quality from conditions of the agent's action. His moral foundations differ from choosing adult leisure. The narrow connection keeps two questions visible: what happened, and how did I participate? A successful performance may be enjoyable without showing that everyone had the same experience. A failed move need not cancel interest in another attempt. A professional task needs its own requirements; a chosen game needs its actual rules. Neither evaluation determines a person's overall worth. Usefulness may accompany participation without having to grant it permission to exist each time.

X. Continuing, changing, and ending remain possible

A started pastime does not become a compulsory new identity. Oleg can attend for six months and then choose another subject or stop. The decision must consider promises, payment, and shared work where those exist. Once those conditions are fulfilled or reconsidered, he need not prove that music permanently became his true calling. Interest can have a limited duration. Its ending does not make earlier meetings false. Someone need not retain an activity solely to demonstrate that starting after fifty was correct. An argument about age should not quietly determine every subsequent form of participation.

Sometimes someone wants to continue on a different scale: a few meetings instead of performances, one session instead of a long course, or reading about a game instead of playing in a group. These are possible forms whose availability must be checked, not a menu promised to everyone. A changed form may not retain everything enjoyable, and the loss can be acknowledged. Every reduction need not be celebrated as a wonderful new stage. Consider what remains, what is absent, and whether it fits the present intention. This inquiry permits continuation or stopping without a general verdict on adult play. An honest limit and room for interest can coexist.

Room for play and learning at any adult age is expressed through particular statements: this interests me; this is what I do not yet know; these conditions are needed; this is the part I promised others. None guarantees an outcome, but together they are clearer than declaring that participation is forbidden or continual development compulsory. Adults can leave room for experiment, error, enjoyment, and ignorance. Actual limits can be accepted without defining an entire life through them. The next session remains a question about an activity and intention. It requires neither returning to childhood nor proving endless productivity nor defeating someone else's age.

What this means in practice

Choose an activity that interests you or that you already do. Describe it without a general claim of usefulness: what do you want to do, see, hear, or understand? Then identify the intention. Is it play, acquiring a skill, rest, company, or a particular external result? Several intentions may coexist, but notice which matters most now.

Record actual conditions separately. What time and resources are available? Are there organiser requirements, prior preparation, shared work, or effects on others to agree? Check conditions with the relevant source. This article determines no medical workload. If only a statement about an unsuitable age stands in the way, identify the particular rule it supposedly refers to.

For learning, name one question about the skill: which action remains unclear, who can explain it, and how does feedback work? Do not require a new field to confirm overall adult competence. For a shared game, clarify rules, duration, and the treatment of results with participants. An invitation must leave refusal possible; your interest does not oblige others to join.

Afterwards, distinguish three records: what you did, what you liked or disliked, and what you learned or still do not know. Describe external usefulness only within what was observed, without promising medical or professional effects. If the initial aim was a skill for a particular task, check that task's requirements separately.

Keep the next step particular. Continuing, changing form, or stopping are possible decisions subject to promises and available conditions. There is no need to prove youth, healing, or a new identity. This is a proposal for reflecting on an activity, not a tested psychological method or a duty to make all leisure developmental.

Key quotation

> *“play has an end in the sense of a directing idea”*

— John Dewey, Democracy and Education XV.3. Exact English fragment; the introductory words about the preceding remarks are omitted.

The fragment concerns the direction of a playful activity. It does not require every game to produce income, professional advancement, or a medical outcome.

Connections between traditions

1. Enjoyment and directed activity. Analects I.1 connects pleasure with learning and application, while Dewey's Democracy and Education XV.3 distinguishes play's directing idea from a more distant result. Here the connection permits meaning within an activity: someone wants to make a move or hear a shared rhythm without a promised external reward. The foundations differ. Confucian instruction about moral learning is not Dewey's educational philosophy. Neither passage establishes contemporary evidence that play benefits memory or health, and enjoyment does not become a compulsory emotion. The connection allows interest to be discussed directly while actual limits remain, rather than demanding a professional outcome as admission to the activity.

2. Practice and the beginner's boundary. Nicomachean Ethics II.1 considers acquiring skills through corresponding actions; Analects I.1 connects learning with application. Their shared question concerns participation to identify before demanding completed knowledge. In the hypothetical musical example, an error can belong to a new action rather than an entire adult

biography. Aristotle uses skills within an argument about moral character, while Confucius offers instruction within his own tradition. The grounds are not identical, and no speed of acquiring a contemporary skill follows. Repetition may belong to an activity without guaranteeing an outcome; explanation, conditions, and feedback remain separate questions.

3. Retained knowledge and continuing learning. Analects II.11 connects earlier knowledge with acquiring new knowledge and concludes with the possibility of teaching. Seneca's On the Shortness of Life VII considers learning to live throughout life. Here their connection resists treating adults as finished people who can only reproduce the past. Yet Confucian grounds for instruction differ from Stoic criticism of how time is used. No duty to attend courses continually or teach everyone else follows. Room for interest does not become a rule of endless productivity. The texts establish no medical effect or removal of age requirements; a new interest remains a particular choice under actual conditions.

4. Conditions of participation and a purpose of one's own. Enchiridion 4 proposes anticipating circumstances, using a public bath as its example. Democracy and Education XV.3 considers the direction of play and its relation to results. Together they support asking whether a meeting fits the intention: experiment, learning, or preparing a performance. Stoic attention to judgment differs from educational analysis of play; only a particular question about participation is compared. Calm, an ideal group, and equal accessibility are not promised. Humiliation need not be tolerated, and others' agreement remains necessary. A restricted schedule or format should be described as a condition of the activity rather than a final prohibition on adult play.

5. An outcome and a way of acting. Nicomachean Ethics II.4 distinguishes the quality of a product from conditions of the agent's action. Democracy and Education XV.3 distinguishes continuing play from a more distant external result. Here the connection retains two questions: what happened, and how did someone participate? An evening can matter without income, while professional preparation needs the task's requirements checked. Aristotle's moral action and Dewey's educational analysis are not declared one theory of leisure. The texts neither make every game virtuous nor replace assessment of a skill with enjoyment. They prevent one error or victory from measuring an entire person, or unpromised usefulness being demanded afterwards.

Primary sources

1. Confucius. Analects I.1 and II.11, within actually read books I–II and the opening of III. James Legge's English translation, Project Gutenberg no. 3330. Text. The epigraph is an exact sentence; RU is translated by United Wisdom. Chinese and the precise print edition were not collated.

2. John Dewey. Democracy and Education (1916), XV.3, Play and Work, with selected context in XV and bounded readings in IV, XVIII, and XXIII. Project Gutenberg no. 852. Text. The key quotation is an exact XV.3 fragment; RU is translated by United Wisdom. Historical psychological assertions are not adopted as contemporary medical facts.

3. Aristotle. Nicomachean Ethics II.1 and II.4, with II.1–5 and the opening of II.6 actually read. W. D. Ross's English translation, Internet Classics Archive. Book II. Greek and the precise print edition were not collated.

4. Epictetus. Enchiridion 4, within the actually read sections 1–39. The Internet Classics Archive attributes its English translation to Elizabeth Carter. Text. Print edition and modernisation of particular expressions were not established; ancient hierarchies do not become present duties.

5. Seneca. On the Shortness of Life VII, with selected context I–VII and the opening of VIII actually read. Aubrey Stewart's historical English translation (1889), Project Gutenberg no. 64576. Text. Latin and the whole collection were not collated. Rights depend on edition and jurisdiction; this package permits no raw-source import or vectorisation.

Tags

play, adult learning, age, interest, enjoyment, skill, beginner, practice, rest, leisure, creativity, music, rules, participation, agreement, commitments, time, resources, outcome, usefulness, dignity, experience, error, continuation, choice, Confucius, Aristotle, Epictetus, Seneca, Dewey

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.