

UNITED WISDOM

A garden and slow participation in living things

Meaning and Direction

Andrey Zarinsh & Maria Evilkut

P-27-N03 · EN

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

A garden and slow participation in living things

Epigraph

> *What shall I learn of beans or beans of me?*

— Henry David Thoreau, Walden, The Bean-Field; original English. A literary personification, not a claim that plants understand human intentions.

What this article is about

A garden invites return: to a shoot, a changed leaf, soil after rain, and work no single gesture can finish. Participation in living things nevertheless supplies neither complete control nor guaranteed tranquillity or moral improvement. Here a garden is a chosen practice of observation, action, and acknowledged limits. Thoreau's Bean-Field, Eden, Dao De Jing, Candide's conclusion, and a Stoic inward return ask different questions; their grounds are not merged into one doctrine. We distinguish care from possession, waiting from checking, harvest from other outcomes, and shared work from concealed obligation. A short closing exercise identifies an available share of participation without medical promises or cultivation recipes. Having no garden, reducing one, or declining the activity remains permissible: the possibility of an attentive life is not determined by land ownership.

I. An encounter that does not fulfil an order

A person puts a pot on a windowsill, hoping for a calming habit. A week later a leaf yellows, the soil looks different, and care must fit between other commitments. This imagined scene introduces a distinction: a plant enters our life without promising to arrange it conveniently. A garden may offer attentive activity, yet need not produce a pleasant feeling every day. The relationship becomes more honest when we stop expecting a living thing to confirm our own well-being. We can acknowledge disappointment, investigate conditions, and adjust care without treating each damaged leaf as a judgement on someone's character.

At the beginning of The Bean-Field, Thoreau asks what he will learn of beans or beans of him. This is literary personification, not a claim that plants understand human intentions. He goes on to name labour, soil, rain, and displaced wild plants. The question does not remove difficulty: cultivating a chosen species changes ground where something already grew. Participation begins before harvest. We decide what to accommodate, how much work to undertake, and what counts as an outcome. Thoreau describes a particular experience near Walden; its scale

@andrey.zarinsh @ilkutki

and conditions cannot simply be transferred to an urban balcony, shared courtyard, or another climate. The question is useful here, rather than an agricultural recipe.

Here 'garden' means chosen participation in cultivation: a few pots, a small bed, or an accessible plot. Scale does not establish the activity's worth. A person without land need not regard themselves as disconnected from living things; a large garden supplies no automatic moral depth. One may attend to a tree on a familiar route without owning it or experimenting upon it. Gardening may also be declined altogether. A philosophical possibility does not become everyone's duty. Access depends on housing, time, bodily possibilities, local rules, and other people. An appealing argument cannot supply missing conditions and should not blame somebody for their absence.

A beginning question is practical: what depends on me here, and what am I prepared to return to? Buying a plant entails at least understanding its requirements and present conditions. Uncertainty need not be disguised as expertise. Ask for assistance, consult a suitable local source, or recognise that the chosen species does not suit the available space. This article specifies no watering schedule, soil mixture, or safe treatment product; those decisions depend on the plant and place. It considers how to remain a participant who learns from events, rather than an owner expecting life to carry out an advance plan without qualification.

II. Growing time and wishing time

Sowing joins an action today with an expectation later. Between them lies time that extra effort cannot entirely fill. Conditions may be checked, but a shoot cannot be ordered to appear at a convenient hour. Dao De Jing64 relates a large tree to a tiny beginning and care at the end to care at the start. Its philosophical, political, and cosmological grounds make it more than a cultivation manual. A limited comparison asks whether we notice a process before it becomes a large result. Smallness guarantees no future greatness; it names the present stage to which action must respond, rather than treating that stage as an already developed form.

Slowness is easily romanticised when deadlines and need disappear from view. A failed food harvest may be a serious loss, and care may become another job for a busy city resident. Slow participation does not mean every pace is beautiful or every consequence unimportant. Concern for outcomes and acknowledgement of limited influence can coexist. Distinguish a necessary check from repetition performed merely to relieve waiting. If no information has emerged, checking again may add little knowledge. Changed conditions, however, may require an earlier observation. No universal interval is offered here; the question is what justifies today's intervention in this particular place.

Waiting can become visible without continuous monitoring. Record an action's date, available signs, and a question awaiting an answer. A note may later distinguish the impression that

nothing happened from an observable change. It need not become a complex log or a contest in precision. Retain details that memory might blend: when something was replanted, what was noticed, whose advice was followed. If documentation obstructs the activity, simplify it or stop. Its value belongs to the attention it serves, rather than proof of discipline. A garden should not become another report on whether a person meets an ideal schedule.

Absence has its own timing: travel, illness, new work, or lost access. Care is more sustainable when nobody assumes a participant will always be present. Assistance requires an understood scope and willing agreement, rather than hoping a relative will notice the pots. Explain what is known, what remains uncertain, and whom to consult if conditions change. The other person may decline. Without available help, choose a smaller commitment or another way to continue. This does not violate a 'natural rhythm': human time is among the garden's conditions and deserves an honest place alongside location and available space.

III. Caring does not mean owning everything that happens

Genesis2:15 places the human in Eden to dress and keep the garden. Permission and prohibition follow; work belongs to a theological narrative about God, humanity, and obedience. A single verb cannot make the entire passage a contemporary environmental programme. We can accurately notice that dwelling there entails a charge, rather than consumption alone. For readers of another faith or none, this remains an encounter with a particular source, not a demand to accept its grounds. The comparison concerns a limited question of care: access to a place may entail a task that saying 'it is mine' cannot exhaust.

A present-day plot exists among other plots, routes, neighbours' concerns, and established rules. Even lawful ownership does not remove effects on others. In a shared courtyard, distinguish wishing to improve a place from authority to alter it. Planting a tree, occupying a route, or using communal water requires arrangements appropriate to that location. No legal judgement is supplied here. Before acting, identify affected people and clarify conditions instead of treating a good intention as permission. Participation becomes obscure when 'care' names a decision that leaves another person only silent adjustment to a completed change.

Thoreau acknowledges that cultivating beans displaces earlier plants. This matters to the language of care: the life humans select is not the only life present. It does not establish that every removal is wrong or every refusal to intervene beneficial. Decisions depend on species, conditions, and purpose; an unfamiliar species should not be pronounced helpful or dangerous from an impression alone. A philosophical text cannot replace local knowledge. It challenges an easy innocence: we do not simply add life to emptiness. We alter relationships in an existing place and can at least acknowledge that fact.

Limited control does not cancel responsibility for chosen actions. If something obstructs a route, saying the garden lives independently will not suffice. Needed assistance has costs and effort that the word 'natural' cannot erase. Responsibility can be concrete: know the action, consider available consequences, correct an identified obstruction, and disclose significant uncertainty. It requires no prediction of everything. A reasonable decision may be revised after new information arrives. That change need not reveal a character defect; it may be the only honest response to altered knowledge. Attentive participation joins limits of understanding with willingness to answer for what was actually done.

IV. Observation before explanation

One wilted leaf may prompt several accounts: too much water, insufficient light, an unsuitable choice, poor care. These accounts do not yet justify selecting one. First name what is visible and which conditions are known. 'This leaf changed colour after repotting' is more precise than 'I ruined everything'. The first leaves a question open; the second turns an unknown cause into a general verdict. Even if a care mistake is later established, it will be a specific action with a specific correction. Observation need not exonerate anybody, but should not accuse them before evidence appears. A useful pause separates noticing from explanation.

Thoreau describes long acquaintance with beans at different stages. His account combines labour, physical presence, and a literary viewpoint. It does not prove that observation automatically produces wisdom. Acquaintance requires distinguishing events, rather than merely recognising a name. Someone can watch a plant for months while seeing only the question of personal success. Attention broadens with another question: what has changed since last time? A practical purpose remains, but acquires more precise grounds. The change may be unexpected, inconvenient, or unexplained. Participation includes the ability to postpone a final account.

An observed change may lead to information seeking. Match sources to the question: local conditions, species, and cultivation method. An appealing recommendation to 'love plants' does not establish whether a species suits a site. Another person's success may be useful testimony, but ask about its circumstances. Distinguish published information, personal advice, and one's own trial. Every detail needs no academic proof; simply avoid assigning confidence the source cannot support. This article gives no instructions about unfamiliar substances, toxicity, or hazardous actions. Those require competent knowledge appropriate to the subject, rather than literary confidence that natural things must be safe.

A small trial needs an intelligible question and consideration of possible harm. Changing everything simultaneously obscures what happened. Yet changing one thing at a time is no mechanical rule for an urgent obvious problem: conditions may require a prompt combined response. Experimenting on living things for entertainment is not proposed. Make the action

more understandable: what am I changing, on what grounds, and when will I examine the outcome? With inadequate knowledge, stop and seek assistance. Attention does not require solving every problem alone. Sometimes its most responsible expression is recognising a competence boundary and referring the question to somebody able to examine it.

V. Working beside others

Candide's conclusion shows a small company cultivating a garden according to their abilities. Weariness, dissatisfaction, philosophical disputes, and a meeting with a small farmer precede it. The famous reply belongs to satire about explanations of worldly evil, not a study of work's effectiveness. The literary claim that labour removes weariness, vice, and want cannot become a verified medical or economic formula. A more modest possibility matters here: a shared undertaking may require recognisable contributions instead of endless argument. Its present organisation must nevertheless account for today's people rather than reproduce the narrative's historical hierarchy.

A communal garden is easily called unity before unattractive tasks need assigning. One person selects plants, another carries water, a third cleans, and a fourth appears only in harvest photographs. Differences need not be unjust: abilities vary, and people may agree freely. Trouble arises when allocation is concealed or consent silently assumed. Name tasks, time, and each person's limits, including permission to revise arrangements. Less visible work is not less participation. Contribution should not become the price of belonging to a family or group. A common undertaking remains meaningful when conditions can be discussed without threatening human closeness.

A child's, guest's, or neighbour's assistance differs from a continuing duty. An invitation to see a shoot must not quietly become a promise of regular care. Ask whether someone wishes to join, explain the task, and allow refusal. With children, adults remain responsible for suitable conditions; interest cannot justify hazardous action. No age-specific instructions are established here. 'Together' must include real agreement and appropriate demands. Other people's pleasure may differ from ours. Someone may enjoy garden conversation while disliking work in a bed, without forfeiting respectful treatment.

Hired work does not vanish into an image of a natural garden either. Plants grew, but somebody maintained conditions, moved materials, and made practical decisions. Acknowledge that contribution without appropriating someone else's labour as one's own attentiveness. Payment and tasks require clear terms; philosophical care does not replace arrangements. When work passes between people, ask about observed changes as well as appearance. A worker may know things the owner does not. Participation in living things then includes listening to a person whose daily work makes our occasional garden presence possible.

VI. Harvest and other outcomes

A harvest is a real outcome and needs no embarrassment for the sake of a loftier discussion. Food, flowers, shade, and an attractive corner are legitimate aims. Distinguish them beforehand: success in one does not assure success in another. A thriving plant may occupy too much available room; a small harvest may coexist with manageable, intelligible care. No single measure must represent the whole encounter. At The Bean-Field's end, Thoreau looks beyond ownership and quantities gathered, invoking the sun and other field inhabitants. This is an authorial philosophical perspective, not a prohibition on measuring a harvest or considering expenses.

Knowledge is another outcome: somebody learns the undertaking is too large, identifies a suitable spot, or asks a more precise question. That value does not make a failed harvest successful on every ground. A loss and acquired knowledge can be recognised together. Avoid both declaring everything pointless and elegantly renaming every failure a victory. Attentive participation permits two statements: 'I did not obtain that result; this is now known.' Then decide whether to repeat the attempt. Continuing does not necessarily demonstrate resilience, and stopping does not necessarily reveal weakness. Actual conditions, purposes, and the desired place of this activity in a life matter.

A garden may offer familiar return: noticing light, remembering a season, or talking with somebody close. This cannot be promised to everyone in every situation. These historical sources establish no therapeutic effect, anxiety reduction, or health improvement. Where support for a condition is needed, a garden should not be a compulsory replacement for available professional help. The article concerns a chosen attention practice, not treatment. A pleasant experience remains a personal observation rather than evidence of general effectiveness. 'Working here felt pleasant today' need not become a rule that others must experience the same thing to care properly for themselves.

Every outcome need not be displayed. A flowering photograph can bring pleasure, but continuous reporting may change the purpose into finding an image that confirms success. Sharing pictures remains possible. Ask why sharing matters now and whether the selected image conceals others' contributions. Do not publish people, an address, or details of a shared place without appropriate agreement. A private note, a freely offered gift, or no documentation may suffice. Presence needs no external audience. Permission for a small unremarkable garden protects participation from having to serve as attractive evidence of a lifestyle demonstrated to others.

VII. When life does not answer expectation

A plant sometimes dies without its exact cause becoming known. Grief need not turn that loss into a symbol of one's entire relationship with the world. Living things require attention, but attention does not make outcomes fully controllable. Distinguish an established cause, a plausible account, and uncertainty. A confirmed mistake warrants naming an action to change. Without adequate information, leave the question open rather than confidently deciding somebody cannot care. Uncertainty does not remove learning; it makes learning specific. Which information was missing, where can it be obtained, and what further attempt is worthwhile if a wish to continue survives the disappointment?

Thoreau extends sowing to human qualities and asks where their harvest will appear. This literary movement does not promise that physical cultivation automatically cultivates virtue. A person may tend a bed carefully while treating people carelessly. Another may have no garden and participate with great consideration. The practice offers an occasion to notice habits, not certification of good character. Impatience beside a plant can be examined as that episode. No general diagnosis or moral verdict follows from yield. Human relationships are assessed through particular actions, including correcting an obstruction one caused, rather than membership in an attractive activity.

Non-intervention also needs grounds. 'Let everything happen naturally' may express respect for a process, or conceal abandoning an accepted duty. Constant activity may conceal an inability to wait. Neither the quantity nor absence of action proves care. Dao De Jing64 joins assistance in development to refusing an imposed further purpose; it cannot mechanically become a domestic command never to change anything. Ask about motives and conditions: what does this situation require, what is available to the person, and which information supports a decision? Uncertainty permits seeking assistance instead of another confident gesture.

An inward return does not belong exclusively to nature. In IV.3, Marcus Aurelius describes people seeking retreats in country places and directs attention toward an inward retreat. This is a Stoic exercise, not a scientific comparison of indoor and garden rest. It maintains a boundary here: a plot cannot become compulsory for an attentive life. A garden may be a chosen place of participation, without becoming the sole route to composure or an advantage over people living differently. Losing access does not also remove the capacity to reflect, talk, care, and choose a next step. A possibility should not become dependence upon possessing a particular place.

VIII. A sufficient share of participation

A garden can expand through promises never fully considered: another pot, another bed, help for an acquaintance. A chosen activity becomes a commitment impossible to sustain. Recover proportion before exhaustion: what do I actually wish to continue, and how much room will I give it? The answer varies and may change. Purchased objects or published photographs need not preserve a former scale. Reducing participation can make what remains clearer. This is no rule always to choose less. It is permission to compare commitments with actual possibilities and stop treating expansion as the only proof of interest in living things.

Where care passes to someone else, attention takes the form of accurate handover. Supply known conditions, actual actions, and remaining questions, rather than an attractive plant name alone. Do not present guesses as established requirements. A new participant may reorganise work to suit circumstances; the former owner gains no perpetual control over details. An agreed transfer differs from leaving a duty with somebody who never accepted it. Discuss what happens if continuation becomes impossible and who decides next. No universal arrangement is prescribed. Finish participation as intelligibly as it began: identify responsibility while retaining another person's freedom.

Candide's final reply returns conversation to cultivating the garden after Pangloss's explanations. It belongs to a particular work and does not prohibit thinking about larger public questions. Present life sometimes requires action beyond one's plot: joining a collective decision, responding to harm, or listening to people whom private tranquillity cannot protect. Nearby work may support that capacity without excusing indifference. A limited task has worth without claiming to replace the world. Care for one's place can coexist with recognition that not everybody has similar possibilities. Proportion concerns responsibility a person can undertake, not permission to declare other people's circumstances irrelevant.

Slow participation means neither a compulsory special pace nor promised wisdom. It means returning to observation, relating action to conditions, acknowledging others' work, and not demanding unconditional agreement with a plan from living things. Sometimes that brings a good harvest; sometimes a smaller commitment or a decision to stop. Name outcomes according to their actual grounds. A garden remains a living place because it cannot be reduced to our image of success. We may choose participation without owning everything that happens, notice small beginnings without promises of greatness, and continue work while making room for what is still unknown and cannot be arranged at will.

What this means in practice

Choose one small place where participation and access are appropriate: your plant, an agreed part of a communal garden, or ordinary observation without intervention. If no such place is available, skip the exercise; lacking a plot requires no invented duty.

Name what is actually visible today. Separately note a known condition and one question. Do not replace observation with explanation: 'a leaf changed' and 'its cause is established' have different status. Before changing anything, identify grounds and check that access and knowledge are adequate. Where information is lacking, choose a question for a competent person instead of an unaided trial.

Identify one manageable next step and a condition for returning: which new information or agreement will enable continuation? No care schedule is prescribed; it depends on the plant and place. If someone else's participation is needed, ask for willing agreement and explain the scope without assuming assistance.

Finish with two statements: 'Today this depended on me…' and 'This remains unknown…'. You may acknowledge whose labour helped the place exist. Do not assess yourself by pleasure, quantities of action, or attractive appearance. A sufficient outcome distinguishes observation, responsibility, and limits while retaining permission to reduce participation. The exercise does not diagnose, treat, or certify character.

Key quotation

> *but let us cultivate our garden.*

— Voltaire, Candide, XXX; historical English edition1918, translator unnamed in displayed edition. Exact concluding clause of Candide’s reply to Pangloss, within satire and shared work, not a clinical recommendation.

Connections between traditions

Primary text	Question for participation	Comparison boundary
Thoreau, The Bean-Field [1]	What changes when we cultivate something selected?	Literary experience supplies no universal recipe or certification of virtue.
Genesis2:15–17 [2]	How does access differ from a charge?	Theological narrative is not a contemporary environmental programme.
Dao De Jing64 [3]	Does action suit the present stage?	Political and cosmological grounds are not agronomy or complete non-intervention.
Voltaire, CandideXXX [4]	How can argument give way to recognisable shared work?	Satire establishes no therapeutic labour effect or duty to repeat historical hierarchies.
Marcus Aurelius IV.3 [5]	Is a special location necessary for composure?	A Stoic exercise does not clinically compare garden and indoor settings.

The comparison is limited to editorial questions. Different texts assert no single doctrine; the voluntary exercise does not follow as a demonstrated treatment.

Primary sources

[1] Henry David Thoreau. Walden, The Bean-Field. 1854. The Bean-Field: opening bean question; labour/soil and displaced plants; long acquaintance; virtue-seed analogy; final sun/field-inhabitants paragraphs. English original; Project Gutenberg electronic edition. Actually read: The Bean-Field displayed opening through final paragraphs, including surrounding context of exact epigraph. No claim to have read all Walden. Literary first-person farming experience near Walden; no horticultural recipe or demonstrated psychological effect.

[2] Genesis / traditional biblical authorship. Genesis2:15–17. KJV1611. Genesis2:15–17. King James Version, historical English translation. Actually read: All three specified verses, including dress/keep, permission and prohibition. Theological creation narrative; not contemporary environmental policy, empirical ecology or universal prescription for gardening.

[3] Laozi / received textual tradition. Dao De Jing64. Legge1891. Chapter64 §§1–4; neighbouring63 and65 for context. James Legge historical English translation; no claimed direct Chinese collation. Actually read: Full displayed chapter64, with63/65 context; small beginning, care at end, assistance to development, refusal of imposed purpose.

Political/cosmological philosophical grounds differ from gardening. Neighbouring65 historical governance assumptions not adopted as a modern norm; no blanket non-intervention command.

[4] Voltaire. Candide, chapterXXX, Conclusion. French original1759; English edition1918. XXX: companions' weariness, dervish, old farmer, shared work, final reply to Pangloss. Modern Library / Boni & Liveright1918 English edition, introduction Philip Littell; translator not named in displayed edition. Actually read: Full displayed conclusion, including final exact clause and its surrounding exchange. No claimed comparison with French original. Satirical narrative, not an intervention study. Historical racial/sexist characterisations not adopted. Literary claims about labour do not establish medical or economic effectiveness.

[5] Marcus Aurelius. Meditations IV.3. 2nd century; Long1862. BookIV.3, country retreats and inward retreat. George Long historical English translation. Actually read: IV.1–4 previously read in this task; IV.3 country-place contrast and following inward-retreat account. Stoic exercise, not comparative clinical evidence about garden/indoor rest; no need to own a garden inferred.

Tags

garden, participation, living things, care, observation, time, waiting, growth, labour, harvest, limits, responsibility, space, consent, communal garden, soil, plant, access, autonomy, proportion, uncertainty, Thoreau, Voltaire, Laozi, Genesis, Marcus Aurelius, philosophy, literature, practice, handover

About the authors

Andrey Zarinsh is the author and founder of United Wisdom. He explores inner transformation, the unity of traditions, intercultural dialogue, and contemporary spiritual discipline. Instagram: @andrey.zarinsh.

Maria Evilkut is a co-author of United Wisdom. Alongside her research, she seeks to test these ideas in practice and observe how principles of unity and mutual understanding work in real life between people and cultures. Instagram: @ilkutki.