

UNITED WISDOM

Practice After the First Enthusiasm Has Faded

Meaning and Direction

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

P-22-N03 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

Practice After the First Enthusiasm Has Faded

Epigraph

“let us not be weary in well doing”

Galatians6:9, King James Version, a short contiguous clause. Verses7–10 place perseverance within spiritual sowing and hope rather than a promise of immediate worldly results.

What this article is about

The first weeks of a new practice can remain more vivid than the following months. A book opens unfamiliar questions, meeting a teacher inspires trust, and morning reading seems to begin a different life. Then an ordinary morning arrives: the same lines, several pressing tasks, no remarkable feeling. We may conclude that everything has become inauthentic without the original excitement, or demand the same intensity at any cost. Both reactions bypass the question for which the practice began. What did we want to sustain: attention in conversation, keeping promises, prayer, learning, or helping people? This article considers continuing without compulsory exhilaration or an obligation to preserve every form forever. A Buddhist account of adjusting effort, a Confucian image of learning, Stoic constancy and a Christian reminder about good works offer distinct grounds for reflection. Their differences can inform questions about our own conduct while preserving the possibility of changing its scale, reconsidering its meaning or taking a reasoned pause.

I. Initial Enthusiasm and a Chosen Ground

Enthusiasm can make a beginning visible. Someone first sets aside time to read, records an important thought or listens differently to a loved one. It would be unfair to dismiss that joy as superficial merely because it did not last. Yet the vividness of a beginning and the grounds for continuing call for different questions. One describes a state experienced; the other concerns what an action means now. We can value the first while asking what deserves a place in an ordinary day where joy exists alongside work, care and uncertainty.

Suppose a conversation about attention prompts someone to recall one interruption each evening. At first, writing about it feels revelatory. Later the note becomes brief and ordinary. That change alone does not establish whether the action has lost its meaning. A more relevant question is whether there is an opportunity to notice an interruption, apologize or let the other person finish. This is an original illustration, not a researched effect. It separates the first

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

impression from the particular question that led to the evening arrangement, rather than measuring both on a single scale.

Sometimes a person begins less for the chosen activity than for a sense of belonging to a new circle. Discovering this need not amount to accusing oneself of deception. Belonging can matter; it is simply different from learning or service. If an evening gathering sustains companionship, naming that purpose helps. The loss of an intense impression need not destroy the relationship, and preserving the relationship need not require attributing unobserved results to the gathering. An honest name for the purpose makes subsequent choices easier to understand.

A ground can be stated without a solemn vow: I want to notice more often when I speak for someone else; I want to return to a particular text; I want to leave time for prayer. Such a statement does not prove future faithfulness. It helps us consider whether the present form still serves the chosen direction. If the ground changes, a revised statement may be needed rather than a stronger feeling. We may discover that the meeting matters while its existing arrangement has become unsuitable. That invites discussion of the form while preserving its purpose.

II. Adjusting Effort in the Account of Sona

In AN6.55, Sona considers leaving monastic training: effort is present, but the liberation he seeks has not occurred. Thanissaro translates the opening with a severe bodily cost of strenuous practice. The Buddha turns to an instrument familiar to Sona, the vina: strings stretched too tightly or left too loose do not sound properly. The comparison concerns proportionate effort. The response in this passage is adjustment rather than a simple demand for more exertion. The account therefore resists equating maximum intensity with the right way to proceed.

The account has its own Buddhist horizon: monastic life, liberation and the qualities of an arahant. It is not a study of convenient schedules for people with jobs. We cannot promise the same outcome after shortening an exercise or present pain as a condition of spiritual success. The useful comparison here concerns a distinction: effort calls for attention to its quality and proportion. The source retains its wider meaning even when our personal task is more modest. Respecting the tradition includes declining to turn the ending into a promotional promise.

Imagine that morning reading occupied an hour which now comes at the expense of sleep or agreed household tasks. Adjustment need not mean choosing between that hour and complete cancellation. A single passage with one question may suffice, or an evening may offer a better time. Neither change guarantees a particular inner state. It addresses a specific mismatch between the chosen arrangement and available conditions. If those conditions leave no room

even for a smaller form, a pause also deserves an honest description rather than a concealed pretence of continuing.

The image of strings is useful without becoming a universal formula for a midpoint. There is not always a correct arithmetic position between two extremes. One activity may require more time; another may require removing an unnecessary burden. Adjustment asks what effort serves and what follows from its scale. We cannot hand this question to a teacher in a way that removes our responsibility, or decide how much someone else must endure. Proportion calls for attention to actual circumstances rather than an attractive image of being the most dedicated participant.

III. Continuing Without the Former Pace

In Letter20, Seneca discusses coherence between philosophical words and a way of life. Constancy does not require an unchanging speed: the passage allows different paces along the same path. That distinction matters here. Someone can reconsider the number of pages, meetings or notes without abandoning the chosen relation to the activity. Yet the Stoic concern with a whole way of life cannot be reduced to advice about maintaining a streak of ticks. Seneca addresses more than a timetable, and his demanding normative position remains part of his argument.

For example, someone has promised to help a community library sort books regularly. A changed work schedule makes the former weekly shift impossible. Continuing might mean an agreed monthly shift, another task or a clear withdrawal for a specified period. Each arrangement calls for a conversation with those who expected help. Keeping the label of volunteer settles nothing by itself. But reduced frequency does not establish indifference either. What needs consideration is available participation and the agreement, rather than the earlier emotional picture of oneself.

The size of an action differs from the honesty with which it is described. Brief reading remains brief reading; doing it daily does not turn it into a complete study of a book. Modest help remains modest, without becoming worthless. We can name what was done accurately, without self-abasement or an enlarged result. A smaller contribution then needs no defensive story, and a larger one grants no superiority. This precision becomes especially important when people use their practice to justify privileges within a group or judge the worth of other participants.

Pace changes for reasons beyond limited energy. We may discover that an arrangement rushes through difficult passages. Three pages read attentively may serve the task better than thirty skimmed for a number. This is a judgment about particular reading, not a rule that slow always outranks fast. An overview can be suitable when we need to understand the structure

of a text. Continuing permits us to name the task again: understand one argument, see the outline or revisit a familiar passage. An honest way of working depends on that task rather than on constant excitement.

IV. A Basket of Earth and an Unremarkable Step

In Analects9.19, learning is compared with raising a mound. Stopping before the last basket of earth and beginning with the first are different positions, yet the learner participates in either. Nearby passages discuss continuing to learn and growth that does not always reach fruition. The image offers a way to consider action without demanding immediate completeness. It does not establish that every repetition is useful or justify calling every pause a fault. Its meaning belongs within a Confucian conversation about learning and character.

For a contemporary reader, the question is what counts as a basket of earth. If the purpose is careful engagement with a text, one step could be clarifying an unfamiliar word. If it is respectful conversation, a step might be hearing an answer through. Yet learning cannot quietly become a collection of titles, or listening become a note about intending to listen. A small step remains connected with its subject. Otherwise it supplies a sense of activity which should not be confused with carrying out the chosen task. Accurate description matters more than a special mood.

An unremarkable action need not lack significance. Someone may calmly correct an inaccurate reference without a sense of revelation or an expectation of thanks. A modest but definite task has been completed. Conversely, a powerful feeling during reading does not establish that the argument is understood. This is not a contest between modesty and inspiration; either state can occur. The distinction prevents a feeling from taking over the work of checking. Describing the action by its subject allows us to acknowledge both what is complete and what remains, without judging an entire life.

The mound should not become an accusation directed at someone else: little remains, therefore you must continue. We may not know their conditions, obligations or reasons for reconsidering. Even our own previous work does not make completing every undertaking reasonable. If the subject changes or an assumption proves mistaken, continuation needs a renewed ground. The Confucian image illuminates participation in learning; a contemporary decision also requires examination of its conditions. Keeping this boundary respects both the source and a person’s ability to leave a project that was chosen on mistaken grounds.

V. Good Works and Hope We Cannot Schedule

In Galatians6:7–10, the exhortation to continue doing good appears between an image of sowing and an appeal to help people when opportunity permits. Its spiritual horizon includes everlasting life and the household of faith. This matters: the language of harvest cannot become a guarantee of income, popularity or quick relief. Christian perseverance in good works has a ground beyond the impression produced by each deed. Readers from other traditions can reflect on fidelity to goodness here, while retaining the theological meaning of the passage rather than removing it for a general slogan.

Help does not always bring gratitude or a strong sense of purpose. Suppose someone brings groceries to a neighbour who temporarily cannot leave home. Initial excitement gives way to an ordinary agreement about a list and a time. The task matters beyond the helper’s mood: another person expects groceries to arrive. Yet the agreement does not imply unlimited availability. If the helper can no longer come, they should say so and, where possible, discuss another arrangement. Doing good need not involve performing enthusiasm, and responsibility need not mean promising more than is available.

In this example, leaving an old arrangement differs from losing all concern. Someone can remain willing to help without keeping the former schedule, or acknowledge that they cannot participate at present. Neither should conceal the other. If an obligation has genuinely ended, it should be named rather than kept apparently alive to preserve a pleasing image. If help continues, it need not be called empty merely because excitement is absent. The conversation concerns an available action, its recipient and the agreement, rather than a demand to feel good about oneself continuously.

Hope need not take the form of a scheduled promise. In a religious source it may concern something beyond a current report. In an ordinary task we can hope for benefit while admitting that the outcome is unknown. These meanings differ. An absence of visible results cannot by itself establish the falsity of someone’s faith; faith cannot declare every action successful either. The article keeps distinct questions in view: what a person believes, what they are responsible for now, what is known about the action and what remains unknown.

VI. Fatigue, Boredom and Disagreement Ask Different Questions

The words I no longer want to can describe different situations. Energy is lacking today; a familiar arrangement no longer surprises; disagreement with the content has emerged; participation conflicts with another important obligation. These descriptions are not a diagnostic scheme or a substitute for professional consideration of health when needed. Choosing an action calls first for avoiding a premature merger of them. Fatigue may require rest, disagreement a discussion of reasons, and changed conditions another arrangement. Demanding renewed excitement alone answers none of these questions precisely.

If boredom arises because an exercise is familiar, we can examine the object of attention. Daily rereading may no longer prompt questions: someone remembers the words without noticing the author’s argument. Reading a neighbouring passage, recovering context or choosing another question could be appropriate. This is no obligation to keep refreshing impressions. A change serves understanding when understanding was the purpose. Familiarity may have a different significance in prayer, remembrance or singing together, and that significance should not be judged solely by the presence of novelty.

Disagreement calls for further precision. Someone may no longer accept a teacher’s explanation, discover an unreliable reference or reject a group rule. Advice to shorten the activity leaves the substantive question unanswered. The disagreement and its grounds should be named. It may be possible to retain a useful arrangement without accepting an entire system, or to end participation. Neither previous investment nor gratitude for a beginning obliges us to assert what now appears mistaken or surrender our judgment to someone else.

When conditions change, a timetable should be considered alongside the people affected. If an evening gathering displaces agreed household work, its spiritual name does not automatically settle the conflict. If a new job occupies the old time, shame about reduced regularity cannot make an impossible promise workable. A changed life need not always mean giving up something important, but it calls for an honest account of what is available. The conversation may be uncomfortable. Its value here lies in clarifying obligations, not in a promise that everyone will feel at ease afterward.

VII. Support Without Surveillance of Inner Life

Another person can support continuation by being present: reading together, reminding us of an agreed meeting or asking whether help is wanted. Support requires consent to a particular involvement. A reminder requested by one person may be unwanted by another. Refusal should not become evidence of spiritual weakness. In a shared practice, participants can discuss what they actually promise each other: time, preparation or notice of absence. This clarifies the common activity without granting a group the right to demand a particular feeling or a report on someone’s entire private life.

Consider two people who discuss a book every fortnight. One can no longer finish the agreed amount. They might select a shorter passage, postpone the meeting or read separately for a time. A lengthy justification need not first prove the seriousness of the intention. The other person may also say that the revised arrangement does not suit them. Consent includes the ability to change or refuse for both. Shared participation supports an activity while its conditions are understood; it should not depend on a hidden obligation to display gratitude or equal enthusiasm.

A teacher may notice a problem in a way of working that a learner has missed. That is a reason to hear an explanation, not to abandon examination. We can ask what change is proposed, why, what limits apply and what supports any promised outcome. If the response demands greater devotion alone, the relevant question remains open. This is not a proposal to distrust every teacher. It preserves the difference between learning a particular activity and handing over all authority for decisions, especially when demands affect time, money or relationships.

A report about practice should fit its subject. Shared reading may require only the passage read and a question; volunteering may require the completed task and what remains. Punctuality need not be established by exposing prayer notes or personal confessions. Someone may freely share an inner experience, but that choice creates no duty for everyone else. Clear boundaries keep common organization distinct from judgment of a whole person. Continuing needs conditions of trust which need not be purchased by making every part of private life transparent.

VIII. Pausing, Reconsidering and Returning

A pause may reflect temporarily unavailable conditions, a need to examine the content or the end of a particular period. Distinguishing these meanings helps, even privately. A return date need not be fixed when its grounds are unknown. But people expecting participation should be told the available decision: the shift will not happen, the conversation is postponed or this arrangement is suspended. Clarity does not require disclosure of every reason. It answers the shared question where silence leaves someone waiting for a promise, while retaining a boundary around personal explanation.

Reconsidering allows something valuable to be separated from an unsuitable arrangement. A conversation about attention may matter while a daily public report proves unnecessary. Leaving the report need not cancel the desire to listen better. Alternatively, the goal itself may have been borrowed for approval. Then the question concerns the ground, not the schedule. We cannot decide beforehand which account is right for every person. An honest inquiry asks what they now regard as their own, what they have intelligible reasons to continue and which arrangement they no longer wish to maintain.

Returning need not reproduce the first day. After a break, a text may feel quiet, familiar or difficult. That does not establish failure. We can name why we return and to what specifically: one meeting, completing promised work or reading a particular section. There is no need to promise to recover everything missed when no such obligation existed. A modest return is more honest than a large promise only when it actually fits what is available; its size alone makes a person neither mature nor weak.

If a practice is finally left behind, its beginning can still be acknowledged. Gratitude for a book or a meeting need not require lifelong participation. A mistaken arrangement does not erase every question it opened. Yet an ending should not be decorated with the claim that every goal has already been reached. It is enough to say that this way will not continue now, and separately name any meaning retained. That preserves history without an invented success, allowing further choices without either total rejection of the past or endless repetition.

IX. What Remains in an Ordinary Day

An ordinary day tests the availability of a chosen action rather than the authenticity of a feeling. Someone can lack the original exhilaration and read a paragraph carefully, or feel inspired and forget a promise. Neither combination describes a whole person, but each helps us speak precisely about the activity. Experience need not be devalued. Joy can matter deeply. It should simply not certify understanding, completed agreements or a right to demand another person’s continuation by itself. Meaning and mood can exist together without coinciding on every occasion.

Continuation serves different ends in the passages read. Sona adjusts effort on a monastic path to liberation; the Confucian image concerns learning; Seneca demands coherence in a philosophical life; the epistle relates good works to spiritual hope. They do not combine into one technology for maintaining motivation. The comparison opens distinct questions: proportion of effort, personal participation, coherence of conduct and concern for the recipient of good. A reader can examine one question without claiming adherence to every teaching or demanding the same answer from different people.

In our own day, room can be left to examine the chosen ground. The activity may still serve it without surprising us. It may have become a mark retained for a report. Changed conditions may require another agreement. The answer need not be pleasant or final. What matters is that it concern a particular arrangement and available information rather than a verdict on the self. Reasoned reconsideration can preserve responsibility even when it leads to reduced participation, a pause or the end of a former arrangement.

Continuing after the first enthusiasm need not mean enduring emptiness until vividness returns. It may mean doing an ordinary task for an intelligible reason, changing its scale or honestly ending an unsuitable arrangement. None of these choices removes concern for people affected by a promise. None requires proving the value of a life through a tally of repetitions. We can appreciate the beginning, examine present conditions and choose an available next step. Its meaning depends on what it addresses, rather than how closely it resembles the first exhilarating day.

What this means in practice

Choose a modest practice of your own which began with enthusiasm and now looks different. Do not assess another person’s participation. Record what you actually do without enlarging the result: read a passage, attend a meeting or help with a particular task. Separately name the ground you regard as your own now. If it is unclear, say so rather than inventing an attractive answer.

Distinguish a changed impression from changed conditions. What has diminished: novelty, available time, agreement with the content or the ability to keep a promise? Several reasons may coexist. The note is neither a diagnosis nor a measure of spiritual worth. Choose one particular question requiring a decision and name any information still missing.

Consider available choices: the existing arrangement, a smaller amount, another time, a discussion of content, a pause or an ending. For each relevant option, ask whether the chosen ground remains and who else is affected. If someone expects promised participation, identify what needs to be communicated. Promise neither the return of an earlier feeling nor the same feeling from others. Preserve their possibility of refusal when a decision involves them.

Choose one proportionate next step: read a specified passage, clarify a disputed point, discuss a schedule or communicate a pause. Afterward, record only what is known: the action taken, any changed agreement and what remains open. This is an original exercise for considering meaning and conditions, not a demonstrated way to restore motivation. It can be left without further use when it does not fit your situation.

Key quotation

> *“right pitch for your persistence”*

AN6.55, translated by Thanissaro Bhikkhu1997, the brief phrase within the vina comparison. The context concerns adjusting effort on a monastic path; it does not promise an outcome from every reduction in exertion.

Connections between traditions

Comparison	Passage read	Difference and limit
Proportion of effort	AN6.55, vina comparison	Monastic liberation; no timetable with guaranteed effects.
Modest participation	Analects9.19 and neighbouring9.20–22, Legge	Confucian learning; stopping is not called a universal fault.
Pace and direction	Seneca, Letter20.1–6	A Stoic way of life; changed scale is not reduced to maintaining a streak.
Continuing good works	Galatians6:7–10, KJV	Spiritual hope and community; no guarantee of worldly success.
Shared arrangements	Original reading and helping examples	Clear agreements and refusal matter; no empirical model of motivation.
Reconsidering a form	Original exercise	Meaning, mood and obligation remain distinct; others’ inner lives are not tested.

Primary sources

1. AN6.55, Sona Sutta, translated by Thanissaro Bhikkhu1997, Access to Insight revision30November2013. The narrative, vina comparison, subsequent account of liberation and translator notes were read. The key quotation is a brief exact phrase; the RU rendering is our own. The page warns that this version may be outdated; the updated text was not examined. The monastic outcome is not promised for a contemporary exercise.

2. Galatians6:7–10, King James Version. The complete specified passage was read; the epigraph is a short clause from6:9, with our own RU rendering. Spiritual sowing, everlasting life and helping people retain their context; the harvest does not guarantee income or immediate relief.

3. Confucius, Analects, BookIX, translated by James Legge,1893 edition. The mound comparison9.19 and neighbouring passages on continuing learning and growth9.20–22 were read. The comparison belongs within Confucian learning; it is neither an experimental account of habit nor a general condemnation of pauses.

4. Seneca, Moral Letters to Lucilius, Letter20, translated by Richard M. Gummere; §§1–13 read. The parent title page shows the1925 edition and first printing1918. Coherence between words and life, and different paces along one path, retain their Stoic context. Historical advice on poverty and household arrangements is not adopted as guidance. Contemporary situations and the exercise are original to this article.

Tags

spiritual practice, enthusiasm, regularity, continuation, meaning, effort, proportion, Sona, AN6.55, Buddhist tradition, vina, Galatians, good works, hope, Confucius, Analects, learning, Seneca, Stoicism, pace, attention, reading, helping, agreement, consent, pause, reconsideration, return, personal responsibility, ordinary day

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.