


UNITED WISDOM

# Regret for an unlived life

Meaning and Direction

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

P-17-N03 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

# Regret for an unlived life

## Epigraph

> *no one will give you back your years…*

— Seneca, Of the Shortness of Life VIII, fragment, translated by Aubrey Stewart, 1889. Lowercase retained; ellipsis marks omitted continuation.

## What this article is about

Regret for an unlived life arises beside the life that actually happened. Someone imagines a profession they did not choose, a relationship that never began, or courage they kept postponing. The imagined version can seem like the only real life, while the years lived appear merely preparatory or mistaken. This article asks how to distinguish a particular loss from an unknown alternative biography and hear what matters in regret without demanding a completely fresh start. Its sources are selected passages from Seneca on time, Augustine on memory and expectation, and Ecclesiastes on comparing former days with the present. Their philosophical and religious foundations remain different. The comparison promises no cure for every sadness and does not require turning each loss into a benefit. It helps name what did not happen, what genuinely did, and the form of participation that may still be possible now.

## I. Another life appears within this one

Imagine a hypothetical example. Someone finds an old sketchbook and remembers wanting to make images before choosing another kind of work. A real life now seems to exist somewhere else: a studio, exhibitions, and acquaintances who would understand them differently. A genuine desire may underlie the image. The sketchbook, however, contains no complete biography of what a different choice would have produced. It includes no unsuccessful work, rent payments, difficult relationships, or unforeseen circumstances. Imagination retains the desired side and supplies the rest smoothly enough. Regret grows heavier when this image is compared with an actual life known in all its tired, contradictory, and unfinished detail.

This distinction does not make the imagined life an empty fantasy that must immediately be abandoned. It indicates that something still matters. Its structure nevertheless needs attention. The central desire may concern working with colour, recognition, or freedom from living entirely by other people’s schedules. These wishes differ. Drawing in the evenings will not produce the former image of success, but it may give a particular activity a place in the present. Demanding the entire lost biography from a new activity makes it insufficient in



@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

advance. Before deciding, it helps to ask which part of the image is genuinely mine and which arrived as ready-made scenery for a good life.

Other unlived lives are less visible: a conversation always postponed, unhurried time with someone close, the chance to express disagreement, or learning for which the right year never seemed to arrive. Such regrets need no grand scene of fame. They concern a particular way of being present. Someone may discover them within an outwardly successful biography. That does not prove the success was false or require rejecting everything accomplished. It reveals a possible mismatch between life as a set of events and one’s participation in those events. The article examines that mismatch without setting a correct number of achievements, relationships, or changes that everyone must obtain in time.

## II. A known past and an unknown alternative

The phrase “if only I had dared then” joins an established event with an unknown continuation. One may know that an application was not submitted or an encounter did not happen. That knowledge cannot establish that acceptance would have produced a fulfilling profession or the encounter lasting intimacy. Uncertainty does not cancel a missed opportunity; it limits conclusions about its outcome. Naming the loss more precisely helps: no attempt was made, no answer heard, no particular shared time created. That absence is real. It differs from knowing that an entire better life existed, that one was obliged to choose it, and that its details can now be presented as an accusation against the present.

In Confessions XI.18 Augustine considers how the past is present in memory. He distinguishes things that have passed from their images, which someone sees now. The account belongs to an address to God and an inquiry into time; it is no modern theory of memory reliability. For this topic, the distinction between the past itself and a present relationship to it matters. Someone looks at an earlier choice with experience they did not then possess. This can help acknowledge a mistake. It can also quietly attribute present knowledge and authority over circumstances to the earlier person. Examining memory allows this substitution to be questioned without denying the event or treating every recollection as invention.

Someone returns to the sketchbook after many years of work. They now know their preferences, fatigue with particular duties, and the cost of repeatedly postponing things. Much of this was only emerging then. They can ask what was already visible and what they deliberately turned away from. An honest answer must also consider available information, the need to earn a living, and the presence or absence of support. This is no blanket justification of the past. It examines a particular choice under its conditions. A genuinely available opportunity may be acknowledged as missed. Where freedom was severely constrained, another’s restrictions should not become proof of personal inadequacy. Many histories lie between these cases.

## III. Not everything missed was freely surrendered

In Of the Shortness of Life III, Seneca sharply asks how much time someone retained for themselves and how much they distributed among other occupations. The question can expose habitual postponement of what matters. It should not become a universal accusation against every reader. Caring for a child, necessary treatment, work required for survival, or restricted freedom does not become wasted time merely because it occupied years. Seneca's historical rhetoric belongs to an ethical dispute with a form of busy life. Its limited use here is to examine one's repeated agreement to postpone. It establishes neither that every external duty is alien to oneself nor that everyone had equal control over time.

The distinction matters when comparing oneself with someone supported through education, given housing, or allowed to take risks. Another person's visible result does not reveal all the conditions. Envy or sadness can be acknowledged without turning different outcomes into a moral hierarchy. Conditions also do not explain every personal choice in advance. Some opportunity may have remained and been relinquished through fear, habit, or the desire to conform. Regret becomes more precise when these sides are examined separately. "Why did I not live?" can then become several concrete questions: what was unavailable, where did I withdraw, what was necessary, and which value never received a form of its own?

This examination need not discover a culprit. Opportunities may genuinely have failed to coincide: suitable learning became available later, a relationship was not mutual, or work required moving at an unsuitable time. A loss remains a loss even when nobody clearly erred. It does not become a debt the present must repay at any cost. Someone can regret an unavailable path without treating the life lived as punishment. Sadness can remain without an immediate demonstration of usefulness. Sometimes understanding what matters arrives late; late understanding does not retrospectively create a former opportunity. It may nevertheless help distinguish present offers, limitations, and one's own consent more clearly.

## IV. Former days do not become wholly better

Ecclesiastes 7:10 cautions against asking why former days were better than the present. The chosen context, 7:8–14, also concerns patience, anger, wisdom, prosperity, and adversity. It supplies no rule that every current condition is better than the past. Rather, confidence in the general superiority of former days is questioned. This is a material boundary for regret. Someone may know they were younger or had a particular opportunity; it does not follow that the whole former world was more fit for living. The religious wisdom teaching neither cancels historical harm nor forbids remembering genuine good. It invites care with a sweeping condemnation of the present through an idealized comparison.

Imagined youth often consists of opportunities without their costs. Someone sees a free evening but forgets uncertainty, the start of a relationship without the unknown response, or a chance to learn without the risk of rejection. This does not make the earlier opportunity worthless. It can be mourned together with its difficulty. Fuller memory makes the comparison less unfair: the actual present no longer competes with a past from which every inconvenient condition was removed. In the sketchbook example, both the pleasure of drawing and the circumstances of the decision deserve remembrance. Together they produce no final verdict, but resist turning one missed opportunity into proof that the entire life was mistaken.

The comparison also changes when another person’s memories are treated as a complete picture. An acquaintance’s account of a happy studio may be true and selective. It need not contain every anxiety, fatigue, or cost; the listener has no right to call it false on that basis. Its limit is enough to remember: it conveys a side of someone’s experience, not access to an alternative biography for me. I do not know what would have happened to my relationships, body, or duties in that life. Their experience can matter without transferring its outcome to myself. Interest in another path may clarify the desired activity rather than only magnify distance from an unattainable model.

## V. What exactly remained unlived

It helps to hear a verb inside regret: write, learn, travel, care, argue, be present, create. A verb gives the image an object that can be examined. “Become another person” is too broad: it may conceal a particular activity or a wish not to feel an earlier pain. Neither should be dismissed, but they require different questions. If drawing matters, what was attractive in the act itself? If recognition matters, drawing in the evenings should not be presented as satisfying that wish completely. Distinguishing them shows which concern survives and which goal is no longer available in its former form, without forcing someone to settle for what they do not actually want.

In XI.20 Augustine distinguishes the present presence of past things in memory, present things in sight, and future things in expectation. This is no instruction to switch memory off for the sake of a single moment. Different temporal relations are present in the soul now. Regret can therefore be considered a present relationship to an absent path rather than the existence of a second lived world. The distinction does not automatically reduce the feeling. It changes the question: what does this image do to my attention today? Does it name something important, demand an impossible return, or displace every actual activity? The reader explores the answer, retaining Augustine’s religious context and the limits of everyday application.

Sometimes what remained unlived was permission to try without an obligation to succeed. A person wanted less an exhibition than the freedom to make an awkward first piece. Someone wanted a conversation but believed perfect words must come first. The remaining possibility

then concerns how to begin. A trial can have a limited scope without promising to overturn the biography. This is appropriate only where the action is genuinely possible and respects other people’s boundaries. Not every missed conversation is now permissible; not every former dream fits current obligations. A concrete verb helps examine these conditions and distinguish an available experience from an attractive new reason to postpone life again.

## VI. Waiting for a complete life can postpone it again

In Of the Shortness of Life IX, Seneca criticizes waiting that gives the present away to a promise of the future. Here it appears in the decision to begin living once the past is completely settled. Someone waits for full self-forgiveness, clarity about every mistake, or the proper age for another attempt. The condition may be so broad that no sign of completion exists. Life becomes preparation again. Seneca speaks sharply from a particular Stoic position; his text does not establish that all waiting is meaningless. Waiting can be necessary for safety, means, or agreement. The distinction is between a material condition and an indefinite future repeatedly used to refuse available participation.

An entirely new life can become a condition for every small activity. Someone refuses an hour of drawing because it will not make them the artist they might have become twenty years earlier. An hour and an alternative biography have different scales. The hour can be a valuable experience today without vindicating every preceding year. This does not ask desires to shrink to a convenient norm. A large wish can remain while a particular act is examined honestly: what it offers, what it does not, and why I choose it now. If it does not answer the wish at all, that should be acknowledged too. Smallness is not automatically useful; a step matters through its actual object.

Waiting for others’ permission can have a similar structure. Someone believes loved ones must first confirm the right to a personal activity and declare the former years not wasted. Support may matter, but such a general judgment is not fully at my disposal. A particular action may require agreement about an evening, expense, or division of a duty rather than permission for an entire life. Other people’s interests are real and should not vanish behind a slogan of independence. One can request a specific condition, hear a refusal, and consider another form. That differs from endlessly waiting for others to endorse one’s whole relationship with the past before allowing the present to begin.

## VII. A present form need not copy the former one

A former wish may have involved another city, long study, or an entire profession. Resources, relationships, and limitations are now different. Examining possibility means considering a present version without automatically dismissing it as a pathetic substitute. The activity itself may remain; experience may be shared; reading and observation may be available where full participation is not. These alternatives are not declared equivalent to the original wish. They receive the opportunity to be considered. Someone may choose one, decline, or acknowledge that none answers what mattered. This is more honest than imposed optimism or the sweeping belief that anything short of reproducing the former plan must be meaningless.

In XI.28 Augustine uses reciting a familiar psalm to show expectation, attention, and memory within one action. During recitation, what has been said belongs to memory, what remains to expectation, and attention accompanies the passage. This supports a limited comparison: an action does not become entirely past or entirely future merely because it relates to both. An hour of drawing can include an old wish and hope of continuing while remaining an actual present activity. Augustine does not study creativity following life regret; his example proves no psychological efficacy of this trial. It helps describe an action’s temporal structure without demanding that all memory or expectation be removed from it.

Choosing a new form requires a clear boundary. One can decide what time or means are appropriate for an initial trial, whom it affects, and how to decide about continuing. The boundary preserves freedom to stop. A trial need not prove that someone finally discovered the only true vocation. It may bring pleasure, boredom, or a mixed feeling. Each result says something about the activity without completing an assessment of the whole life. A newly attractive possibility can be considered under ordinary conditions rather than as emergency compensation for the past. Regret then stops assigning every next action the impossible task of bringing lost years back.

## VIII. Loved ones are not the price of my missed life

Regret sometimes turns against those beside whom the years passed: family prevented study, work prevented writing, care prevented travel. These circumstances may genuinely have constrained choices. They do not establish that loved ones must now fulfil the missed dream or acknowledge their existence as the cause of a wrong life. Duties and actual harm can be discussed precisely. A particular imposed demand should be distinguished from another person’s very presence. Otherwise regret becomes a bill without a clear object or possible settlement: the years cannot be returned, and the other person’s dignity remains continually in question. Specific changes can be discussed without assigning someone the debt of an entire unlived biography.

In Seneca VIII, time matters although it is difficult to see as an object being given. This can reveal the cost of participation without establishing that all time given to another is lost. Care may itself have been a personal value while limiting another path. Both sides can be acknowledged: I wanted this relationship and also lost a particular opportunity. One final truth need not displace the other. Equally, care imposed through coercion or unfair distribution does not become freely chosen through attractive words. This limited reading of Seneca raises questions about time and conditions of participation; it does not decide which relationships a reader should retain, change, or end.

A present conversation becomes more possible when a request has its own object. Someone can ask for time to learn and reconsider a particular duty without presenting all sadness about a missed profession as a partner's fault. The partner may know what changes for them and participate in agreement. A new activity also does not require children, friends, or colleagues to give a particular assessment. Support is welcome, but cannot be collected as an overdue debt for youth. Where a relationship requires deeper examination, a small personal experience does not replace it. Regret may prompt conversation; its substance is determined by the actual duties and rights of today's participants.

## IX. The life lived also deserves attention

When an unlived path occupies the entire field of vision, the actual past becomes an empty background. Friendship, work, acquired skill, care, and unexpected happy encounters disappear from it. Remembering them does not mean regret was mistaken. What genuinely mattered can be named beside what was missing. This is no exercise in compulsory gratitude: readers need not find a benefit in every deprivation. It concerns a fuller description. An absent path may be important, but contains no complete basis for judging a life. Even a biography with a major loss includes events and relationships that cannot accurately be treated as nothing merely because the loss remains.

Augustine's distinction between memory and things already past reminds us that a current account can change without changing the events. Someone may now notice support previously overlooked or acknowledge a restriction they once justified. This grants no permission to rewrite facts arbitrarily. The account becomes more precise as forgotten sides return. Letters, notes, or other participants' recollections can help distinguish what is known from what is supposed. Yet an exhaustive investigation of one's biography is not required to earn permission to draw. Proportion matters: understanding a particular regret can be sufficient for a present decision without turning every remaining day into a trial of all the preceding ones.

Sometimes someone discovers that an earlier wish is no longer a present one. They miss the freedom to choose, youth, or the imagination accompanying the plan. This discovery makes

neither the old drawing ridiculous nor an urgent new grand dream necessary. The sketchbook can remain testimony to a meaningful past aspect that need not become a profession today. Sadness about a completed period can also be acknowledged without a project in reply. Not everything valuable exists only through continuation. Regret for an unlived path may acquire a more precise name: memory of a former possibility. Today’s activity can then be considered without an obligation to fulfil a promise once made to an imagined self.

## X. The present does not have to justify itself against an alternative biography

Seneca VIII recalls the irretrievability of years, and IX the risk of giving the present away to waiting again. Neither thought should become an order to hurry or accept every offer immediately. Haste can repeat an unverified hope. An attentive question is enough: what do I actually want to do now, what is available, and what conditions does it require? The answer may be an action, conversation, refusal, or acknowledgment that a former possibility is closed. Each is considered through its object. The remaining time need not compensate for everything missed. Irretrievability limits the demand to return years without making present participation empty merely because it begins later than wished.

A new form need not continually face the former image as a counterfeit. If someone draws, they draw now, with particular skill, attention, and limits. Sadness about what never happened may remain without invalidating the act. Joy may remain too, without vindicating the earlier choice. The feelings can concern different sides of one situation. The article sets no timetable for their change and no emotional result that defines success. Its question is more concrete: has life acquired an actual place for something the person considers important, or has another endless preparation for an ideal beginning taken its place? The answer concerns participation, not a compulsory mood.

Regret need not disappear before the life lived and continuing can have its own name. A missed opportunity can be acknowledged, its alternative outcome kept uncertain, and actual relationships retained. An old wish may reveal a present value or remain part of the past. Seneca, Augustine, and Ecclesiastes form no common doctrine here: their texts examine time, memory, and comparison in different ways. The next decision belongs to the reader under their own conditions. It neither starts the biography from a blank page nor restores youth. It may give a particular action a place among what has happened without demanding that it become the entire better life one has ever imagined.

## What this means in practice

Choose one regret about a path not taken that is appropriate to consider now. Write what definitely did not happen: an application not submitted, an attempt not made, particular time not shared. Separately identify the assumed outcome you do not actually know. This distinction does not cancel the loss.

Next, name the verb within the wish: draw, learn, be present, or another action. Consider whether it still matters today and what form is genuinely possible. Identify conditions, a limit on time or means, and participation requiring another person’s consent. A limited trial is possible; acknowledging that it is unavailable or no longer wanted is possible too. Neither answer determines the entire value of your life.

Beside it, record one actual aspect of the life lived that the comparison obscured. It need not prove that the loss was beneficial. Finish with a nearest decision, if one emerged, and what remains unknown or irretrievable. This is reflection rather than treatment or a duty to revisit painful memory daily. Appropriate support may accompany consideration where needed; the article promises no particular emotional outcome.

## Key quotation

> *present of things past, memory*

— Augustine, Confessions XI.20.26, fragment of the temporal distinction, translated by J. G. Pilkington in the New Advent revision. Lowercase retained.

## Connections between traditions

1. **Years past and a present image of the past.** Seneca, Of the Shortness of Life VIII, emphasizes that years cannot be returned; Augustine, Confessions XI.18, distinguishes things past from their images in present memory. The shared mechanism separates an event’s irreversibility from the possibility of clarifying today’s relationship to it. The limit: Stoic exhortation and Christian inquiry into time have different foundations; a clarified memory neither returns an event nor makes a loss beneficial.

2. **Waiting and participation in an ongoing action.** Seneca IX criticizes deferring the present for a future life; Augustine XI.28 illustrates memory, expectation, and attention through reciting a psalm. The comparison distinguishes waiting for an ideal beginning from attention to an activity that actually began. The limit: criticizing deferral does not forbid necessary waiting, and the psalm is no efficacy study of a creative trial following regret or promise of emotional change.

3. **Accounting for life and caution with sweeping comparison.** Seneca III examines the distribution of time and repeated postponement; Ecclesiastes 7:10, within 7:8–14, questions confidence that former days were generally superior. The connection is that assessing the past requires a particular object rather than one sweeping verdict. The limit: rhetoric about busyness does not establish that care and necessary labour were wasted; the wisdom teaching does not declare every present world better than the past.

4. **An uncertain outcome and the limit of an alternative biography.** Seneca IX recalls the uncertainty of the future; Ecclesiastes 7:14 connects prosperity and adversity with limited knowledge of what follows. The shared mechanism constrains certainty that an unmade choice must have led to an entirely better life. The limit: Stoic Fortune and the biblical relationship to God are not identified; an uncertain outcome does not deny a genuinely missed opportunity.

5. **Memory as a present relation and idealized former days.** Augustine XI.20 calls memory the present presence of past things; Ecclesiastes 7:10 cautions against uncritical claims that earlier days were better. The comparison asks what present evaluation accompanies recollection while retaining the past fact. The limit: Augustine supplies no modern theory of memory errors, and Ecclesiastes does not forbid remembering actual good; a fuller account requires no compulsory gratitude for loss.

## Primary sources

1. **Seneca.** Of the Shortness of Life, III, VIII–IX. Minor Dialogues, translated by Aubrey Stewart, London, 1889, Project Gutenberg ebook 64576. The complete selected passages concern distribution of time, irretrievable years, and postponing life. Their rhetoric about busyness is not used as a judgment against care, illness, poverty, or necessary labour.

2. **Augustine.** Confessions XI.18.23–24; XI.20.26; XI.28.37–38. Translated by J. G. Pilkington, source edition 1887; New Advent revision / Kevin Knight. Complete selected passages on memory, expectation, and attention are used with their prayerful Christian context. This is philosophical inquiry into time rather than a modern theory of memory or treatment method.

3. **Ecclesiastes.** 7:8–14, especially 7:10 and 7:14. King James Version, Bible Gateway. The complete selected context was used. Caution against idealized former days neither cancels actual loss nor declares every present condition superior.

The named English translations retain their attribution. Displayed Russian quotations are United Wisdom's own translations. The everyday examples and proposed reflection are original editorial work; the cited primary texts do not establish their efficacy.

## Tags

regret, unlived life, time, memory, expectation, attention, Seneca, Augustine, Ecclesiastes, choice, opportunity, loss, youth, past, present, future, imagination, alternative biography, care, conditions, consent, learning, creativity, meaning, relationships, responsibility, trial, limitation, comparison, life path

## About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.